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1. Introduction. The mathematical aspect of quantum mechanics, conveniently formulated in a Hilbert space framework [15], can be most efficiently and elegantly interpreted in the language of probability and statistics [9]. In generic situations, there are irreducible randomness in both quantum states, which are represented by density operators (positive operators with unit trace), and observables, which are represented by self-adjoint operators. For simplicity, we will work in finite dimensional Hilbert spaces. However, in principle we can follow the method given in [9] to generalize these arguments to embrace infinite dimensional cases.

Given a quantum state \( \rho \) and a set of observables \( H_1, \ldots, H_n \), the covariance matrix \( C := (C_{\alpha \beta})_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} \) is defined as

\[
C_{\alpha \beta} := \text{cov}_\rho (H_\alpha, H_\beta) = \text{tr} \rho H_\alpha H_\beta - (\text{tr} \rho H_\alpha)(\text{tr} \rho H_\beta), \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{1}
\]

Here \( \text{tr} \) denotes trace. In particular, \( V(\rho, H_\alpha) := C_{\alpha \alpha} \) is the variance of the observable \( H_\alpha \) in the state \( \rho \). It should be remarked that the matrix (1) is only a formal analog of the classical covariance matrix, and it does not refer to a single quantum measurement.

In the context of two observables which are often incompatible (non-commutative), the celebrated Heisenberg uncertainty relation (cf. [1], [9])

\[
V(\rho, H_1) V(\rho, H_2) \geq \frac{1}{4} |\text{tr} \rho [H_1, H_2]|^2 \tag{2}
\]

is often interpreted as setting a fundamental limit to the accuracy of simultaneous measurement of the observables \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) in terms of their commutator \([H_1, H_2] := H_1 H_2 - H_2 H_1\),
which is a basic character in quantum mechanics. However, this interpretation is somewhat inconsistent as incisively analyzed in [9, p. 70-73], a more rigorous interpretation of relation (2) is that it characterizes variances of two different incompatible measurements of $H_1$ and $H_2$ related to the same quantum state $\rho$, rather than joint approximate measurement of $H_1$ and $H_2$ [9].

Later, Schrödinger refined the uncertainty relation (2) to

$$V(\rho, H_1) V(\rho, H_2) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left( |\text{tr} \rho[H_1, H_2]|^2 + |\text{tr} \rho[H'_1, H'_2]|^2 \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

(cf. [13], [14]). Here $\{H'_1, H'_2\} := H'_1 H'_2 + H'_2 H'_1$ denotes anticommutator, and $H'_i := H_i - (\text{tr} \rho H_i) 1$, $H'_2 := H_2 - (\text{tr} \rho H_2) 1$, and 1 is the identity operator. It is remarkable that the anticommutator is intimately related to the real part of the covariance $\text{Cov}_\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$:

$$\text{tr} \rho[H'_1, H'_2] = \text{Cov}_\rho(H_1, H_2) + \text{cov}_\rho(H_2, H_1) = 2\text{Re} \text{cov}_\rho(H_1, H_2),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

and the commutator is intimately related to the imaginary part of the covariance:

$$\text{tr} \rho[H_1, H_2] = \text{cov}_\rho(H_1, H_2) - \text{cov}_\rho(H_1, H_2) = 2i\text{Im} \text{cov}_\rho(H_1, H_2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Here Re and Im denote the real part and imaginary part of a complex number, respectively. Accordingly, the Schrödinger uncertainty relation (3) is apparently stronger than the Heisenberg uncertainty relation (2), and it sets a limit to quantum measurement by incorporating both the incompatibility (as quantified by the commutator) and the correlation (as quantified by the anticommutator) between the observables $H_1$ and $H_2$.

Now taking into account (4) and (5), the Schrödinger uncertainty relation (3) can be rewritten as

$$V(\rho, H_1) V(\rho, H_2) - |\text{cov}_\rho(H_1, H_2)|^2 > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The covariance matrix as defined by (1) is clearly positive, this seemingly simple fact already implies the uncertainty relations (2) and (6). From the general spirit of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, it is reasonable to expect that the covariance matrix can be bounded by some positive matrix from below. This paper is devoted to establishing such a lower bound from an informational approach. We will prove a matrix inequality between the covariance matrix and the quantum Fisher information matrix involving the symmetric logarithmic derivative. As a simple consequence by taking determinant of positive matrices, we readily obtain an uncertainty relation which is stronger than inequality (6), and moreover possesses an intuitive informational interpretation.

2. Quantum Fisher information. First we recall some notation and notions. We will use the standard Dirac notion of ket $|\psi\rangle$ for representing a vector in a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the adjoint of $|\psi\rangle$ is called a bra and denoted by $\langle\psi|$. The inner product in $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $\langle\psi|\phi\rangle$, which is equivalent to the usual mathematical notation $\langle\psi, \phi\rangle$. Moreover, for an operator $A$ on $\mathcal{H}$, $\langle\psi|A|\phi\rangle$ just means mathematically $\langle\psi, A\phi\rangle$. By $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ we mean the rank one operator projecting on $|\psi\rangle$.

A matrix $A$ is called positive if $\langle\psi|A|\psi\rangle \geq 0$ for any vector $|\psi\rangle$. The matrix inequality $A \geq B$ means that $A - B$ is positive.

In order to improve inequality (6) and to understand the Heisenberg uncertainty principle from a more general perspective, we will take an informational approach. The idea is to use a quantum analogue of the classical Fisher information matrix to set a positive lower bound to the covariance matrix $C$. This will in particular imply a lower bound to the quantity $V(\rho, H_1) V(\rho, H_2) - |\text{cov}_\rho(H_1, H_2)|^2$ in the left-hand side of inequality (6).

To motivate our consideration, let us recall the definition of the classical Fisher information matrix. For a family of probability densities $\{p_\theta\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ with parameters $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying some regularity conditions, its Fisher information matrix $J_\theta := (J_{\alpha\beta})_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n}$ is defined by

$$J_{\alpha\beta} := \int \frac{\partial \ln p_\theta(x)}{\partial \theta_\alpha} \frac{\partial \ln p_\theta(x)}{\partial \theta_\beta} p_\theta(x) \, dx$$

(see [5], [7]). Of course, the above quantity usually depends on the set of parameters $\theta$. But in the particular and important case when $m = n$ and $\theta$ is a set of shift parameters in
the sense that \( p_{\theta}(x) = p(x - \theta) \) for some probability density \( p(x) \), the Fisher information matrix \( J_{\theta} \) is independent of the parameters \( \theta \) and, due to the translation invariance of the Lebesgue integral, it can be expressed as

\[
J_{\alpha\beta} = \int \frac{\partial \ln p(x)}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial \ln p(x)}{\partial x_\beta} p(x) \, dx. \tag{7}
\]

The quantum analogues of a probability density \( p \) and the integration are a density operator \( \rho \) and the trace, respectively. In noncommutative probability and quantum calculus, the derivative of a state \( \rho \) with respect to an observable \( H_{\alpha} \) is represented by the commutator [4]

\[
D_{H_{\alpha}} \rho := i[\rho, H_{\alpha}].
\]

This is the quantum analogue of the classical derivative \( \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x} \).

The classical logarithmic derivative \( \frac{\partial \ln p(x)}{\partial x} \) has many different quantum analogues. One distinguished variant with special significance in the quantum detection and estimation theory is the so-called symmetric logarithmic derivative [1]–[3], [8]–[12]. More precisely, the symmetric logarithmic derivative of the state \( \rho \) with respect to an observable \( H_{\alpha} \) is a self-adjoint operator \( L_{\alpha} \) determined by

\[
\lambda_{\alpha} \rho = \sum_{j,k} \lambda_{\alpha} h_{jk}^{(\alpha)} \rho h_{kj}^{(\beta)}, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Now following [8], [9] and mimicking the classical expression (7), we can define the quantum Fisher information matrix \( F := \{ F_{\alpha\beta} \}_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} \) (based on the symmetric logarithmic derivative) as

\[
F_{\alpha\beta} := \text{tr} \rho L_{\alpha} L_{\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{8}
\]

This matrix is apparently positive. It plays a central role in the theory of quantum estimation for shift parameters.

3. A matrix inequality and uncertainty relations. Our main result is the following inequality relating the covariance matrix \( C \) defined by (1) and the quantum Fisher information matrix defined by (8).

**Theorem.** We have \( C \preceq \frac{1}{2} F \). In particular,

\[
V(\rho, H_1) V(\rho, H_2) - |\text{cov}_\rho(H_1, H_2)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{16} (F_{11} F_{22} - |F_{12}|^2). \tag{9}
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that the dimension of the Hilbert space is \( d \), and the spectral decomposition of \( \rho \) is \( \rho = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j| \). Here \( \{|\psi_i\rangle\} \) is the set of eigenvectors of \( \rho \) with corresponding eigenvalues \( \{\lambda_j\} \) (counting multiplicity). We may further assume that \( \{|\psi_j\rangle\} \) constitutes an orthonormal base for the Hilbert space, and thus we have the resolution of identity

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{d} |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j| = 1. \tag{10}
\]

For \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, n \), put \( \langle H_{\alpha} \rangle := \text{tr} \rho H_{\alpha} \) and let \( h_{jk}^{(\alpha)} := \langle \psi_j| H_{\alpha} - \langle H_{\alpha} \rangle |\psi_k\rangle \). By the defining equation (1),

\[
C_{\alpha\beta} = \text{tr} (H_{\alpha} - \langle H_{\alpha} \rangle 1) (H_{\beta} - \langle H_{\beta} \rangle 1) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \langle \psi_j| (H_{\alpha} - \langle H_{\alpha} \rangle 1) (H_{\beta} - \langle H_{\beta} \rangle 1) |\psi_j\rangle
\]

by (10)

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j \langle \psi_j| (H_{\alpha} - \langle H_{\alpha} \rangle 1)(H_{\beta} - \langle H_{\beta} \rangle 1)|\psi_j\rangle = \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \lambda_j h_{jk}^{(\alpha)} \lambda_k h_{kj}^{(\beta)}. \]

This matrix is apparently positive. It plays a central role in the theory of quantum estimation for shift parameters.
On the other hand, from \([\rho, H_\alpha] = [\rho, H_\alpha - (H_\alpha) 1] + \iota[\rho, H_\alpha] = \frac{1}{2} (L_\alpha \rho + \rho L_\alpha), \alpha = 1, \ldots, n\), we have

\[
\langle \psi_j | i\rho (H_\alpha - (H_\alpha) 1) - (H_\alpha - (H_\alpha) 1) \rho | \psi_k \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi_j | L_\alpha \rho + \rho L_\alpha | \psi_k \rangle, \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, d.
\]

That is, \(i (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \langle \psi_j | H_\alpha - (H_\alpha) 1_k \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_j + \lambda_k) \langle \psi_j | L_\alpha | \psi_k \rangle\) which implies

\[
\langle \psi_j | L_\alpha | \psi_k \rangle = \frac{2i (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)}{\lambda_j + \lambda_k} h_j^{(\alpha)}.
\]

(11)

Now by the defining equation (8),

\[
F_{\alpha\beta} = \text{tr} \rho L_\alpha L_\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \langle \psi_j | \rho L_\alpha L_\beta | \psi_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j \langle \psi_j | L_\alpha L_\beta | \psi_j \rangle
\]

by (10)

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j \langle \psi_j | L_\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{d} | \psi_k \rangle \langle \psi_k | L_\beta | \psi_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j \langle \psi_j | L_\alpha | \psi_k \rangle \langle \psi_k | L_\beta | \psi_j \rangle
\]

by (11)

\[
= \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \lambda_j \frac{4}{(\lambda_j + \lambda_k)^2} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 h_{j,k}^{(\alpha)} h_{j,k}^{(\beta)}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
C_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \lambda_j h_{j,k}^{(\alpha)} h_{j,k}^{(\beta)} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \lambda_j \frac{4}{(\lambda_j + \lambda_k)^2} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 h_{j,k}^{(\alpha)} h_{j,k}^{(\beta)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \frac{4 \lambda_j^2 \lambda_k}{(\lambda_j + \lambda_k)^2} h_{j,k}^{(\alpha)} h_{j,k}^{(\beta)}.
\]

Consequently,

\[
C - \frac{1}{4} F = \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \frac{4 \lambda_j^2 \lambda_k}{(\lambda_j + \lambda_k)^2} \left( \begin{array}{c} h_{j,k}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ h_{j,k}^{(n)} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} h_{j,k}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ h_{j,k}^{(n)} \end{array} \right).
\]

Since each summand matrix is a rank one projection (modulo a positive constant) and thus positive, it follows that \(C - \frac{1}{4} F\) is positive and accordingly \(C \geq \frac{1}{4} F\).

Now because both \(C\) and \(F\) are positive, by taking determinant of both sides of \(C \geq \frac{1}{4} F\), we have

\[
det C \geq \det \left( \frac{1}{4} F \right).
\]

In particular, when \(n = 2\), we have

\[
det C = V(\rho, H_1) \cdot V(\rho, H_2) - |\text{cov}_{\rho}(H_1, H_2)|^2, \quad \det \left( \frac{1}{4} F \right) = \frac{1}{16} (F_{11} F_{22} - |F_{12}|^2).
\]

Inequality (9) follows immediately.

Finally, we remark that \(\det \left( \frac{1}{4} F \right) > 0\) and, in general, the strict inequality holds. Thus the uncertainty relation (9) is stronger than the Schrödinger uncertainty relation (6).

4. Conclusion. We have established a matrix inequality connecting the covariance matrix and the quantum Fisher information matrix defined via the symmetric logarithmic derivative. This inequality has two natural interpretations dual to each other: It can be regarded either as that the information matrix places a lower bound to the covariance matrix, or as that the covariance matrix sets an upper bound to the information matrix. Both are in the spirit of the uncertainty principle and in fact can be regarded as particular quantifications of it. As an interesting consequence, we readily obtain an uncertainty relation which improves the Schrödinger uncertainty relation.
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