Math-Net.Ru
All Russian mathematical portal

N. K. Bakirov, G. J. Szekely, Student's t-test for Gaussian scale mixtures, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI*, 2005, Volume 328, 5–19

Use of the all-Russian mathematical portal Math-Net.Ru implies that you have read and agreed to these terms of use
http://www.mathnet.ru/eng/agreement

Download details:
IP: 54.87.243.161
September 3, 2015, 13:49:33

Записки научных
семинаров ПОМИ
Том 328, 2005 г.

N. K. Bakirov, G. J. Székely

STUDENT'S t-TEST FOR GAUSSIAN SCALE MIXTURES

Abstract. A Student type test is constructed under weaker than normal condition. We assume the errors are *scale mixtures* of normal random variables and compute the critical values of the suggested s-test. Our s-test is *optimal* in the sense that if the level is at most α, the s-test provides the minimal critical values. (The most important critical values are tablulated at the end of the paper.) For $\alpha \leqslant .05$ the two-sided s-test is identical with Student's classical t-test. In general, the s-test is a t-type test but its degree of freedom should be reduced depending on α. The s-test is applicable for many heavy tailed errors including symmetric stable, Laplace, logistic, or exponential power. Our results explain when and why the P-value corresponding to the t-statistic is robust if the underlying distribution is a scale mixture of normal distributions.

0.1. Introduction

Student's classical t-test [Student(1908)] is particularly vulnerable to long-tailed non-normality. In this paper a new statistic is proposed to guard against this situation. The new test is optimal in the sense that it minimizes the critical values in the family of Gaussian scale mixtures when the level is at most a given number. Our theorems are closely related to problems in Benjamini (1983) Sec. 6.1, and Basu and DasGupta (1995).

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ be independent normal random variables with common mean μ, not necessarily equal variances σ_k^2 (at least one of them nonzero), $\overline{X} = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k/n$, $S_X^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - \overline{X})^2/(n-1) \neq 0$ and $T_n = \sqrt{n}(\overline{X} - \mu)/S_X$.

If $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \ldots = \sigma_n$, and

$$R = \frac{nx^2}{x^2 + n - 1}, \tag{1}$$

First autor's work was supported by the Grants RFFI 05-01-97924 and INTAS 04-77-7173.

then for $x \geqslant 0$ and $n \geqslant 2$,

$$P\{|T_n| > x\} = P\{|t_{n-1}| > x\} = P\left\{\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i\right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2} > R\right\},$$

where $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n$ are i.i.d. standard normal random variables, t_{n-1} is a t-distributed random variable with degree of freedom $n-1$. (For the idea of this equation, see Efron (1969), p. 1279.)

In the nonhomogeneous case denote the supremum of the double-tail probability by

$$2\overline{s}_{n-1}(x) := \sup_{\substack{\sigma_k \geqslant 0 \\ k=1,2,\ldots,n}} P\{|T_n| > x\}. \tag{2}$$

We also need the notation $s_{n-1}(x) = 1 - \overline{s}_{n-1}(x)$ and the inverse transformation of (1): $x = \sqrt{R(n-1)/(n-R)}$.

Theorem 1. *For arbitrary $x \geqslant 0$ and $n \geqslant 2$,*

$$\overline{s}_{n-1}(x) = \max_{R<k\leqslant n} P\left\{t_{k-1} > \sqrt{\frac{R(k-1)}{k-R}}\right\},$$

where $s_{n-1}(x) = 1/2$ if $0 \leqslant x < 1$, $s_{n-1}(1) = 3/4$, and $s_{n-1}(x) = t_{n-1}(x)$ for $x \geqslant \sqrt{3(n-1)/(n-3)}$.

Theorem 1 can easily be generalized for arbitrary scale mixtures of Gaussian errors. Their pdf has the form $\int_0^\infty \varphi((x-\mu)/\sigma)d\,F(\sigma)$, where φ is the standard normal pdf and $F(\sigma)$ is an arbitrary cdf on the nonnegative half-line. For scale mixtures of normal distributions see Efron and Olshen (1978) and Gneiting (1997). Scale mixtures are important in finance and in many other areas of applications where the errors are heavy tailed, e.g., symmetric stable. Normal scale mixtures also include Student's t, Laplace, logistic, exponential power, etc. See, e.g., Kelker (1971) and Gneiting (1997).

Theorem 1 obviously implies

Theorem 2. *Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ be an i.i.d. sample from a Gaussian scale mixture and let Y_k be independent normal $(0, \sigma_k^2)$ random variables, $\overline{Y} = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k/n$ $S_Y^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n (Y_k - \overline{Y})^2/(n-1)$. Then*

$$P\left\{\sqrt{n}\,\frac{\overline{X}-\mu}{S_X} > x\right\} = \int_{R^n} P\left\{\sqrt{n}\,\frac{\overline{Y}}{S_Y} > x\right\} \prod_{k=1}^n d\,F(\sigma_k) \leqslant \overline{s}_{n-1}(x).$$

Introduce the notation

$$g_k(R) = P\left\{\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i\right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i^2} > R\right\} = P\left\{|t_{k-1}| > \sqrt{\frac{R(k-1)}{k-R}}\right\}$$

and

$$\Delta_k(R) = g_{k+1}(R) - g_k(R).$$

Proposition.

(i) *For $k = 2, 3, \ldots, n-1$, there exists a unique point $r(k) \in (1, k)$, such that $\Delta_k(R) < 0$ if $R < r(k)$ and $\Delta_k(R) > 0$ if $r(k) < R < k$;*

(ii) *$r(1) := 1 < r(2) < r(3) < \ldots < r(n-1) < r(n)$, i.e., the sequence $r(k)$ is strictly increasing;*

(iii) $r(k) \underset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 3$.

Corollary 1. (i) *For $R \in [r(k-1), r(k)]$, $k = 2, 3, \ldots, n-1$,*

$$s_{n-1}(x) = P\left\{t_{k-1} > \sqrt{\frac{R(k-1)}{k-R}}\right\}.$$

(ii) *For $R \geqslant r(n-1)$,*

$$s_{n-1}(x) = t_{n-1}(x).$$

According to our Table 1, the one-sided 0.025 level s-critical values coincide the classical t-critical values. Splus can easily compute that $r(2) = 1.726$, $r(3) = 2.040$ thus according to Table 1, for the one-sided $\alpha = 0.125$ critical values $\overline{s}_{n-1}(x) = \overline{t}_1(R) = 0.125$ and similarly, $\overline{s}_{n-1}(x) = \overline{t}_2(R) = 0.1$. One can also compute that $\overline{s}_{n-1} = \overline{t}_{\min(n-1,13)} = .05$.

Corollary 2. *For $x \geqslant 0$, the scale mixture counterpart of the standard normal cdf is*

$$\Phi^*(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} s_n(x) = \sup_{x^2<k} P(t_{k-1} \leqslant x\sqrt{(k-1)/(k-x^2)}). \qquad (*)$$

($\Phi^*(-x) = 1 - \Phi^*(x)$). For $0 \leqslant x < 1$, $\Phi^*(x) = .5$, $\Phi^*(1) = .75$, for $x \geqslant \sqrt{3}$, $\Phi^*(x) = \Phi(x)$, where $\Phi(x)$ is the standard normal cdf ($\Phi^*(\sqrt{3}) = \Phi(\sqrt{3}) = 0.958$). For quantiles between .5 and .875 the sup *in $(*)$ is taken at $k = 2$ and thus in this interval $\Phi^*(x) = C(x/\sqrt{(2-x^2)})$, where $C(x)$ is the standard Cauchy cdf. It is interesting to compare some Φ^* and Φ*

critical values (when they do not coincide): $0.95 = \Phi(1.645) = \Phi^*(1.650)$, $0.9 = \Phi(1.282) = \Phi^*(1.386)$, $0.875 = \Phi(1.150) = \Phi^*(1.307)$ *(see the last row of Table 1).*

On the robustness of t-statistic and on substitute t-statistics see, e.g., Tukey and McLaughin (1963). In this paper, it was found that "trimmed" t is distributed approximately as a t-variable with reduced degrees of freedom. This result is similar to ours: s-statistics are t-type statistics with reduced degrees of freedom.

Our approach can also be applied for two-sample tests. In a forthcoming paper the Behrens–Fisher problem will be discussed for Gaussian scale mixture errors with the help of our $s_n(x)$ function.

If the error distribution is not necessarily a scale mixture of normal distributions, but symmetric and unimodal, then according to a classical result of Khintchin, the errors are scale mictures of centered uniform distributions (see, e.g., Feller (1966), p. 155). Thus if the random variables $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n$ are independent and Uniform $[-1, 1]$ distributed, then our Theorems suggest that in this case $\overline{s}_{n-1}(x)$ should be replaced by

$$\overline{u}_{n-1}(x) = \max_{R<k\leqslant n} P\left\{\frac{U_1 + U_2 \cdots + U_k}{\sqrt{U_1^2 + U_2^2 \cdots + U_k^2}} > \sqrt{\frac{R(k-1)}{k-R}}\right\}.$$

We plan to return to this problem in another paper. For a related result see Basu and DasGupta (1995).

Finally, on the history of Student's test and on the problem of non-normal errors see Fisher (1925), Pearson(1929), Rider (1929) and (1931), Bartlett (1935), Landerman (1939), Rietz (1939), Hotelling (1961), Tukey and McLaughin (1963), Efron (1969), Prescott (1975), Lee and Gurland (1977), Eisenhart (1979), Cressie (1980), and Székely (1986).

0.2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\xi_k = (X_k - \theta)/\sigma_k$ be i.i.d. standard normal random variables. Then the event $A := \{|T_n| > x\} = \left\{R\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k^2\xi_k^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k\xi_k\right)^2 < 0\right\}$. If $R < 1$, or equivalently, $|x| < 1$, the supremum in (2) is $2\overline{s}_{n-1}(x) = 1$, and this is reached when $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \ldots = \sigma_{n-1} = 0$, $\sigma_n \neq 0$. If $x \geqslant 1$ or equivalently, $1 \leqslant R < n$, then $A = \{(\xi, G\boldsymbol{\xi}) < 0\}$, where $\xi =$

$(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)^T$, $G = D(RI - E)D$, I is the $n \times n$ unit matrix,

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can compute the eigenvalues $\lambda_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ of the matrix G from its characteristic equation: $f(\lambda) := \det(G - \lambda I) = 0$.

The next lemma is proved in Appendix.

Lemma 1.

$$f(\lambda) = \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{R\sigma_k^2 - \lambda}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{n} (\lambda - R\sigma_k^2) = 0. \tag{3}$$

This equation has a single negative root because for $\lambda < 0$ only the first factor in (3) can be 0, and the sum in the first factor decreases monotonically from $n/R > 1$ (when $\lambda = 0$) to 0 as $\lambda \to \infty$. For concreteness, denote the unique negative root of (3) by λ_n, thus for all other roots $\lambda_k \geqslant 0$ $(k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$. Since the sum of the roots of (3) is equal to the negative of the coefficient of λ^{n-1} in the expansion of (3), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k = (R-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_k^2. \tag{4}$$

The following lemma is also proved in the Appendix.

Lemma 2. *Let $\xi_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ be i.i.d. standard normal random variables. Then for all n and $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n \geqslant 0$,*

$$P\left\{\xi_0^2 \geqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_i \xi_i^2\right\} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}(1+t)\sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1 + (1+t)\mu_i)}}.$$

This means

$$P\{A\} = P\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k \xi_k^2 < 0\right\} = P\left\{\frac{\xi_n^2}{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_k}{|\lambda_n|} \xi_k^2} > 1\right\} =$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+t)^{-1}\,dt}{\pi\sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{\lambda_k}{|\lambda_n|}(1+t)\right)}}. \tag{5}$$

The event A does not change if we multiply every σ_k by the same positive constant thus without the loss of generality we can suppose

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{R\sigma_k^2+1} = 1. \tag{6}$$

This means $\lambda_n = -1$ and thus

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{\lambda_k}{|\lambda_n|}(1+t)\right) =$$

$$(1+t)^{n-1}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{1+t}+\lambda_k\right)\right)\Big/\left(\frac{1}{1+t}-1\right) = \frac{(1+t)^n}{t}\left|f\left(-\frac{1}{1+t}\right)\right|,$$

so, after change of variables $s = -1/(1+t)$ in (5), we get

$$P\{A\} = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{s^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\,ds}{\sqrt{f(-s)}} = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{R}\,s^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\,ds}{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{x_k}{x_k+s}-R\right)\prod_{k=1}^{n}(x_k+s)}}, \tag{7}$$

where $x_k = R\sigma_k^2$. Condition (6) now has the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{x_k}{x_k+1} = R. \tag{8}$$

First we show $2\overline{s}_n(1) = 1/2$ for all n. By the integral representation (5), we have the inequality:

$$P\left\{\xi_n^2 > \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\lambda_k\xi_k^2\right\} \leqslant P\left\{\xi_n^2 > \xi_1^2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\lambda_k\right\}.$$

On the other hand, by (4) and (8), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\lambda_k = 1+\left(1-\frac{1}{R}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{n}x_k \geqslant 1+\left(1-\frac{1}{R}\right)n\frac{R}{n-R},$$

therefore

$$s_{n-1}(x) \leqslant P\left\{\xi_n^2 > \xi_1^2\left(1+\frac{n(R-1)}{n-R}\right)\right\} = 1-\frac{2}{\pi}\arctan\sqrt{1+\frac{n(R-1)}{n-R}}.$$

Thus if $x = R = 1$ we get $2\overline{s}_n(1) \leqslant 1/2$ and equality can be reached via the choice $x_1 = x_2 \neq 0$, $x_3 = x_4 = \ldots = x_n = 0$.

Finally, consider the most important case when $R > 1$. Assume the supremum of (7) is taken at some finite point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$. With the notation

$$U(\mathbf{x}, s) = \frac{s^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\sqrt{R}}{\sqrt{P(\mathbf{x}, s)\prod_{k=1}^n (x_k+s)}}, \qquad P(\mathbf{x}, s) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{x_k}{(x_k+1)(x_k+s)},$$

we can rewrite (7) in the following way:

$$P\{A\} = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{U(\mathbf{x}, s)}{\sqrt{1-s}}\, d\, s.$$

Now, fix all x_k's except $x_i = y$ and $x_j = z$ and consider $x_j = y$ as a function of z. By (8),

$$\frac{d\, y}{d\, z} = -\frac{(y+1)^2}{(z+1)^2}. \tag{9}$$

Assuming $z > 0$, $y > 0$,

$$\frac{d\, P\{A\}}{d\, z} = -\frac{\Delta}{2\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{h\left(z, y, P(\mathbf{x}, s)\right)}{\sqrt{1-s}} U(\mathbf{x}, s)\, d\, s, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{z-y}{(1+z)^2},$$

$$f(z, y, v) = \frac{\alpha+\beta s}{(z+s)(y+s)} - \frac{2s\left(\alpha+\frac{1+s}{2}\beta\right)}{(z+s)^2(y+s)^2 v}, \tag{11}$$

$$\alpha = yz-1, \qquad \beta = y+z+2.$$

Define a functional $L(h)$ as follows

$$L(h) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{h(s)}{\sqrt{1-s}} U(\mathbf{x}, s)\, d\, s.$$

Then

$$P\{A\} = L(1), \qquad \frac{d\,P\{A\}}{d\,z} = -\frac{\Delta}{2}L(h),$$

$$\frac{d\,L(h)}{d\,z} = -\frac{\Delta}{2}L(h^2) + L\left(\frac{d\,h}{d\,z}\right). \tag{12}$$

The next lemma is proved in the Appendix.

Lemma 3.

$$\frac{d\,h}{d\,z} = \Delta(h - h^2).$$

Finally, if $y > 0$, $z > 0$ in the point of maximum $\mathbf{x}$ and $z \neq y$, then by the necessary condition of maximum, $L(h) = 0$ and by Lemma 3 and (12),

$$\frac{d^2P\{A\}}{d\,z^2} = \frac{3\Delta^2}{4}L(h^2) > 0,$$

which contradicts the maximality of $P\{A\}$. So at the point of maximum all nonzero x_k' s are equal.

The only claim we have not proved: $s_{n-1}(x) = t_{n-1}(x)$ for $x \geqslant \sqrt{3(n-1)/(n-3)}$. This follows from Proposition 1 (iii).

The theorem is proved.

0.3. Proof of Proposition 1

(i) It is easy to see that $\Delta_k(k) = g_{k+1}(k) > 0$. On the other hand, (7) implies

$$g_k(1) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{s^{-1/2}(1-s)^{-1/2}d\,s}{\sqrt{\left(1+\frac{1}{s(k-1)}\right)^{k-1}}},$$

where the integrand is strictly decreasing, therefore $\Delta_k(1) < 0$ for all positive k. So $\Delta_k(R) = 0$ for at least one $R \in (1, k)$.

If we differentiate

$$\Delta_k(R) = \frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi k}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{Rk}{k+1-R}}} \left(1+\frac{u^2}{k}\right)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} d\,u -$$

$$-\frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(k-1)}\Gamma\left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right)}\int\limits_0^{\sqrt{\frac{R(k-1)}{k-R}}}\left(1+\frac{u^2}{k-1}\right)^{-\frac{k}{2}}d\,u$$

with respect to R we can see that $\Delta_k(R)$ cannot have more than one zero.

(ii) For small k the monotonicity of the function $r(k)$ can be seen from computing the actual values of $r(k)$. Some approximate r-values are the following: $r(2) = 1.726$, $r(3) = 2.040$, $r(4) = 2.226$, $r(5) = 2.352$, $r(6) = 2.442$, $r(7) = 2.510$, $r(8) = 2.568$, $r(9) = 2.607$, $r(10) = 2.642, \ldots, r(20) = 2.881$, $r(120) = 2.967$. For general k let us rewrite the definition of $r(k)$, the equation $\Delta_k(R) = g_{k+1}(R) - g_k(R) = 0$, as follows:

$$\frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(k-1)}\Gamma\left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right)}\int\limits_0^{\sqrt{\frac{R(k-1)}{k-R}}}\left(1+\frac{u^2}{k-1}\right)^{-\frac{k}{2}}d\,u =$$

$$= \frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi k}\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}\int\limits_0^{\sqrt{\frac{Rk}{k+1-R}}}\left(1+\frac{u^2}{k}\right)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}d\,u. \tag{12}$$

This equation defines $r(k)$ for all real numbers $k > 1$ and we can show that the derivative $r'(k) > 0$. We omit the details of the routine but long proof.

(iii) Let us now prove the most interesting part of Proposition 1. Rewrite equation (12) as follows

$$M_k\int\limits_0^p A_k(u)d\,u = \int\limits_0^q B_k(u)d\,u, \tag{13}$$

where

$$M_k := \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\sqrt{k}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)\sqrt{k-1}}$$

$$A_k(u) := \left(1+\frac{u^2}{k-1}\right)^{-\frac{k}{2}},$$

$$B_k(u) := \left(1+\frac{u^2}{k}\right)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} = A_k(u)\exp\left\{\frac{2u^2-u^4}{4k^2}+o\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right)\right\},$$

$$p := \sqrt{\frac{R(k-1)}{k-R}}, \qquad q := \sqrt{\frac{Rk}{k+1-R}}.$$

By Stirling's formula, as $z \to \infty$, we have

$$\ln \Gamma(z) = -z + \left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln z + \ln \sqrt{2\pi} + \frac{1}{12z} + \frac{\theta}{360|z|^3}, \quad |\theta| \leqslant 1,$$

thus,

$$M_k = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4k^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^3}\right)\right\}.$$

One can easily show that the sequence $r(k)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ is bounded, thus it has a finite limit r^*.

If $R = r^* + o(1)$, we have

$$q = p + \frac{\sqrt{r^*}(1-r^*)}{2k^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right),$$

thus the k^{-2} order asymptotics of (13) is

$$\frac{\sqrt{r^*}(1-r^*)}{2} e^{-\frac{r^*}{2}} + \int_0^{\sqrt{r^*}} \frac{2u^2 - u^4 + 1}{4} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} d\,u = 0.$$

Integration by parts shows $r^* = 3$.

0.4. Appendix

Proof of Lemma 1. It is sufficient to consider the case $\sigma_k \neq 0$ for all k. Then $f(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - G) = \det D^2 \det\left(\lambda D^{-2} - RI + E\right)$. Introduce $a_k := \lambda \sigma_k^{-2} - R$.

$$\det\left(\lambda D^{-2} - RI + E\right) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1+1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ 1 & a_2+1 & \ldots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \ldots & a_n+1 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1+1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ -a_1 & a_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ -a_1 & 0 & a_3 & \ldots & 0 \\ -a_1 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & a_n \end{pmatrix} = (a_1+1)a_2a_3\ldots a_n +$$

$$+\sum_{i=2}^{n}(-1)^{1+i}(-1)^{1+i}\frac{a_2a_3\ldots a_n}{a_i}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}a_i\left[1+\frac{1}{a_1}++\frac{1}{a_2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{a_n}\right],$$

which proves Lemma 1.

Proof of Lemma 2 [16]**.** Denote

$$g(x)=P\{\xi_0^2>x\}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_0^\infty z^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{z}{2}}d\,z=$$

$$=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}x^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{x}{2}}\int_0^\infty(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{tx}{2}}d\,t.$$

The last integral is a degenerate Tricomi hypergeometric function (see Bateman and Erdelyi (1953) 6.5 (2) and (6)):

$$\Psi(a,c,z):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}\int_0^\infty t^{a-1}(1+t)^{c-a-1}e^{-zt}d\,t,\quad \mathrm{Re}\ a>0,\ \mathrm{Re}\ z>0,$$

and

$$\Psi(a,c,z)=z^{1-c}\Psi(1-c+a,2-c,z).$$

Thus

$$\int_0^\infty(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{tx}{2}}d\,t=\Psi\left(1,\frac{3}{2},\frac{x}{2}\right)=x^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{x}{2}\right)=$$

$$=\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2}x^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+t)^{-1}e^{-\frac{tx}{2}}d\,t,$$

therefore,

$$g(x)=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+t)^{-1}e^{-\frac{x(1+t)}{2}}d\,t\ .$$

For $\tau:=\sum_{k=1}^n\mu_i\xi_i^2$,

$$P\left\{\xi_0^2\geqslant\sum_{k=1}^n\mu_i\xi_i^2\right\}=Eg(\tau)=\int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+t)^{-1}Ee^{-\frac{\tau(1+t)}{2}}d\,t=$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\,t}{\sqrt{t}(1+t)\sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^n (1+(1+t)\mu_i)}}.$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

Proof of Lemma 3. It is easy to check that

$$(y+s)(z+s) = \alpha + \beta s + (1-s)^2. \tag{A1}$$

By (9), we have

$$\frac{d\,\alpha}{d\,z} = y + zy' = \Delta\alpha, \quad \frac{d\,\beta}{d\,z} = 1 + y' = \Delta\beta,$$

$$\frac{d}{d\,z}\left((y+s)(z+s)\right) = \frac{d}{d\,z}(\alpha+\beta s) = \Delta(\alpha+\beta s),$$

so, for the first term in h,

$$\frac{d}{d\,z}\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta s}{(y+s)(z+s)}\right) = \frac{\Delta(1-s)^2(\alpha+\beta s)}{(y+s)^2(z+s)^2}. \tag{A2}$$

With $V := P(\mathbf{x}, s)$ and $\gamma := 2s\,(\alpha + (1+s)\beta/2)$,

$$\frac{d\,V}{d\,z} = \frac{d}{d\,z}\frac{1}{1-s}\left(\frac{y}{y+s} + \frac{z}{z+s}\right) =$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\,z}\frac{1}{1-s}\frac{2\alpha+\beta s+2(1-s)}{(y+s)(z+s)} = -\frac{\Delta\gamma}{(y+s)^2(z+s)^2},$$

therefore, for the second term in h,

$$\frac{d}{d\,z}\left(\frac{\gamma}{(y+s)^2(z+s)^2 V}\right) = \tag{A3}$$

$$= \frac{\Delta\gamma}{(y+s)^2(z+s)^2 V}\left(\frac{(1-s)^2 - (\alpha+\beta s)}{(y+s)(z+s)} + \frac{\gamma}{(y+s)^2(z+s)^2 V}\right).$$

Finally, (A1), (A2), and (A3) imply Lemma 3.

Table 1. Critical x-values for the one-sided s-test

n-1	0.125	0.100	0.050	0.025
2	1.625	1.886	2.920	4.303
3	1.495	1.664	2.353	3.182
4	1.440	1.579	2.132	2.776
5	1.410	1.534	2.015	2.571
6	1.391	1.506	1.943	2.447
7	1.378	1.487	1.895	2.365
8	1.368	1.473	1.860	2.306
9	1.361	1.462	1.833	2.262
10	1.355	1.454	1.812	2.228
11	1.351	1.448	1.796	2.201
12	1.347	1.442	1.782	2.179
13	1.344	1.437	1.771	2.160
14	1.341	1.434	1.761	2.145
15	1.338	1.430	1.753	2.131
16	1.336	1.427	1.746	2.120
17	1.335	1.425	1.740	2.110
18	1.333	1.422	1.735	2.101
19	1.332	1.420	1.730	2.093
20	1.330	1.419	1.725	2.086
21	1.329	1.417	1.722	2.080
22	1.328	1.416	1.718	2.074
23	1.327	1.414	1.715	2.069
24	1.326	1.413	1.712	2.064
25	1.325	1.412	1.709	2.060
100	1.311	1.392	1.664	1.984
500	1.307	1.387	1.652	1.965
1,000	1.307	1.386	1.651	1.962

References

1. M. S Bartlett, *The effect of nonnormality on the t-distribution.* — Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **31** (1935), 223–231.
2. S. Basu, DasGupta, *Robustness of standard confidence intervals for location parameters under departure from normality.* — Ann. Statist. **23** (1995), 1433–1442.
3. Y. Benjamini, *Is the t-test really conservative when the parent distribution is long-tailed?* — J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. **78** (1983), 645–654.
4. H. Bateman, A. Erdelyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions.* Vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York (1953).
5. N. Cressie, *Relaxing assumption in the one-sided t-test.* — Australian J. Statist. **22** (1980), 143–153.
6. B. Efron, *Student's t-test under symmetry conditions.* — J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. **64** (1969), 1278–1302.
7. B. Efron, R. A. Olshen, *How broad is the class of normal scale mixtures?* — Ann. Statist. **6**/5 (1978), 1159–1164.
8. C. Eisenhart, *On the transition of "Student's" z to "Student's" t.* — Amer. Statist. **33**/1 (1979), 6–10.
9. W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications.* Vol. II. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney (1966).
10. R. A. Fisher, *Applications of "Student's" distribution.* — Metron **5** (1925), 90–104.
11. T. Gneiting, *Normal scale mixtures and dual probability densities.* — J. Statist. Comput. Simul. **59** (1997), 375–384.
12. H. Hotelling, *The behavior of some standard statistical tests under nonstandard conditions.* — Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probab. 1, (1961), 319–360.
13. D. Kelker, *Infinite divisibility and variance mixtures of the normal distribution.* — Ann. Math. Statist. **42**/2 (1971), 802–808.
14. J. Landerman, *The distribution of "Student's" ratio for samples of two items drawn from non-normal universes.* — Ann. Math. Statist. **10** (1939), 376–379.
15. A. F. S. Lee, J. Gurland, *One-sample t test when sampling from a mixture of normal distributions.* — Ann. Statist. **5**/4 (1977), 803–7.
16. A. V. Makshanov, O. V. Shalaevsky, (1977), *Some problems of asymptotic theory of distributions.* — Zap. Nauchn. Semin. LOMI **74** 1977, 118–138.
17. E. S. Pearson, *The distribution of frequency constants in small samples from nonnormal symmetrical and skew populations.* — Biometrika **21** (1929), 259–286.
18. P. Prescott, *A simple alternative to Student's t.* — Appl. Statist. bf 24, (1975), 210–217.
19. P. R. Rieder, *On the distribution of the ratio of mean to standard deviation in small samples from nonnormal universes.* — Biometrika **21** (1929), 124–143.
20. P. R. Rider, *On small samples from certain nonnormal universe,* — Ann. Math. Statist. **2** (1931), 48–65.
21. P. R. Rietz, *On the distribution of the "Student" ratio for small samples from certain nonnormal populations.* — Ann. Math. Statist. **10**, (1939), 265–274.
22. Student, *The probable error of a mean.* — Biometrika **6** (1908), 1–25.

23. G. J. Székely, *Paradoxes in Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics.* — Reidel, Dordrecht (1986).
24. J. W. Tukey, D. H. McLaughin, *Less vulnerable confidence and significance procedures for location based on a single sample: Trimming/Winsorization.* — Sankhyā, Ser. A **25** (1963), 331–352.

Institute of Mathematics, USC
Ufa, Russia

Поступило 7 октября 2005 г.

Rényi Institute of Mathematics
Hungarian Academy of Sciences
Budapest, Hungary

E-mail: gabors@bgnet.bgsu.edu