Math-Net.Ru

Общероссийский математический портал

V. A. Gritsenko, G. K. Sankaran, Moduli of Abelian surfaces with a $(1, p^2)$ polarisation,
Изв. РАН. Сер. матем., 1996, том 60, выпуск 5, 19–26

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4213/im85>

Использование Общероссийского математического портала Math-Net.Ru подразумевает, что вы прочитали и согласны с пользовательским соглашением
<http://www.mathnet.ru/rus/agreement>

Параметры загрузки:

IP: 54.224.187.69

26 апреля 2023 г., 11:50:23

УДК 512.774.5+512.742.3

V. A. Gritsenko, G. K. Sankaran

Moduli of Abelian surfaces with a $(1, p^2)$ polarisation

The moduli space of abelian surfaces with a polarisation of type $(1, p^2)$ for p a prime was studied by O'Grady in [7], where it is shown that a compactification of this moduli space is of general type if $p \geq 17$. We shall show that in fact this is true if $p \geq 11$. Our methods overlap with those of [7], but are in some important ways different. We borrow notation freely from that paper when discussing the geometry of the moduli space.

1. Methods. Let $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$ denote the moduli space of abelian surfaces over $\mathbb{C}$ with a polarisation of type $(1, p^2)$. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ the toroidal compactification of $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$ and by $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ a partial desingularisation of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ having only canonical singularities.

To show that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is of general type (that is, roughly, that the pluricanonical bundles have many sections), one chooses an $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Pic } \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that $n\mathcal{E}$ (which is a bundle if n is sufficiently divisible) is not too far from the pluricanonical bundle $nK_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}$, and such that the space of sections $H^0(n\mathcal{E})$ can be calculated or at least estimated by some method. Then by knowing about the geometry of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ one can estimate the plurigenera, because the difference between $nK_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is known. In [7] the bundle used to play the rôle of $n\mathcal{E}$ arises from pulling back powers of the Hodge bundle on the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ of semi-stable genus 2 curves via the map $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ constructed there. Here, by contrast, we consider $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$ as a Siegel modular variety, i.e., as a quotient of the Siegel upper half-space by the paramodular group Γ_{p^2} (an arithmetic subgroup of $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Q})$), and obtain a suitable bundle $n\mathcal{E}$ by considering cusp forms of weight $3n$ for Γ_{p^2} . A similar procedure is adopted in [6] for a different Siegel modular variety. But here we do not use all cusp forms of weight $3n$. Instead, we use the cusp form F_2 of weight 2 for Γ_{p^2} , constructed by the first author in [3], [4] and we consider modular forms of weight $3n$ of the form $F_n F_2^n$, where F_n is a modular form of weight n . The bundle that results has fewer sections than the one arising from all cusp forms but is much closer to $nK_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}$ and this turns out to give a better bound on the plurigenera for small p . Hulek and the first author have applied this idea to the situation of [6] and the improvement that results is described in [5].

2. Modular forms. If t is a positive integer, the paramodular group is defined to be the arithmetic subgroup of $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Q})$

$$\Gamma_t = \left\{ \gamma \in \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Q}) \mid \gamma \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & t\mathbb{Z} \\ t\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & t\mathbb{Z} & t\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & t\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \frac{1}{t}\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

It acts on the Siegel upper half-plane

$$\mathbb{H}_2 = \left\{ Z = \begin{pmatrix} \tau & z \\ z & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z = {}^T Z, \operatorname{Im} Z > 0 \right\}$$

by fractional linear transformations, i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} : Z \mapsto (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}.$$

The quotient $\Gamma_t \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$ is a coarse moduli space for abelian surfaces over $\mathbb{C}$ with a polarisation of type $(1, t)$. The group Γ_t is conjugate to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ only if t is a perfect square: in particular, if p is a prime and $t = p^2$, then Γ_{p^2} is conjugate to $\Gamma'_{p^2} < \operatorname{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$, where

$$\Gamma'_{p^2} = \left\{ \gamma \in \operatorname{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} \\ p\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} \\ p\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

We denote $\Gamma_{p^2} \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$ by $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$. This moduli space is a finite covering of the rational variety of abelian surfaces with principal polarisation. Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ be the toroidal compactification and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ the canonical partial resolution described in [7]. If we can show that $h^0(nK_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}) \sim n^3$ we shall have shown that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is of general type. For that we shall use special examples of modular forms of weight 2 with respect to Γ_{p^2} , which we now describe. We first define the so-called Jacobi forms (see [2]).

DEFINITION. A holomorphic function $\varphi(\tau, z) : \mathbb{H}_1 \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *Jacobi form* of index $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and weight k if for any $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and any $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ it satisfies the two functional equations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tau, z) &= (c\tau + d)^{-k} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i c m z^2}{c\tau + d}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d}\right), \\ \varphi(\tau, z) &= \exp(2\pi i m(\lambda^2 \tau + 2\lambda z)) \varphi(\tau, z + \lambda\tau + \mu) \end{aligned}$$

and it has a Fourier expansion of the type

$$\varphi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 4nm \geq l^2}} f(n, l) \exp(2\pi i(n\tau + lz)).$$

We call the function $\varphi(\tau, z)$ a Jacobi cusp form if we have the strict inequality $4nm > l^2$ in the last summation. We shall denote the space of all Jacobi cusp forms of index m and weight k by $\mathfrak{S}_{k,m}^J$.

The next theorem was proved in [3, Theorem 3].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $\varphi(\tau, z)$ be a Jacobi cusp form of weight k and index t with the Fourier expansion*

$$\varphi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 4nt > l^2}} f(n, l) \exp(2\pi i(n\tau + lz)).$$

Then the following function

$$F_\varphi(\tau, z, \tau') = \sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 4tmn > l^2}} \sum_{a | (n, l, m)} a^{k-1} f\left(\frac{nm}{a^2}, \frac{l}{a}\right) \exp\left(2\pi i\left(n\tau + \frac{lz}{t} + \frac{m\tau'}{t}\right)\right)$$

is a nontrivial cusp form of weight k with respect to the group Γ_t .

This result gives us, for example, a differential 3-form on a smooth model of $\Gamma_t \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$. As a corollary we have irrationality of the moduli space of abelian surfaces with polarisation $(1, t)$ for $t \geq 13$ and $t \neq 14, 15, 16, 20, 24, 30, 36$ (see [3] for details). To construct pluricanonical forms on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ we use

COROLLARY 2.2. *If $p \geq 11$ is a prime then there exists a nontrivial cusp form F_2 of weight 2 for Γ_{p^2} .*

PROOF. The dimension of the space of Jacobi cusp forms of weight k and index t is well known (see [2] and [8]). In our particular case

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_{2, p^2}^J = \sum_{j=1}^{p^2} \{1 + j\}_6 - \left\lfloor \frac{j^2}{4t} \right\rfloor$$

with

$$\{m\}_6 = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{m}{6} \rfloor & \text{if } m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ \lfloor \frac{m}{6} \rfloor - 1 & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

This formula shows that $\mathfrak{S}_{2, p^2}^J$ is nontrivial for $p \geq 11$.

It is not known whether such a cusp form F_2 exists for Γ_{p^2} if $p \leq 7$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *The space $\mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma_{p^2})$ of cusp forms of weight n for Γ_{p^2} satisfies*

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma_{p^2}) = \frac{p^2(p^2 + 1)}{8640} n^3 + O(n^2)$$

for any prime p .

PROOF. Γ_{p^2} is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ so we may as well work with Γ'_{p^2} . Exactly as in [6] (cf. also [9]) we obtain, for l large

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma(l)) \sim \frac{n^3}{8640} [\Gamma(1) : \Gamma(l)]$$

and if $p|l$ then $\Gamma(l) \subseteq \Gamma'_{p^2}$ and the cusp forms for Γ'_{p^2} are the $\Gamma'_{p^2}/\Gamma(l)$ -invariant cusp forms for $\Gamma(l)$. Using the Atiyah–Bott fixed point theorem, as in [9], we obtain

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma'_{p^2}) \sim \frac{2}{[\Gamma'_{p^2} : \Gamma(l)]} \dim \mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma(l))$$

since there is a contribution from $\gamma = -I$ as well as from $\gamma = I$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{[\Gamma'_{p^2} : \Gamma(l)]} \dim \mathcal{M}_n^*(\Gamma(l)) &\sim \frac{2}{[\Gamma'_{p^2} : \Gamma(l)]} \cdot \frac{n^3}{8640} [\Gamma(1) : \Gamma(l)] \\ &= \frac{1}{[\Gamma'_{p^2}/\pm I : \Gamma(l)]} \cdot \frac{n^3}{8640} [\Gamma(1) : \Gamma(l)] \\ &= \frac{n^3}{8640} [\Gamma(1) : \Gamma'_{p^2}/\pm I] \\ &= \frac{n^3}{8640} \deg(\pi : \mathcal{A}_2(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2) \\ &= \frac{p^2(p^2 + 1)}{8640} n^3 \end{aligned}$$

by [7, Lemma 2.1].

3. Pluricanonical forms and extension to the boundary. Choose a cusp form F_2 of weight 2 for Γ_{p^2} , $p \geq 11$; we can do this in view of Corollary 2.2. Suppose F_n is a modular form for Γ_{p^2} of weight n : then $\Phi = F_n F_2^n$ is a cusp form of weight $3n$. Let $\omega = d\tau \wedge dz \wedge d\tau'$ be the standard 3-form on $\mathbb{H}_2$. The form $\Phi \omega^{\otimes n}$ is invariant under Γ_{p^2} and therefore descends to give a pluricanonical form on $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$ except at the branch locus of $\mathbb{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2(p)$. If Φ were a general element of $\mathcal{M}_{3n}^*(\Gamma_{p^2})$ we should expect this form to have logarithmic poles at the boundary $\overline{\mathcal{A}_2(p)} \setminus \mathcal{A}_2(p)$, but because the cusp form we have chosen is special these poles do not occur. That is because Φ vanishes to high order (at least order n) at the cusps.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The differential $3n$ -form coming from $\Phi \omega^{\otimes n}$ extends over the generic point of each codimension 1 boundary component of $\overline{\mathcal{A}_2(p)}$.*

PROOF. According to [1, Chapter IV, Theorem 1] (see also [6, Proposition 1.1]), we need to check that in the Fourier–Jacobi expansion

$$\Phi(Z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \theta_{m, \Phi}^D(\tau_D, z_D) \exp\{2\pi i m \tau'_D\}$$

near the boundary component D , the coefficients $\theta_{m, \Phi}^D$ vanish for $m < n$. But we can write the expansion of $\Phi(Z)$ as a product of expansions of $F_2(Z)$ and $F_n(Z)$: we have

$$F_2(Z) = \sum_{m>0} \theta_{m, F_2}^D(\tau_D, z_D) \exp\{2\pi i m \tau_D'\}$$

(with $\theta_{0, F_2}^D(\tau_D, z_D) \equiv 0$ as F_2 is a cusp form), and similarly for F_n . Hence

$$\theta_{m, \Phi}^D = \sum_{m_0 + \dots + m_n = m} \theta_{m_0, F_n}^D \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{m_i, F_2}^D$$

which is zero if $m < n$ as then $m_i = 0$ for some $i \geq 1$.

$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is smooth in codimension 1, but the quotient map $\mathbb{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2(p)$ is branched along two divisors (Humbert surfaces). These are the divisors whose closures in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ are denoted $\tilde{\Delta}_1$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_2$ in [7]. At a point of $\mathbb{H}_2$ lying over a general point of $\tilde{\Delta}_1$ or $\tilde{\Delta}_2$ the isotropy group in Γ_{p^2} is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and it acts by a reflection, so $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is smooth at a general point of $\tilde{\Delta}_1$ or $\tilde{\Delta}_2$.

COROLLARY 3.2. *If n is sufficiently divisible then $n(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta}_2)$ is a bundle and if $\Phi = F_n F_2^n$ is a cusp form of the type described above then $\Phi \omega^{\otimes n}$ determines an element of $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p); n(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta}_2))$.*

PROOF. $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ has only quotient singularities, which are, in particular, $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein. From the description of the action of Γ_{p^2} above a general point of $\tilde{\Delta}_1$ or $\tilde{\Delta}_2$ it is clear that $\Phi \omega^{\otimes n}$ acquires poles of order $\frac{1}{2}n$ along $\tilde{\Delta}_1$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_2$.

4. Obstructions from elliptic fixed points. We take a canonical partial resolution $\varphi : \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$, as in [7], adopting also the notations of [7, Definition 3.8] for (Weil) divisors on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If n is sufficiently divisible then $\Phi \omega^{\otimes n}$ determines an element of*

$$H^0\left(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p); n\left(K_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} + \frac{1}{2}E'_1 + \frac{1}{2}E''_1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Delta}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Delta}_2 + \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right)E_2 - \frac{1}{4}E' - \frac{1}{4}E''\right)\right).$$

PROOF. By Corollary 3.2, above, we have a section of $\varphi^*(n(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta}_2))$, and the formulae for $\varphi^*K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}$, $\varphi^*\tilde{\Delta}_1$ and $\varphi^*\tilde{\Delta}_2$ given in [7] provide the required expression.

We assume henceforth that n is sufficiently divisible, so that everything we have written so far is a bundle (in fact it is enough that $24p|n$). For the rest of this section we assume that $p \geq 5$, as in [7], but we shall need $p \geq 11$ in the end in order to apply Corollary 2.2. Put $\mathcal{E} = K_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} + \frac{1}{2}E'_1 + \frac{1}{2}E''_1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Delta}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Delta}_2 + (1 - \frac{2}{p})E_2 - \frac{1}{4}E' - \frac{1}{4}E''$. We want to make use of O'Grady's calculations (and to avoid either resolving the singularities of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ or using adjunction and Riemann–Roch on singular varieties), so we express $\mathcal{E}$ in terms of the pullback of the Hodge bundle λ on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ via the map $\pi\varphi : \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$.

LEMMA 4.2. *In $\text{Pic } \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ we have*

$$\mathcal{E} = 3\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda) - \frac{1}{p}\varphi^*\pi^*(\Delta_1) - \frac{p-1}{p}\widehat{\Delta}_0 - \frac{p-1}{p}\widehat{\widehat{\Delta}}_0. \quad (\star)$$

PROOF. See [7, Theorem 3.1].

We have

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(nK_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}) &\geq h^0\left(nK_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)} - \frac{n}{4}E' - \frac{n}{4}E''\right) \\ &= h^0\left(n\mathcal{E} - \frac{n}{2}E'_1 - \frac{n}{2}E''_1 - \frac{n}{2}\widehat{\Delta}_1 - \frac{n}{2}\widehat{\Delta}_2 - n\left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right)E_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

so we want to estimate the five obstructions coming from $E'_1, E''_1, \widehat{\Delta}_1, \widehat{\Delta}_2$ and E_2 . Our $\mathcal{E}$ plays the rôle of $\varphi^*\pi^*(\alpha_p\lambda)$ in [7]: by comparison, we have replaced $\alpha_p = 3 - \frac{10}{p}$ by 3, which makes some of the obstructing sheaves more positive, but we also have vanishing to order $\frac{p-1}{p}$ along the boundary components $\widehat{\Delta}_0$ and $\widehat{\widehat{\Delta}}_0$, which makes them more negative. So much more negative, in fact, that we have the following result.

THEOREM 4.3. *All the obstructions vanish: that is, every section of $n\mathcal{E}$ gives a section of $nK_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}$ if $p \geq 5$ and n is sufficiently divisible.*

PROOF. We prove this in five steps, taking each obstruction separately. Only E'_1 and E''_1 require much attention.

1. *The obstruction from E'_1 .* We need (cf. [7, p. 146]) to estimate $h^0([n\mathcal{E} - iE'_1]|_{E'_1})$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Using $(\star)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} h^0([n\mathcal{E} - iE'_1]|_{E'_1}) &= \\ h^0\left(3n[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)]|_{E'_1} - \frac{n}{p}[\varphi^*\pi^*(\Delta_1)]|_{E'_1} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\widehat{\Delta}_0|_{E'_1} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\widehat{\widehat{\Delta}}_0|_{E'_1} - iE'_1|_{E'_1}\right) \\ &\leq h^0\left(3n[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)]|_{E'_1} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\widehat{\Delta}_0|_{E'_1} - iE'_1|_{E'_1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing α_p by 3 in [7, Corollary 4.1 et seq.] gives

$$3n[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)]|_{E'_1} - iE'_1|_{E'_1} = 3i\Sigma + \left(\frac{n}{4} + 4i\right)L - 3iG.$$

As a set, $\widehat{\Delta}_0 \cap E'_1$ consists of the fibres of $\varphi_2 : E_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{\Gamma}$ over the points where $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ meets the boundary. These fibres are smooth, so $\widehat{\Delta}_0|_{E'_1}$ is a positive integer multiple of the general fibre L . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h^0\left(3n[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)]|_{E'_1} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\widehat{\Delta}_0|_{E'_1} - iE'_1|_{E'_1}\right) \\ \leq h^0\left(3i\Sigma + \left(\frac{n}{4} + 4i - n\frac{p-1}{p}\right)L - 3iG\right) \\ \leq h^0\left(3i\Sigma + \left(\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{3}{4}\right)n + 4i\right)L\right). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\Sigma \cdot \left(3i\Sigma + \left(\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4} \right) n + 4i \right) L \right) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{3}{4} \right) n + i \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4} \right) n - 1$$

which is negative if $p \geq 5$, so there are no sections and no obstructions.

2. *The obstruction from E_1'' .* The calculation here is very similar: this time it is $\widehat{\Delta}_0$ that plays a part. We need to estimate $h^0([n\mathcal{E} - \frac{n}{2}E_1' - iE_1'']|_{E_1''})$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$, and $(\star)$ and [7, Corollary 4.2] together give

$$\begin{aligned} & h^0\left([n\mathcal{E} - \frac{n}{2}E_1' - iE_1'']|_{E_1''}\right) \\ & \leq h^0\left(3n[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)]|_{E_1''} - \frac{n}{2}E_1'|_{E_1''} - n\frac{p-1}{p}\widehat{\Delta}_0|_{E_1''} - iE_1''|_{E_1''}\right) \\ & \leq h^0\left(3i\Sigma + \left(4i - \frac{n}{4}\right)F - n\frac{p-1}{p}\widehat{\Delta}_0|_{E_1''}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(note that Lemma 4.7, (i) of [7] should read $\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)|_{E_1''} \cong \frac{1}{12}F$). As above, $\widehat{\Delta}_0|_{E_1''}$ is a positive integer multiple of F , so the obstruction becomes $h^0(3i\Sigma + (4i + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{5}{4})n)F)$. But

$$\Sigma \cdot \left(3i\Sigma + \left(4i + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{5}{4} \right) n \right) F \right) = i + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{5}{4} \right) n \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{3}{4} \right) n - 1,$$

which is negative for all p , so again there are no sections and no obstructions.

3. *The obstruction from $\widehat{\Delta}_1$.* All we have to do is replace α_p by 3 in [7, Corollary 4.4]. The conclusion (Theorem 4.3 in [7]: the coefficient of $L' + L''$ should be $\frac{\alpha_p}{n} + i$) that the obstruction vanishes is unaltered.

4. *The obstruction from $\widehat{\Delta}_2$.* Again changing α_p to 3 makes no difference: we simply get

$$\begin{aligned} & h^0\left([n\mathcal{E} - \frac{n}{2}(E_1' + E_1'' + \widehat{\Delta}_1)]|_{\widehat{\Delta}_2}\right) \\ & \leq h^0\left(3n[\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)]|_{\widehat{\Delta}_2} - \frac{n}{2}(E_1' + E_1'' + \widehat{\Delta}_1)|_{\widehat{\Delta}_2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - n\frac{p-1}{p}\widehat{\Delta}_0 + \widehat{\Delta}_0|_{\widehat{\Delta}_2} - i\widehat{\Delta}_2|_{\widehat{\Delta}_2}\right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

5. *The obstruction from E_2 .* This time the restriction of $\varphi^*\pi^*(\lambda)$ is trivial, so α_p does not even appear in the calculation.

THEOREM 4.4. $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is of general type if $p \geq 11$.

PROOF. This follows from Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2. and Theorem 4.3.

In fact we have shown that $h^0(nK_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)}) \geq \frac{p^2(p^2+1)}{8640}n^3 + O(n^2)$ if $p \geq 11$. We do not really need the precise value of the leading coefficient (unless we really want an asymptotic bound on the plurigena, but O'Grady's bound is better unless $p = 11$ or $p = 13$), because there are no obstructions to compare it with. Instead, we have an explicit pluricanonical form.

COROLLARY 4.5. *If $p \geq 11$ and F_n is any modular form of weight n for Γ_{p^2} , then $F_n F_2^n \omega^{\otimes n}$ gives an n -canonical form on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$.*

We note that the variety $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is rational for $p = 2$ and unirational for $p = 3$ (see [7]). In [3] it was proved that $\mathcal{A}_2(p)$ is irrational for $p = 5$ and $p = 7$. More exactly the Kodaira dimension of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(p)$ is nonnegative for $p = 5$ and is positive for $p = 7$. The question of the exact value of the Kodaira dimension for these two primes is open.

Acknowledgement: We should like to acknowledge support from Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn and the European Science Project “Geometry of algebraic varieties”, under contract no. SCI-0398-C(A).

References

1. *Ash A., Mumford D., Rapoport M., Tai Y.* Smooth Compactification of Locally Symmetric Varieties. Brookline, Mass.: Math. Sci. Press, 1975.
2. *Eichler M., Zagier D.* The theory of Jacobi forms. Progress in Math. 55, Birkhäuser, 1985.
3. *Gritsenko V.* Irrationality of the moduli space of polarized abelian surfaces // Abelian varieties, Proc. of the Egloffstein conference (1993) de Gruyter. Berlin, 1995. P. 63–81. (in a short version in Int. Math. Research Notices No. 6 (1994)).
4. *Gritsenko V.* Modular forms and moduli spaces of Abelian and $K3$ surfaces // Algebra and Analysis 1994. V. 6. № 6. P. 65–102.
5. *Gritsenko V., Hulek K.* Appendix to the paper “Irrationality of the moduli space of polarized abelian surfaces” // Abelian varieties, Proc. of the Egloffstein conference (1993). Berlin: de Gruyter, 1995. P. 82–83.
6. *Hulek K., Sankaran G.K.* The Kodaira dimension of certain moduli spaces of abelian surfaces // Compositio Math. 1994. V. 90. P. 1–36.
7. *O’Grady K.* On the Kodaira dimension of moduli spaces of abelian surfaces // Compositio Math. 1989. V. 72. P. 121–163.
8. *Skoruppa N-P., Zagier D.* Jacobi forms and a certain space of modular forms // Invent. Math. 1988. V. 94. P. 113–146.
9. *Tai Y.* On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli spaces of abelian varieties // Invent. Math. 1982. V. 68. P. 425–439.

St. Petersburg Department,
 Steklov Mathematical Institute,
 Fontanka 27, 191011 St. Petersburg, Russia.
 Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics,
 16, Mill Lane, Cambridge CB2 1SB, England.

Поступило в редакцию
 27.II.1996