Math-Net.Ru

Общероссийский математический портал

E. Porcu, R. Crujeiras, J. Mateu, W. Gonzalez-Manteiga,
On the Second Order Properties of the Multidimensional
Periodogram for Regularly Spaced Data, *Теория вероятн.
и ее примен.*, 2008, том 53, выпуск 2, 403–410

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4213/tvp2425>

Использование Общероссийского математического портала Math-Net.Ru
подразумевает, что вы прочитали и согласны с пользовательским согла-
шением

<http://www.mathnet.ru/rus/agreement>

Параметры загрузки:

IP: 18.209.158.208

26 апреля 2023 г., 09:26:41

2. *Bickel P. J., Lehmann E. L.* Descriptive statistics for nonparametric models. III. Dispersion. — *Ann. Statist.*, 1976, v. 4, № 6, p. 1139–1158.
3. *Birnbaum Z. W.* On random variables with comparable peakedness. — *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 1948, v. 19, p. 76–81.
4. *Dharmadhikari S., Joag-Dev K.* Unimodality, Convexity, and Applications. Boston: Academic Press, 1988, 278 p.
5. *Erdős P.* On a lemma of Littlewood and Offord. — *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1945, v. 51, p. 898–902.
6. *Jones L.* On the distribution of sums of vectors. — *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 1978, v. 34, № 1, p. 1–6.
7. *Le Cam.* Asymptotic Methods in Statistical Decision Theory. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1986, 742 p.
8. *Kanter M.* Probability inequalities for convex sets and multidimensional concentration functions. — *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 1976, v. 6, № 2, p. 222–236.
9. *Kleitman D. J.* On a lemma of Littlewood and Offord on the distributions of linear combinations of vectors. — *Adv. in Math.*, 1970, v. 5, p. 155–157.
10. *Mattner L., Roos B.* A shorter proof of Kanter's Bessel function concentration bound. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 2007, v. 139, № 1–2, p. 191–205.
11. *Нагаев С. В.* Нижние границы для вероятностей больших отклонений сумм независимых случайных величин. — *Теория вероятн. и ее примен.*, 2001, т. 46, в. 4, с. 785–792.
12. *Pruss A. R.* Comparisons between tail probabilities of sums of independent symmetric random variables. — *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, 1997, v. 33, № 5, p. 651–671.
13. *Rudin W.* Functional Analysis. 2nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1991, 424 p.
14. *Shaked M., Shantikumar J. G.* Stochastic Orders and their Applications. Boston: Academic Press, 1994, 545 p.
15. *Sherman S.* A theorem on convex sets with applications. — *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 1955, v. 26, p. 763–767.

Поступила в редакцию
7.IX.2006

© 2008 г.

PORCUE*, CRUJEIRAS R.; MATEU J.**;
GONZALEZ-MANTEIGA W.****

ON THE SECOND ORDER PROPERTIES OF THE MULTIDIMENSIONAL PERIODOGRAM FOR REGULARLY SPACED DATA¹⁾

В последние годы наметился возрастающий интерес к расширению наших знаний о пространственных и пространственно-временных процессах с помощью спектральной техники. Свойства оценки спектральной плотности — периодограммы — были широко изучены при различных асимптотических предположениях, которые влекут существенную потерю информации о поведении исходного процесса, зачастую наблюдаемого на решетке малого размера и с разреженными

* Department of Mathematics, Universitat Jaume I, Campus Riu Sec, E-12071 Castellón, Spain; e-mail: mateu@mat.uji.es

** Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of Santiago de Compostela, Campus Sur, E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

¹⁾ Work partially funded by project MTM2005-0020 and grants MTM2004-06231, BES2003-0581 from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education; also by project PGIDIT06PXIB207009PR and PGIDIT03PXIC20702PN from Xunta de Galicia.

данными. В этой схеме не применимо ни расширение области определения, ни стягивание асимптотик.

Цель настоящей статьи — исследовать свойства многомерной периодограммы, при наличии или отсутствии усечения данных (tapering), в предположении конечномерности регулярной решетки, на которой наблюдается процесс. Предлагаем некоторые теоретические результаты, касающиеся свойств второго порядка многомерной периодограммы. Кроме того, показывается, что, независимо от процедуры усечения данных, значения периодограммы представляют структуру зависимости, которая не является стационарной и, в частности, зависит от весов, пропорциональных ядру Бартлетта, или выбранному усечению.

Ключевые слова и фразы: периодограмма, регулярная решетка данных, пространственные данные, пространственно-временные данные, спектральная плотность, усечение данных.

1. Introduction. Characterizing space-time dependence is essential for a better knowledge of random fields varying along space and time. Atmospheric pollutant concentrations, precipitation fields, income distributions, and mortality fields are only few examples of attributes that characterize space-time uncertainty and need be modelled through both epistemic and ontologic approaches.

In the last years, a growing number of authors have contributed to the study of space-time dependence structures. A typical taxonomy can be identified by referring to two main categories of contributions: the former is devoted to new parametric models of spatial or space-time covariance functions, or equivalently new classes of spectral densities. The latter regards a crucial problem, that of estimation of the spatio-temporal dependence structure.

To this last aspect we are particularly interested in this paper. Estimation of space-time dependence involves several open problems, still unsolved questions and dilemmas of practical and theoretical nature. As far as the former are concerned, the duality and perspective of the practical advantages of working in the spectral domain have been emphasized in [13] and [10], where it is argued that the use of Fast Fourier Transform algorithms for estimating spectral densities can be a good alternative if handling a huge and sparse dataset. Spectral methods have been proposed and argued successfully in several subfields of spatial and space-time statistics. In particular, it is worth citing [9], [10], and [7], where spectral estimation techniques are implemented for both stationary and nonstationary settings.

As far as theoretical aspects are concerned, the study of spatial processes by working in the spectral domain has been encouraged by a number of authors, on the base of rigorous mathematical results. In his *tour de force*, Stein [16] emphasizes the importance of the Matérn model for both spatial and spectral analysis, and justifies the effects of miss-specification of the spectral density, working in infilling asymptotics. On the other hand, [8] studies the asymptotic properties of the periodogram via shrinking asymptotics, under the assumptions of stationarity or either nonstationarity. Working on fixed-domain asymptotics, Stein [15] showed that standard asymptotic results for the periodograms do not apply, and the use of the raw data may yield misleading results, so that data tapers are needed. The periodogram is an inconsistent estimator of the spectral density (its variance remains proportional to the square of the spectral density at each frequency). This lack of consistency can be overcome via smoothing techniques as in [14].

A number of proposals regarding estimation techniques and tests for spatial and space-time independence are based on standard asymptotic theoretical results regarding the tapered and untapered periodogram: that is, the periodogram is asymptotically unbiased and the periodogram values are asymptotically independent. This allows the use of least squares techniques for estimation or the implementation of several tests for independence of spatial processes.

Nevertheless, in many applications (see [6] and [11]), although working on regular grids, one only disposes of very few data. This key point motivated our research. In

particular, a natural question would be how to quantify the magnitude of the multidimensional periodogram bias when working on regular and finite lattices. Another very important aspect would be to assess the dependence between periodogram values when working on finite grids, so to establish if this dependence can be actually considered as negligible, as supported by the asymptotic theory. Finally, it would be desirable to compare the finite properties of the classic versus tapered periodogram. In this paper, we tackle these problems from a theoretical perspective. To do this, we consider the multidimensional classic and tapered periodograms, and multidimensional processes defined on a lattice, so that the temporal component can be considered as an additional coordinate of an hypercube defined on $\mathbf{Z}^d$. This kind of setting is justified by the fact that we are working with grids of finite dimensions, whilst for the asymptotic theory one must be cautious as the spatial and temporal component cannot be considered with the same approach, as emphasized in [5]. This procedure allows us to compare asymptotic with finite results, on the one hand, and finite results between them, on the other hand.

Thus, the plan of the paper is the following. After necessary material about random fields, their spectral representation, and multidimensional periodogram, shown in Section 2, in Section 3 we focus on the theoretical results that allow us to reach some interesting conclusions about the previously mentioned key points. Section 4 concludes the paper with a critical discussion and opens new points of research for the future.

2. Background and setup. The present section is largely expository and includes material about random fields, their spectral representation and multidimensional periodograms, with and without taper.

For the remainder of the paper, we shall denote a real-valued weakly stationary random field $\{Z(s), s \in \mathbf{R}^d\}$, with the condensed notation $Z \sim \text{SRF}(\mu, C(\cdot))$, where μ is the mean of the process, that is constant in the whole domain, and where $C: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is the covariance function, defined as

$$C(u) = \text{cov}(Z(s), Z(s+u)), \quad u, s \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

and that depends only on the separation or lag vector $u \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Any weakly stationary process can be represented in the form of a Fourier-Stieltjes integral (see [17]):

$$Z(s) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{is'\lambda} d\mathcal{Y}(\lambda), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{Y}$ is a random function with uncorrelated increments, and where $s'\lambda$ denotes the usual inner scalar product between s and λ . Celebrated Bochner's theorem [1] establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the class of continuous covariance functions and that of positive definite functions in $\mathbf{R}^d$. Besides, the positive bounded symmetric measure $F: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ defined by $F(d\lambda) = \mathbf{E}|\mathcal{Y}(d\lambda)|^2$ provides the following representation for the covariance of the process:

$$C(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{iu'\lambda} F(d\lambda). \quad (2)$$

Additionally, if F is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then representation (2) can be rewritten in terms of the so-called spectral density $f: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, with $F(d\lambda) = f(\lambda) d\lambda$. Therefore, the spectral density can be seen as the Fourier transform of the covariance function:

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} C(u) e^{-iu'\lambda} du, \quad \lambda \in \Pi^d = [-\pi, \pi]^d.$$

Now, let us assume that Z is observed on a d -dimensional lattice of $N = \prod_{j=1}^d n_j$ points $D = \prod_{j=1}^d \{0, \dots, n_j - 1\} = \prod_{j=1}^d D_j$. In this context, the classical nonparametric estimator of the spectral density is the multidimensional periodogram, which is defined by

$$I_N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d N} \left| \sum_{s \in D} Z(s) e^{-is'\lambda} \right|^2. \quad (3)$$

The periodogram is usually evaluated at the set of Fourier frequencies $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$, where each component, for $j = 1, \dots, d$, is given by $\lambda_j = 2\pi k_j n_j^{-1}$, with $k_j = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm m_j$, $m_j = [(n_j - 1)/2]$.

It is important to stress that this setting allows us to treat equivalently spatial or space-time random fields defined on a lattice, as, when working on grids of finite dimension, the temporal component can be treated as a mere additional coordinate. We shall refer to this setting for the theoretical results following subsequently.

For what follows, it will be useful to recall that $I_N(\lambda) = d(\lambda) \overline{d(\lambda)}$, where $d(\lambda)$ denotes the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) of the data, at a frequency $\lambda \in \Pi^d$, namely,

$$d(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \sqrt{N}} \sum_{s \in D} Z(s) e^{-is'\lambda},$$

and $\overline{(\cdot)}$ denotes the complex conjugate.

Bias reduction via tapering. It should be stressed that, for a finite sample, the periodogram presents biases due to leakage. This edge-effect bias can be reduced through the use of data tapers [2], that are required to be measurable functions, bounded with bounded support, L^2 -integrable and Lipschitz continuous. Examples of one-dimensional data tapers can be seen in [12]. Usually, multidimensional tapers are obtained as the tensor product of one-dimensional tapers, that is,

$$h(s) = \prod_{j=1}^d h_j(s_j), \quad s \in \mathbf{R}^d, \quad s_j \in \mathbf{R},$$

where the one-dimensional tapers h_j may be all equal or different. This is of practical interest in the spatio-temporal context, where h is usually obtained as the tensor product of a spatial taper, h_S , and a temporal one, h_T . Data tapers usually involve a maximum of 1 at the origin and then they decrease to 0 as $\|s\|$ increases.

Consider $r \in \mathbf{N}$ and denote by H_r the series expansion

$$H_r(\lambda) = \sum_{s \in D} h^r(s) e^{-is'\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

which is well defined given that h is of bounded and compact support. By the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem, $H_r(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|\lambda\|_2 \rightarrow \infty$. Consider now the DFT of the tapered observed values:

$$d^T(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d / 2 \sqrt{H_2(0)}} \sum_{s \in D} h(s) Z(s) e^{-is'\lambda}. \quad (4)$$

Then the multidimensional tapered periodogram is defined as

$$I_N^T(\lambda) = d^T(\lambda) \overline{d^T(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d H_2(0)} \left| \sum_{s \in D} h(s) Z(s) e^{-is'\lambda} \right|^2. \quad (5)$$

Besides, the DFT of the tapered values can be written as the convolution of the spectral window H with the spectral random measure $d\mathcal{Y}$:

$$d^T(\lambda) = \int_{\Pi^d} H(\lambda - \omega) d\mathcal{Y}(\omega).$$

In practice, we wish $d^T(\lambda)$ not to be too different from $d\mathcal{Y}(\lambda)$. Therefore, h should be chosen so that H is a smooth weight function with most of its mass concentrated near the origin. Some data tapers for spatial processes can be found in [2], and recently Fuentes [10] proposed a rounded taper which gives more tapering to corner observations. This rounded taper presents discontinuities when weighting the borders, which may result unnatural. Approximate large sample distributions for $d^T(\lambda)$ (and $d(\lambda)$ as a particular case) have been obtained in [3].

3. Main results. This section is devoted to some theoretical results concerning the expectation and the covariance of the multidimensional periodogram.

Proposition 1. Let $Z \sim \text{SRF}(\mu, C(\cdot))$ be observed on a regular grid $D = \prod_{j=1}^d D_j$ of size $N = \prod_{j=1}^d n_j$. Let I_N be the multidimensional periodogram with no taper as in equation (3). Then

$$\mathbf{E}I_N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d N} \int_{\Pi^d} \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\sin(n_j \lambda_j / 2)}{\sin \lambda_j / 2} \right)^2 f(\omega) d\omega + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d N} \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\sin(n_j \lambda_j / 2)}{\sin \lambda_j / 2} \right)^2 \mu^2.$$

P r o o f. This statement is proved just recalling that

$$\mathbf{E}(d(\lambda) \overline{d(\lambda)}) = \text{cov}(d(\lambda), \overline{d(\lambda)}) + \mathbf{E}(d(\lambda)) \overline{\mathbf{E}d(\lambda)}$$

and noting that the Bartlett kernel can be obtained from

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \prod_{j=1}^d \left(1 - \frac{|u_j|}{n_j}\right) e^{-iu' \lambda} = \frac{1}{N} \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\sin(n_j \lambda_j)/2}{\sin \lambda_j/2}\right)^2,$$

where the index set $\mathcal{U}$ is given by $\mathcal{U} = \prod_{j=1}^d U_j$, $U_j = \{1 - n_j, \dots, n_j - 1\}$.

Proposition 2. Let $Z \sim \text{SRF}(\mu, C(\cdot))$ be observed on a regular grid $D = \prod_{j=1}^d D_j$ of size $N = \prod_{j=1}^d n_j$. Let I_N^T be the tapered multidimensional periodogram as in equation (5). Assume that $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} |C(u)| < \infty$, with $\mathcal{U} = \prod_{j=1}^d U_j$, where $U_j = \{1 - n_j, \dots, n_j - 1\}$. Then

$$\mathbf{E}I_N^T(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d H_2(0)} \int_{\Pi^d} |H(\lambda - \omega)|^2 f(\omega) d\omega + \frac{|H(\lambda)|^2 \mu^2}{(2\pi)^d H_2(0)}.$$

P r o o f. Considering the expression of the multidimensional tapered periodogram in terms of the DFT of the tapered data (5), we have that

$$\mathbf{E}I_N^T(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d H_2(0)} \left(\text{cov}(d^T(\lambda), d^T(\lambda)) + |\mathbf{E}d^T(\lambda)|^2 \right).$$

For the addend concerning the covariance operator, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d H_2(0)} \text{cov}(d^T(\lambda), d^T(\lambda)) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d H_2(0)} \sum_{s \in D} \sum_{x \in D} h(s) h(x) e^{-i(s-x)' \lambda} C(s-x) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d H_2(0)} \int_{\Pi^d} \sum_{s \in D} \sum_{x \in D} h(s) h(x) e^{-i(s-x)'(\lambda-\omega)} f(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d H_2(0)} \int_{\Pi^d} \left(\sum_{s \in D} h(s) e^{-is'(\lambda-\omega)} \sum_{x \in D} h(x) e^{ix'(\lambda-\omega)} \right) f(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d H_2(0)} \int_{\Pi^d} |H(\lambda - \omega)|^2 f(\omega) d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

For the second addend, it is immediate to see that it can be written as

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d H_2(0)} |H(\lambda)|^2 \mu^2$$

which completes the proof.

Before introducing the results on the dependence structure of the multidimensional periodogram, we will give some brief notes on cumulants, which provide a tool for proving next statements. More information on this topic can be found in [4] and a complete description of cumulants for spatial series is given in [2].

In the same way that the generating function of a random variable provides its moments, the logarithm of the generating function generates a sequence of numbers called cumulants. Cumulants are symmetric and multilinear in their arguments [4] and if any subset of $\{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$ is statistically independent of the remaining set, then $\text{cum}(X_1, \dots, X_r) = 0$. From this property, cumulants may be used to measure the statistical dependence of variables.

For an r -variate random variable $(X_1, \dots, X_r)$ with $\mathbf{E}|X_j|^r \leq \infty$, $j = 1, \dots, r$ (X_j real or complex), the r th order joint cumulant $\text{cum}(X_1, \dots, X_r)$ of $(X_1, \dots, X_r)$ is defined as

$$\text{cum}(X_1, \dots, X_r) = \sum (-1)^{p-1} (p-1)! \mathbf{E} \left(\prod_{j \in \nu_1} X_j \right) \cdots \mathbf{E} \left(\prod_{j \in \nu_p} X_j \right),$$

where the sum and products extend over all partitions $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p)$, $p = 1, \dots, r$ of $(1, \dots, r)$. Note that the cumulant of a single variable is its expectation and the covariance between X and Y is the joint cumulant of $(X, \bar{Y})$. In general, for a stationary random process Z such that its span of dependence satisfies

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\text{cum}(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})| < \infty, \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \dots,$$

the cumulant spectra of order k is defined by

$$f_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d(k-1)}} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{R}^d} \text{cum}(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}) \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} u'_l \lambda_l \right\},$$

where $\lambda_l \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

In order to show the results following subsequently, we need the following assumption.

A s s u m p t i o n (A1). Given a stationary process Z , there is an $l \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |u|^l) |C(u)| < \infty.$$

This assumption implies that the spectral density has bounded and uniformly continuous derivatives of order smaller than or equal to l .

Proposition 3. Let $Z \sim \text{SRF}(0, C(\cdot))$ be observed on a regular grid $D = \prod_{j=1}^d D_j$ of size $N = \prod_{j=1}^d n_j$. Let I_N be the multidimensional periodogram with no taper as in equation (3). Suppose that the covariance C satisfies Assumption (A1). Then, the covariance structure associated with the periodogram I_N is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov} \left(I_N(\lambda), I_N(\omega) \right) &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\sin(n_j(\lambda_j + \omega_j))/2}{n_j \sin(\lambda_j + \omega_j)/2} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\sin(n_j(\lambda_j - \omega_j))/2}{n_j \sin(\lambda_j - \omega_j)/2} \right)^2 \right) f^2(\lambda) + O(N^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

P r o o f. Consider the following cumulants-based equality:

$$\text{cov} \left(I_N(\lambda), I_N(\omega) \right) = \text{cum} \left(d(\lambda) \overline{d(\lambda)}, d(\omega) \overline{d(\omega)} \right),$$

and, for simplicity, denote

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \sum_{s \in D} e^{-is'\lambda}.$$

Since the cumulant of product variables can be expressed in terms of the sum of cumulants, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov} \left(I_N(\lambda), I_N(\omega) \right) &= \left((2\pi)^d \right)^3 f_4(\lambda, -\lambda, \omega) + O(1) \\ &\quad + \left(\Delta(\lambda) f_1 + O(1) \right) \left((2\pi)^d \Delta(-\lambda) f_3(-\lambda, \omega) + O(1) \right) \\ &\quad + \text{three similar terms} \\ &\quad + \left(\Delta(\lambda) f_1 + O(1) \right) \left(\Delta(\omega) f_1 + O(1) \right) \left((2\pi)^d \Delta(-\lambda - \omega) f_2(-\lambda) + O(1) \right) \\ &\quad + \text{three similar terms} \\ &\quad + \left((2\pi)^d \Delta(\lambda + \omega) f_2(\lambda) + O(1) \right) \left((2\pi)^d \Delta(-\lambda - \omega) f_2(-\lambda) + O(1) \right) \\ &\quad + \left((2\pi)^d \Delta(\lambda - \omega) f_2(\lambda) + O(1) \right) \left((2\pi)^d \Delta(-\lambda + \omega) f_2(-\lambda) + O(1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the last two addends in the expression above, we obtain

$$(2\pi)^{2d} |\Delta(\lambda + \omega)|^2 f_2^2(\lambda) + (2\pi)^{2d} |\Delta(\lambda - \omega)|^2 f_2^2(\lambda),$$

which is equal to

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\sin(n_j(\lambda_j + \omega_j))/2}{\sin(\lambda_j + \omega_j)/2} \right)^2 \right) + \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\sin(n_j(\lambda_j - \omega_j))/2}{\sin(\lambda_j - \omega_j)/2} \right)^2 f_2^2(\lambda),$$

the leading term in (6). The $O(N^{-1})$ comes from some computations on the first addend, writing f_4 in terms of cumulants. Besides, assuming that $f_1 = \mathbf{E}Z(s) = 0$, the other terms in the sum vanish. And thus, the proof is completed.

Proposition 4. Let $Z \sim \text{SRF}(0, C(\cdot))$ be observed on a regular grid $D = \prod_{j=1}^d D_j$ of size $N = \prod_{j=1}^d n_j$. Let I_N^T be the tapered multidimensional periodogram as in equation (5). Suppose that the covariance C satisfies (A1). Then the covariance structure associated with the periodogram I_N^T is given by

$$\text{cov} \left(I_N^T(\lambda), I_N^T(\omega) \right) = \left(|H_2(\lambda - \omega)|^2 + |H_2(\lambda + \omega)|^2 \right) \frac{f^2(\lambda)}{|H_2(0)|^{-2}} + O(N^{-1}).$$

P r o o f. For the complete expression of the tapered multidimensional periodogram, we must consider the fractional factors, where $H_2(0)$ is involved. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cum}(d^T(\lambda), \overline{d^T(\lambda)}) &= \left((2\pi)^d \right)^3 H_4(0) f_4(\lambda, -\lambda, \omega) + O(1) \\ &+ \left((2\pi)^d H_2(\lambda + \omega) f_2(\lambda) + O(1) \right) \left((2\pi)^d H_2(-\lambda - \omega) + O(1) \right) \\ &+ \left((2\pi)^d H_2(\lambda - \omega) f_2(\lambda) + O(1) \right) \left((2\pi)^d H_2(-\lambda + \omega) + O(1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The expression of the covariance is obtained following arguments similar to those in the proof of Proposition 3.

4. Conclusions and discussion. The aim of this work was to study the properties of the spatial and spatio-temporal periodogram (in both cases of tapering or no tapering) under the assumption of finite dimensionality of the regular grid where the process is observed. The motivation of the work arises by the fact that, even if asymptotic assumptions allow one to work with periodograms meeting some nice features (independence, unbiasedness), on the other hand important information is lost about the behavior of the process.

The so obtained results highlight several important aspects involving the bias of the multidimensional periodogram and the dependence between the periodogram values. For both cases, we argue that they are nonnegligible, despite to what shown by the asymptotic theory, thus one must be cautious when implementing tests for independence or weighted least squares techniques if working on grids of small dimensions or with sparse data.

Another important aspect is that of separability in spatio-temporal processes. We showed in Propositions 3 and 4 that the covariance depends on the factorization of the weights, which are of the same type in the case of no tapering. If we consider the periodogram as a process to be studied, as we did in this work, we obtain that the weights of the squared spectral density always work in a separable (in the sense of [5]) way, so we are not able to see if the covariance of the periodogram is separable or not. It is generally difficult to give a dependence characterization of the periodogram values in terms of separability.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to the referee and Editor comments that have clearly improved an earlier version of the manuscript.

СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

1. Bochner S. Monotone Funktionen, Stieltjessche Integrale und Harmonische Analyse. — Math. Ann., 1933, v. 108, p. 378–410.
2. Brillinger D. R. The frequency analysis of relations between stationary spatial series. — Proceedings of the 12th Biennial Seminar on Time Series, Stochastic Processes, Convexity, Combinatorics. Canadian Mathematical Congress. Ed. by R. Pyke. Montreal, 1970, p. 39–81.
3. Brillinger D. R. Fourier analysis of stationary processes. — Proc. IEEE, 1974, v. 62, p. 1628–1643.
4. Brillinger D. R. Time Series. Data Analysis and Theory. Oakland, CA: Holden-Day, 1981, 540 p.
5. Christakos G. Random Field Models in Earth Sciences. San Diego: Academic Press, 1992, 474 p.

6. *Fernández J., Rey A., Carballeira A.* An extended study of heavy metal deposition in Galicia based on moss analysis. — *Sci. Total Environment*, 2000, v. 254, p. 31–44.
7. *Fuentes M., Smith R.* A new class of models for nonstationary processes. Research report. North Carolina State University, 2001.
8. *Fuentes M.* Interpolation of nonstationary air pollution processes: a spatial spectral approach. — *Statist. Model.*, 2002, v. 2, № 4, p. 281–298.
9. *Fuentes M.* Spectral methods for nonstationary spatial processes. — *Biometrika*, 2002, v. 89, p. 197–210.
10. *Fuentes M.* Spectral methods to approximate the likelihood for irregularly spaced spatial data. Technical Report. North Carolina State University, 2004.
11. *Mercer W. B., Hall A. D.* The experimental error or field trials. — *J. Agricultural Sci.*, 1991, v. 4, p. 107–132.
12. *Priestley M. B.* Spectral Analysis and Time Series, v. 1, 2. London–New York: Academic Press, 1981, 890 p.
13. *Renshaw E.* Two-dimensional spectral analysis for marked point processes. — *Biom. J.*, 2002, v. 44, № 6, p. 718–745.
14. *Robinson P. M.* Nonparametric spectrum estimation for spatial data. — *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 2007, v. 137, № 3, p. 1024–1034.
15. *Stein M. L.* Fixed-domain asymptotics for spatial periodograms. — *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 1995, v. 90, № 432, p. 1277–1288.
16. *Stein M.* Interpolation of Spatial Data: Some Theory for Kriging. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1999, 247 p.
17. *Yaglom A. M.* Correlation Theory of Stationary and Related Random Functions. V. II. Supplementary Notes and References. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1987, 258 p.

Поступила в редакцию
8.XI.2005

Исправленный вариант
19.I.2007