

# SEQUENTIAL ESTIMATION OF A RANDOM WALK FIRST-PASSAGE TIME FROM CORRELATED OBSERVATIONS

Marat V. Burnashev

*Institute for Information Transmission Problems,  
Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia*

Aslan Tchamkerten

*Telecom ParisTech, France*

Based on:

1. M.V. Burnashev, A.Tchamkerten, “Sequential Estimation of a Threshold Crossing Moment for a Gaussian Random Walk through Correlated Observations”, *Probl. of Inform. Trans.*, 48, no. 2, pp. 65-78, 2012.
2. M.V. Burnashev, A.Tchamkerten, “Estimating a Random Walk First-Passage Time from Noisy or Delayed Observations”, *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, 58, no. 7, pp. 4230-4243, 2012.

# 1. Problem statement

- Consider the discrete time random process  $X = \{X_n\}$

$$X : \quad X_0 = 0, \quad X_n = sn + \sum_{i=1}^n V_i, \quad n \geq 1,$$

$\{V_i\}$  - indep.  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ -Gaussian r.v.'s,  $s > 0$  - given.

- For given threshold level  $A > 0$  consider first-passage time

$$\tau_A = \min\{n \geq 0 : X_n \geq A\}.$$

- **We can not** observe process  $X$  directly.
- **We observe** another rand. process  $Y = \{Y_n\}$ .
- Based on observations  $Y$  we want to estimate moment  $\tau_A$  using stopping time  $\eta$  over  $Y$  (based on  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$ ).
- estimate  $\eta$  – Markov stopping time wrt  $Y$ :  
for any  $n$  event  $\{\eta = n\}$  is defined by observations  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$   
(i.e.  $\{\eta = n\} \in \mathcal{F}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ ).
- We want to find the value

$$\mathbf{E}|\eta - \tau_A|^p \implies \inf_{\eta}, \quad p > 0$$

Probably, that kind of problem statement (i.e. to estimate a stopping time  $\tau$  of  $X$  from correlated observations  $Y$ ) appeared first in [Niesen, Tchamkerten, 2009].

Also in [Niesen, Tchamkerten, 2009] a number of possible applications are described (communications, finance, economy, etc.).

**Example.**  $X = \{X_t\}$  represents objective price of some shares.

For optimal investment we need to know moment  $\tau_A$  for some  $A > 0$ .

On stock market we can observe only  $Y =$  corrupted version of  $X$  (due to human factors, delays, etc.).

Based on observations  $Y$  we should estimate the moment  $\tau_A$ .

- We consider two types of observation process  $Y = \{Y_n\}$ :

**Noisy observations** and **Delayed observations**.

- **Noisy observations.** Observation process  $Y$  has form

$$Y : \quad Y_0 = 0, \quad Y_n = X_n + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n W_i, \quad n \geq 1,$$

$\{W_i\}$  - indep.  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  - r.v.'s (indep. on  $\{V_i\}$ ),  $\varepsilon > 0$  - given.

- For given  $A$  and estimate  $\eta$  introduce function

$$q(A, p, \eta) = \mathbf{E}|\eta - \tau_A|^p.$$

- We are interested in minimal possible function  $q(A, p)$

$$q(A, p) = \inf_{\eta} \mathbf{E}|\eta - \tau_A|^p,$$

inf – over all stopping times  $\eta$  wrt  $Y$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Investigate asymptotics:  $s, \varepsilon$  – fixed and  $A \rightarrow \infty$ .

• **Delayed observations.** We are given fixed delay  $d = d(A) > 0$  and  $Y$  has form

$$Y : \quad Y_0 = Y_1 = \dots = Y_d = 0; \quad Y_n = X_{n-d}, \quad n \geq d+1.$$

Introduce functions

$$q(A, p, d, \eta) = \mathbf{E}|\eta - \tau_A|^p,$$

and

$$q(A, p, d) = \inf_{\eta} q(A, p, d, \eta),$$

inf – over all stopping times  $\eta$  wrt  $Y$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Investigate asymptotics:  $s$  – fixed and  $d, A \rightarrow \infty$ .

- That problem – example of traditional estimation problems:
- In observation space  $Y$  we are given probab. distributions

$$\{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}(y), \theta \in \Theta\},$$

$\theta$  - unknown parameter.

- Based on observations  $\{Y_n\}$  we want to estimate parameter  $\theta$ .
- But that problem has unpleasant features.

## Standard methodology

in estimation theory (how solve problems)

- Consider likelihood ratio process (Radon–Nikodim derivative)

$$Z(n, u, \theta_0) = \ln \frac{d\mathbf{P}_{\theta_0+u}}{d\mathbf{P}_{\theta_0}}(Y_0^n),$$

$\theta_0$  – true parameter value, and  $Y_0^n = \{Y_i, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

- Most of estimation problems are reduced to investigation of certain properties of random process  $Z(n, u, \theta_0)$ .
  - In many cases process  $Z(n, u, \theta_0)$  has convenient for investigation form (e.g. sum of indep. r.v.'s, stochastic integral, etc.).
  - Here parameter  $\theta$  = Markov stopping time.
- $\Rightarrow$  Process  $Z$  has non-convenient form (“Brownian bridge”).

## 2. Main results

Introduce value

$$m_p = \mathbf{E}|\xi|^p = \frac{2^{p/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right), \quad \xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

### Noisy observations

**Theorem 1.** *For any  $p > 0$  the formula holds*

$$q(A, p) = \left[ \frac{\varepsilon^2 A}{s^3(1 + \varepsilon^2)} \right]^{p/2} (m_p + o(1)), \quad A \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also

**Statement 1.** *For any  $p > 0$  the lower bound holds ( $A \rightarrow \infty$ )*

$$q(A, p) \geq \inf_{\eta(Y_0^\infty)} \mathbf{E}|\eta - \tau_A|^p \geq \left[ \frac{\varepsilon^2 A}{s^3(1 + \varepsilon^2)} \right]^{p/2} (m_p + o(1)).$$

*Note:* inf is taken over all observation process  $Y_0^\infty$  up to  $n = \infty$ .

### Delayed observations

**Theorem 2.** *For any  $p > 0$  the formula holds*

$$q(A, d, p) = \left( \frac{d(A)}{s^2} \right)^{p/2} (m_p + o(1)), \quad A, d \rightarrow \infty.$$

### 3. Why do we need $s \neq 0$ ?

**Proposition 1.** *Assume that  $s = 0$ . Then for any  $A > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $p \geq 1/2$*

$$\inf_{\eta(Y_0^\infty)} \mathbf{E}|\eta - \tau_A|^p = \infty.$$

## 4. Sketch of proofs

### 4.1. Theorem 1 - upper bound for $q(A, p)$ .

- Sufficient to choose good estimate  $\eta_A$  and evaluate  $\mathbf{E}|\eta_A - \tau_A|^p$ . Most straightforward way:

- Construct reasonable estimates  $\hat{X}_n = \hat{X}_n(Y_0^n), n > 0$  for  $\{X_n\}$  and use estimate  $\eta_A$

$$\eta_A = \min\{n : \hat{X}_n \geq A\}.$$

- Since all processes – Gaussian, use linear estimates

$$\hat{X}_n = s(1 - \alpha)n + \alpha Y_n,$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon^2}.$$



- Then get

**Statement 2.** *The difference  $\eta_A - \tau_A$  can be represented as*

$$\eta_A - \tau_A = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^2 A}{s^3(1 + \varepsilon^2)}} \left[ 1 + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln A}{As}}\right) \right] \zeta + \xi_1,$$

where:

- 1)  $\zeta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ ;
- 2) remaining term  $\xi_1$  – “small”; in particular,

$$\mathbf{E}|\xi_1|^p \leq C(p)s^{-2p} [1 + (sA \ln A)^{p/4}], \quad p > 0.$$

From Statement 2 get upper bound (as  $A \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$q(A, p) \leq \mathbf{E}|\eta_A - \tau_A|^p = \left[ \frac{\varepsilon^2 A}{s^3(1 + \varepsilon^2)} \right]^{p/2} (m_p + o(1)).$$

**4.1. Theorem 1 - lower bound for  $\mathbf{E}|\eta - \tau_A|^p$ .**

- To get lower bound for  $\mathbf{E}|\eta - \tau_A|^p$  it is convenient to replace  $\mathbf{E}|\eta - \tau_A|^p$  by related inaccuracy  $\mathbf{E}|\hat{X}_T - X_T|^p$  when estimating  $X_T$  at fixed moment  $T$ .
- Note that  $\mathbf{E}\tau_A \approx A/s$ . Introduce fixed time moment  $T$

$$T = \frac{A}{s} - ct_0, \quad t_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2A \ln A}{s^3}}$$

with sufficiently large  $c > 0$ .

$\Rightarrow$  with high probability  $\tau_A \in (T, T + 2ct_0)$ , since

$$\mathbf{P} \left\{ \left| \tau_A - \frac{A}{s} \right| \geq ct_0 \right\} \lesssim A^{-c^2}.$$

- Replace observation process  $Y_n$  by “better” process  $Y'_n$

$$Y'_n = \begin{cases} Y_n, & n \leq T, \\ Y_T + X_n - X_T, & n \geq T, \end{cases}$$

i.e. for process  $Y'_n, n > T$  additional observation noise  $\varepsilon \sum_{i=T+1}^n W_i$  disappears after moment  $T$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Easier to evaluate  $\tau_A$  based on  $\{Y'_n\}$  than on  $\{Y_n\}$ .

- If  $\tau_A > T \Rightarrow$  difficulty in estimating  $\tau_A$  is equivalent to difficulty in estimating  $X_T$ . In particular, we have

$$\inf_{\eta(Y_0^\infty)} \mathbf{E}|\eta - \tau_A|^p \geq \frac{1}{s^p} \inf_{\mu(Y_T)} [\mathbf{E}|\mu - X_T|^p; \tau_A > T] (1 + o(1)).$$

After some algebra get Statement 1.  $\square$