

Between Physics and Model Theory

B. Zilber

University of Oxford

The story so far

E.Hrushovski and B.zilber, *Zariski geometries*, J.AMS, 9 (1996) 1–51

B.Zilber, *A class of quantum Zariski geometries* In: **Model Theory with applications to algebra and analysis**, I and II, CUP, Cambridge. 2008

———, *Perfect infinities and finite approximation* In: **Infinity and Truth**. IMS Lecture Notes Series, V.25, 2014

J.A.Cruz and B.Zilber, *The geometric semantics of algebraic quantum mechanics* Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 2015 373 20140245

B.Zilber, *The semantics of the canonical commutation relations* arxiv.org/abs/1604.07745

———, *Structural approximation and quadratic quantum mechanics* In preparation

Problems with limits

How to speak about limit(s) of structures?

Problems with limits

How to speak about limit(s) of structures?

In particular, what limits do physicists have in mind?

Problems with limits

How to speak about limit(s) of structures?

In particular, what limits do physicists have in mind?

The passage from discrete (**finite**) to continuous.

Problems with limits

How to speak about limit(s) of structures?

In particular, what limits do physicists have in mind?

The passage from discrete (**finite**) to continuous.

Cf. with Hilbert 6.

Sub-problem. “Very large” finite structure

The relevant formalism - **pseudo-finite** structures.

Determining a limit

All structures are in a language L .

Determining a limit

All structures are in a language L .

Topology. We consider a topology on M^n , all n , the **base of closed subsets** of which are the **quantifier-free positively definable subsets** of the structures.

Determining a limit

All structures are in a language L .

Topology. We consider a topology on M^n , all n , the **base of closed subsets** of which are the **quantifier-free positively definable subsets** of the structures.

Definition. An L -structure \mathbf{M} is a limit of a family $\{N_i : i \in I\}$ of L -structures along an ultrafilter \mathcal{D} if there is a surjective L -homomorphism

$$\lim : N \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{M} \text{ for } N = \prod_{i \in I} N_i / \mathcal{D}.$$

Determining a limit

All structures are in a language L .

Topology. We consider a topology on M^n , all n , the **base of closed subsets** of which are the **quantifier-free positively definable subsets** of the structures.

Definition. An L -structure \mathbf{M} is a limit of a family $\{N_i : i \in I\}$ of L -structures along an ultrafilter \mathcal{D} if there is a surjective L -homomorphism

$$\lim : N \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{M} \text{ for } N = \prod_{i \in I} N_i / \mathcal{D}.$$

$$\lim_{\mathcal{D}} : N_i \rightarrow \mathbf{M}.$$

Determining a limit

Note.

1. Homomorphisms preserve positively definable relations, “equations”.
2. An example of \lim is the *standard part* map.

Determining a limit

The “constant sequence” property of the limit:

$$\lim_{\mathcal{D}} : N_i \rightarrow \mathbf{M}, \text{ for all } N_i = \mathbf{M}$$

Determining a limit

The “constant sequence” property of the limit:

$$\lim_{\mathcal{D}} : N_i \rightarrow \mathbf{M}, \text{ for all } N_i = \mathbf{M}$$

is satisfied iff \mathbf{M} is *compact*, i.e.

- the intersection of any filter of closed subsets of \mathbf{M}^n is non-empty;
- the image of a closed $S \subset \mathbf{M}^{n+1}$ under projection $\mathbf{M}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^n$ is closed. I.e. \exists -positive definable sets are closed (positive quantifier elimination).

Positive model theory

(Compare also with *positive model theory* by Ben-Yaacov, Poizat and others)

Positive model theory

A pair of structures with a morphism

$$N \twoheadrightarrow M$$

with **M** compact, in the context of o-minimality has been studied as **compact domination** (of N by **M**),
(Pillay, Hrushovski, Berarducci, Simon,...)

Positive model theory

A pair of structures with a morphism

$$N \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{M}$$

with \mathbf{M} compact, in the context of o-minimality has been studied as **compact domination** (of N by \mathbf{M}),
(Pillay, Hrushovski, Berarducci, Simon,...)

Like in the first-order compact domination theory we may assume that \lim induces on N a type-definable equivalence relation E , that is

$$\mathbf{M} \cong N/E.$$

Positive model theory

A pair of structures with a morphism

$$N \twoheadrightarrow M$$

with **M** compact, in the context of o-minimality has been studied as **compact domination** (of N by **M**),
(Pillay, Hrushovski, Berarducci, Simon,...)

Like in the first-order compact domination theory we may assume that \lim induces on N a type-definable equivalence relation E , that is

$$M \cong N/E.$$

We want that **M** **does not depend** on the choice of N in \mathcal{N} .

Positive model theory

In general, N is not unique for a given \mathbf{M} ; there is a whole class \mathcal{N} of L -structures N with

$$\lim : N \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{M}.$$

Positive model theory

In general, N is not unique for a given \mathbf{M} ; there is a whole class \mathcal{N} of L -structures N with

$$\lim : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}.$$

We look in the cases when \mathcal{N} has the amalgamation property with respect to \rightarrow (instead of \hookrightarrow) and use “upside-down” Fraïssé construction to build **the model completion** \mathcal{N}^* of \mathcal{N} , in the sense of positive model theory).

Positive model theory

In general, \mathbf{N} is not unique for a given \mathbf{M} ; there is a whole class \mathcal{N} of L -structures \mathbf{N} with

$$\lim : \mathcal{N} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{M}.$$

We look in the cases when \mathcal{N} has the amalgamation property with respect to \twoheadrightarrow (instead of \hookrightarrow) and use “upside-down” Fraïssé construction to build **the model completion** \mathcal{N}^* of \mathcal{N} , in the sense of positive model theory).

Proposition. *In the cases of interest to us the pos-model completion \mathcal{N}^* is positively complete.*

Positive model theory

In general, N is not unique for a given M ; there is a whole class \mathcal{N} of L -structures N with

$$\text{lim} : N \twoheadrightarrow M.$$

We look in the cases when \mathcal{N} has the amalgamation property with respect to \twoheadrightarrow (instead of \hookrightarrow) and use “upside-down” Fraïssé construction to build **the model completion \mathcal{N}^* of \mathcal{N}** , in the sense of positive model theory).

Proposition. *In the cases of interest to us the pos-model completion \mathcal{N}^* is positively complete.*

Cf. similar notions and results by Irwin and Solecki (2005) and earlier construction by Cherlin, van den Dries and Macintyre (1981)

Approximation of fields and rings

Any locally compact field (such as \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Q}_p) can be *compactified* by adding ∞ .

$$\bar{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}, \bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \dots$$

Approximation of fields and rings

Any locally compact field (such as \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Q}_p) can be *compactified* by adding ∞ .

$$\bar{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}, \bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \dots$$

Theorem.

1. The compactified field $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ (or any other ACF) is approximable by finite fields.
2. The rings \mathbb{Z}_p are approximable by finite rings \mathbb{Z}/p^n .
3. The compact subring $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod \mathbb{Z}_p$ of adeles is approximable by finite rings \mathbb{Z}/N , for N “highly divisible”.
4. The compactified field $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is NOT approximable by finite fields or finite rings. Neither are any other locally compact fields.

How to approximate \mathbb{R} ?

Weak ring structure on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$

The “weak ring structure” \mathbb{R}_{weak} is a two-sorted structure of the form

$$((\bar{\mathbb{R}}; +, \leq, r \cdot, \dots)_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{S}, \cdot, \dots))$$

where $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the group of the unit circle in the complexes, $\{r \cdot, \dots\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ the family of linear operators $x \mapsto r \cdot x$ on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ and \longrightarrow is the bilinear map

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; \quad (x, y) \mapsto e^{2\pi i xy}.$$

Weak ring structure on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$

The “weak ring structure” \mathbb{R}_{weak} is a two-sorted structure of the form

$$((\bar{\mathbb{R}}; +, \leq, r \cdot, \dots)_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{S}, \cdot, \dots))$$

where $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the group of the unit circle in the complexes, $\{r \cdot, \dots\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ the family of linear operators $x \mapsto r \cdot x$ on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ and \longrightarrow is the bilinear map

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; \quad (x, y) \mapsto e^{2\pi i xy}.$$

Note. The weak structure on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ allows to recover the usual ring structure on \mathbb{R} , if one uses all the power of first-order logic. But, *the ring structure is not recoverable by positive formulas.*

Weak ring structure on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$

The “weak ring structure” \mathbb{R}_{weak} is a two-sorted structure of the form

$$((\bar{\mathbb{R}}; +, \leq, r\cdot, \dots)_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{S}, \cdot, \dots))$$

where $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the group of the unit circle in the complexes, $\{r\cdot, \dots\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ the family of linear operators $x \mapsto r \cdot x$ on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ and \longrightarrow is the bilinear map

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; \quad (x, y) \mapsto e^{2\pi ixy}$$

Weak ring structure on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$

The “weak ring structure” \mathbb{R}_{weak} is a two-sorted structure of the form

$$((\bar{\mathbb{R}}; +, \leq, r \cdot, \dots)_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{S}, \cdot, \dots))$$

where $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the group of the unit circle in the complexes, $\{r \cdot, \dots\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ the family of linear operators $x \mapsto r \cdot x$ on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ and \longrightarrow is the bilinear map

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; \quad (x, y) \mapsto e^{2\pi ixy}$$

Further relations and functions on \mathbb{R}_{weak} are of the form

$$z \in r \cdot \mathbb{S}, \quad z = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iF(x,y)} dx, \quad z = r_f \cdot e^{ig(y)}$$

where $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$, $g(y) \in \mathbb{R}[y]$

Weak ring structure on \mathbb{Z}/N

This is given as

$$(\mathbb{Z}/N; +, \leq, nx = my, \dots)_{\frac{m}{n}=r} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N; +, \dots)$$

where, \leq is the cyclic order and \longrightarrow is

$$(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y \pmod{N}.$$

Weak ring structure on \mathbb{Z}/N

This is given as

$$(\mathbb{Z}/N; +, \leq, nx = my, \dots)_{\frac{m}{n}=r} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N; +, \dots)$$

where, \leq is the cyclic order and \longrightarrow is

$$(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y \pmod{N}.$$

Note that

$$(\mathbb{Z}/N; +) \cong \mathbb{C}_N \subset \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

multiplicative group of roots of unity of order N .

Weak ring structure on \mathbb{Z}/N

$$(x, y) \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi i xy}{N}} \in \mathbb{C}_N \subset \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Weak ring structure on \mathbb{Z}/N

$$(x, y) \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi ixy}{N}} \in \mathbb{C}_N \subset \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Further relations, e.g. corresponding to integrals,
 $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y],$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i F(x, y)} dx \rightsquigarrow \sum_{0 \leq n < A(N)} e^{\frac{2\pi i F(n, m)}{N}} \Delta n$$

Weak ring structure on \mathbb{Z}/N

$$(x, y) \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi i xy}{N}} \in \mathbb{C}_N \subset \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Further relations, e.g. corresponding to integrals,
 $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y],$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i F(x, y)} dx \rightsquigarrow \sum_{0 \leq n < A(N)} e^{\frac{2\pi i F(n, m)}{N}} \Delta n$$

For the case of quadratic $F(x, y)$ this is a **Gauss quadratic sum**.

Weak ring structure on \mathbb{Z}/N

$$(x, y) \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi ixy}{N}} \in \mathbb{C}_N \subset \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Further relations, e.g. corresponding to integrals,
 $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y],$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i F(x, y)} dx \rightsquigarrow \sum_{0 \leq n < A(N)} e^{\frac{2\pi i F(n, m)}{N}} \Delta n$$

For the case of quadratic $F(x, y)$ this is a **Gauss quadratic sum**.

A crucial property: $n \ll N \rightarrow n|N$, follows from pos-model completeness.

Structures over \mathbb{R}_w

Theorem. The finite approximation of $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_w$ can be extended to a finite approximation of the mathematical structure of quantum mechanics with quadratic Hamiltonians:

Structures over \mathbb{R}_w

Theorem. The finite approximation of $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_w$ can be extended to a finite approximation of the mathematical structure of quantum mechanics with quadratic Hamiltonians:

the symplectic space on \mathbb{R}^{2n} with Hermitian line bundle with connection.

The metaplectic group $\mathrm{Mp}(n)$ of symplectomorphisms acts on the space and on the line bundle.

Its 1-dim subgroups describe the evolution of respective “particles”.

Structures over \mathbb{R}_w

Theorem. The finite approximation of $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_w$ can be extended to a finite approximation of the mathematical structure of quantum mechanics with quadratic Hamiltonians:

the symplectic space on \mathbb{R}^{2n} with Hermitian line bundle with connection.

The metaplectic group $Mp(n)$ of symplectomorphisms acts on the space and on the line bundle.

Its 1-dim subgroups describe the evolution of respective “particles”.

Calculations over finite models can be passed via **lim** to the continuous model of quantum mechanics.

Example of Calculation. Time evolution operator for the quantum harmonic oscillator.

$$K^t := e^{-i\frac{P^2 + \omega^2 Q^2}{\hbar}t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where P and Q are the momentum and position operators, t time.

Example of Calculation. Time evolution operator for the quantum harmonic oscillator.

$$K^t := e^{-i\frac{P^2 + \omega^2 Q^2}{\hbar}t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where P and Q are the momentum and position operators, t time.

The matrix element on row x_1 and column x_2 (*kernel of the Feynman propagator*) is calculated as

$$\langle x_1 | K^t | x_2 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2\pi i \hbar \sin t}} \exp i\omega \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \cos t - 2x_1 x_2}{2\hbar \sin \omega t}.$$

Physicists calculations of path integral

$$I_L = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i \sum a_{kj} x_k x_j} dx_1 \dots dx_L, \quad K^t = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} I_L$$

where L defines $\Delta t = \frac{t}{L}$ for which the evolution from t_k to $t_k + \Delta t$ is evaluated. I_L calculates the evolution from t_0 to $t_0 + t$.

Physicists calculations of path integral

$$I_L = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i \sum a_{kj} x_k x_j} dx_1 \dots dx_L, \quad K^t = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} I_L$$

where L defines $\Delta t = \frac{t}{L}$ for which the evolution from t_k to $t_k + \Delta t$ is evaluated. I_L calculates the evolution from t_0 to $t_0 + t$. For the Harmonic oscillator,

$$|I_L| = \sqrt{L} \prod_{n=1}^L \sqrt{\left(\frac{\pi^2 n^2}{t^2} - \omega^2\right)^{-1}}$$

does not converge to a finite number, so has to be **renormalised** (treated as a “generalised limit”) in order to get a right answer.

Structural approximation calculations of path integral

$$I_{\kappa} = \sum_{x_1=-\eta/2}^{\eta/2} \dots \sum_{x_{\kappa}=-\eta/2}^{\eta/2} e^{i \sum a_{kj} x_k x_j} \Delta x_1 \dots \Delta x_{\kappa}, \quad K^t = \lim I_{\kappa}$$

$$0 \ll \kappa \ll \eta. \quad \Delta x = c \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}}, \quad \Delta t = \frac{t}{\kappa}.$$

The control over the pseudo-finite parameters allows to evaluate K^t correctly, no need of renormalisation.

Higher order oscillating integrals

The current aim is to reinterpret the classical *stationary phase formula* in terms of pseudo-finite model

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{iF(x)}{h}} dx = e^{\frac{iF(c)}{h}} h^{\frac{1}{2}} I(h), \text{ where } \lim_{h \rightarrow +0} I(h) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F''(c)|}}$$

assuming the c the unique critical point, $F'(c) = 0$, $F''(c) \neq 0$.

Higher order oscillating integrals

The current aim is to reinterpret the classical *stationary phase formula* in terms of pseudo-finite model

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{iF(x)}{h}} dx = e^{\frac{iF(c)}{h}} h^{\frac{1}{2}} I(h), \text{ where } \lim_{h \rightarrow +0} I(h) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F''(c)|}}$$

assuming the c the unique critical point, $F'(c) = 0$, $F''(c) \neq 0$.
According to the general rule our interpretation of this integral,
for $\deg F = d$, $\frac{1}{2\pi h} = H \in \mathbb{Z}$ is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{iF(x)}{h}} dx := \lim \frac{1}{M} \frac{M^{d-2}}{Hd} \sum_{0 \leq n < M^d} \exp(2\pi i H F(\frac{n}{M}))$$

Theorem. For some $J(H, F)$ (sum of roots of unity)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{iF(x)}{h}} dx = J(H, F).$$