An algebraic characterization of the affine three space

Nikhilesh Dasgupta School of Mathematical Sciences, Narsee Monjee Institute of Management Studies, Mumbai, India

03.02.2021



Notations

For a ring R, $B = R^{[n]}$ will mean $B \cong R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$

k: an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

B: an affine k-domain.

 B^* : group of units of B.

Characterization Problem

Affine algebraic geometry is a branch of commutative algebra and algebraic geometry which deals with the study of affine algebraic varietes (i.e. finite generated algebras over fields).

One of the important problems in affine algebraic geometry is the Characterization Problem.

Characterization Problem:

Find necessary and sufficient conditions on the affine domain B so that $B = k^{[n]}$

The Characterization Problem is closely related to other challenging problems on the affine space like the Zariski Cancellation Problem.

A necessary and sufficient condition

Let dim B = n. Then

$$B = k^{[n]} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{there exists a coordinate system of } B \\ \text{consisting of } n \text{ elements.} \end{cases}$$

Remark:

- Given an arbitrary set of generators of *B*, it is not always easy to decide whether they form a coordinate system.
- Even when $B = k^{[n]}$, given an arbitrary $f \in B$, it is not always easy to decide whether f is a coordinate.
- $f = X + X^2Y + Z^2 + T^3 \in k[X, Y, Z, T]$ is not a coordinate (Makar-Limanov [6]).
- Is $f = Y + X(XZ + Y(YT + Z^2))$ a coordinate in k[X, Y, Z, T]? (Open; c.f Bhatwadekar, Dutta [13] and Lewis [14])

Some necessary conditions

- B is a UFD
- $B^* = k^*$.
- B is regular.
- $Der_k(B)$ is a free B-module.
- If $k = \mathbb{C}$, $X = Spec\ B$ is contractible.

Question: Are these also sufficient?

n=1 and dim B=1

An algebraic characterization:

(A1)
$$B = k^{[1]} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} B \text{ is a UFD} \\ B^* = k^* \end{cases}$$

A topological characterization:

(T1) If $k = \mathbb{C}$, the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the only acyclic normal curve.

n=2 and dim B=2

A topological characterization (C. P. Ramanujam (1971) [10]):

X is a smooth affine algebraic surface over \mathbb{C} . Then

$$(\mathit{T2}) \ \ X \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} X \ \text{is topologically contractible} \\ \pi_1^\infty = (1) \end{cases}$$

An Algebraic Characterization (Miyanishi (1975) [7]):

$$(A2) \ B = k^{[2]} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} B \ \text{ is a UFD} \\ B^* = k^* \\ Spec \ B \ \text{ contains a cylinder-like open set} \\ U \times \mathbb{A}^1, \text{ i.e., there exists a subalgebra} \\ A \ \text{of B and } f \in A \ \text{such that} \\ B_f = A_f^{[1]}. \end{cases}$$
Nikhilesh Dasgupta NMIMS, Mumbai An algebraic characterization of the affine three space.

A major application

Zariski Cancellation Problem (**ZCP**) for $n \le 2$

Question (ZCP): Does $B^{[1]} = k^{[n+1]}$ imply $B = k^{[n]}$?

- (A1) immediately solves ZCP for n = 1.
- (A2) was a key tool in solving ZCP for n = 2
 (Miyanishi, Sugie (1980) [8] and Fujita (1979) [4]).

Remark: For $n \ge 3$, the answer is

- Open, when *char* k = 0.
- Negative, when char k > 0. (Neena Gupta (2014) [9]).

$\overline{n=3}$ and dim $\overline{B}=3$

An algebro-topological characterization (Kaliman (2002)[5])

Let $X = Spec\ B$ be an affine algebraic variety of dim 3 over

Let
$$X = Spec\ B$$
 be an affine algebraic variety of dim 3 over \mathbb{C} . Then
$$\begin{cases} B^* = \mathbb{C}^* \\ B \text{ is a UFD} \\ H_3(X;\mathbb{Z}) = (0) \\ X \text{ contains a cylinder-like open set } U \times \mathbb{A}^2 \\ \text{ such that each irreducible} \\ \text{ component of the complement} \\ X \setminus (U \times \mathbb{A}^2) \text{ has at most isolated singularities.} \end{cases}$$
Nikhilesh Dasgupta NMIMS, Mumbai An algebraic characterization of the affine three space

Locally Nilpotent Derivations

Definitions and properties:

• A k-linear map $D: B \to B$ is called a derivation of B if

$$D(ab) = aD(b) + bD(a)$$
 for all $a, b \in B$.

D is said to be *locally nilpotent* if for each $b \in B$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D^n(b) = 0$. LND(B) :=set of all locally nilpotent derivations on B.

- Ker $D := \{a \in B \mid D(a) = 0\}$ is the kernel of the locally nilpotent derivation D.
- Ker D is always factorially closed (hence algebraically closed) in B and tr. $\deg_{Ker\ D} B = 1$.
- If B is affine, is Ker D always affine?
 For B = k^[n], yes if n ≥ 3, no if n ≥ 5 and open for n = 4 unless Ker D contains a coordinate.

Locally Nilpotent Derivations

Definitions and Properties(contd):

• There is a well-known one to one correspondence [15, Section 1.5]

$$LND(B) \longleftrightarrow \{ \text{regular } \mathbb{G}_a \text{ actions on } X = Spec B \}$$

- An affine *k*-domain *B* is defined to be rigid if it does not have any non-zero locally nilpotent derivation.
- B is defined to be almost rigid if there exists a non-zero locally nilpotent derivation D on B such that $LND(B) = \{fD \mid f \in Ker \ D\}.$
- The Makar-Limanov invariant of B, denoted by ML(B), is defined to be

$$ML(B) := \bigcap_{D \in LND(B)} Ker \ D.$$

 $ML(k^{[n]}) = k$ and for a rigid ring B, ML(B) = B.

Locally Nilpotent Derivations with slices

Definitions and properties:

- Any $D \in LND(B)$ is said to have a slice is there exists $s \in B$ such that Ds = 1. It means that the corresponding \mathbb{G}_a action is equivariantly trivial.
- Slice Theorem : [15, Corollary 1.26] Let $D \in LND(B)$ has a slice s and $A := Ker\ D$. Then
 - i) $B = A[s] = A^{[1]}$ and $D = \frac{d}{ds}$.
 - ii) $A = \pi_s(B)$ and $Ker \ \pi_s = s\widetilde{B}$, where the Dixmier map $\pi_s : B \to A$ is defined as

$$\pi_s(b) = \sum_{i>0} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} (D^i b) s^i.$$

iii) If B is affine, then Y is affine.



ML^*

Consider the subset $LND^*(B) \subseteq LND(B)$ defined by

$$LND^*(B) = \{D \in LND(B) \mid Ds = 1 \text{ for some } s \in B\}.$$

Then we define

$$ML^*(B) := \bigcap_{D \in LND^*(B)} Ker \ D.$$

If $LND^*(B) = \emptyset$, we define $ML^*(B)$ to be B.

Remarks:

- $ML^*(k^{[n]}) = ML(k^{[n]}) = k$.
- $ML(B) \subseteq ML^*(B)$ (can be a proper subset!).
- *ML**(*B*^[1]) ⊆ *ML*(*B*) (**Dasgupta, Gupta** [2, Lemma 3.1])



dim B = 1

A characterization of $k^{[1]}$ in terms of the Makar-Limanov invariant

<u>Theorem</u> :(Crachiola, Makar-Limanov (2005)[1]) : Let B be an affine k-domain such that dim B = 1. Then

$$B = k^{[1]} \Leftrightarrow ML(B) = k$$
.

Remark : When dim B=2, $ML(B)=k \Rightarrow B=k^{[2]}$ (see Danielewski Surfaces).

Danielewski Surfaces

Let

$$B:=\frac{k[X,Y,Z]}{(X^nZ-p(Y))}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p(Y) \in k[Y]$. Let x be the image of X in B.

- (i) If n = 1 or if deg p(Y) = 1, then ML(B) = k.
- (ii) If $n \ge 2$ and $\deg p(Y) \ge 2$, then ML(B) = k[x]. Moreover, $Ker\ D = k[x]$ for every non-zero $D \in LND(B)$.

A Characterization of $k^{[2]}$

<u>Theorem 1</u>: (Dasgupta, Gupta [2, Theorem 3.6]) Let B be an affine k-domain such that dim B=2. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $B = k^{[2]}$
- (ii) $ML^*(B) = k$
- (iii) ML(B) = k and $ML^*(B) \neq B$

Thus

$$(A2)'$$
 $B = k^{[2]} \Leftrightarrow ML^*(B) = k.$

Remark:

- (i) In Theorem 1, the hypothesis that k is algebraically closed can be dropped.
- (ii) Theorem 1 does not hold when dim B=3 (see Example 1).

Example 1

Let k be a field of characteristic zero, $R := \frac{k[X,Y,Z]}{(XY-Z^2-1)}$ and B := R[T]. Then the following hold:

- (i) If k is an algebraically closed field, then B is not a UFD.
- (ii) If $k = \mathbb{R}$, then B is a UFD.
- (iii) $ML(B) = ML^*(B) = k$ and $ML^*(R) = R$.
- (iv) $B \neq k^{[3]}$.

A Characterization of $k^{[3]}$

We begin with some interesting results. Let B be an affine k-domain such that B is a UFD and dim B=3.

Lemma 1: (**Dasgupta**, **Gupta**[2, Lemma 4.2]) If B admits two non-zero distinct locally nilpotent derivations with slices, then there exists a k-subalgebra R of B, such that R is a UFD and $B = R^{[2]}$.

Remark: Example 6 shows that such a result does not extend to a four-dimensional affine UFD.

A Characterization of $k^{[3]}$ (contd)

Proposition 1 :(Dasgupta, Gupta[2, Proposition 4.3]) Let $ML(B) = ML^*(B)$. Then the following hold:

- (i) If tr. $deg_k ML(B) = 3$, then B is rigid.
- (ii) If tr. $\deg_k ML(B) = 2$, then there exists an affine k-subalgebra C of B such that C is rigid, C is a UFD and $B = C^{[1]}$.
- (iii) If $\operatorname{tr.deg}_k ML(B) = 1$, then there exists a k-subalgebra S of B such that S is rigid, S is a UFD and $B = S^{[2]}$. Moreover, there exists $t \in B$, such that $S = k[t, \frac{1}{p(t)}]$, where $k[t] = k^{[1]}$ and $p(t) \in k[t] \setminus k$. In particular, $k^* \subsetneq B^*$.
- (iv) If tr. $\deg_k ML(B) = 0$, then $B = k^{[3]}$.



A Characterization of $k^{[3]}$ (contd)

<u>Lemma 2</u>:(Dasgupta, Gupta [2, Lemma 4.4]) If $ML^*(B) \neq B$, then $ML(B) = ML^*(B)$.

Theorem 2 :(Dasgupta, Gupta [2, Theorem 4.5])

The following are equivalent:

- (i) $B = k^{[3]}$
- (ii) $ML^*(B) = k$
- (iii) ML(B) = k and $ML^*(B) \neq B$

Thus

$$(A3) B = k^{[3]} \Leftrightarrow ML^*(B) = k.$$

A Characterization of $k^{[3]}$ (contd)

Remark:

- (i) In Lemma 2, the hypothesis that $ML^*(B) \neq B$ is necessary. For a three-dimensional affine UFD B, containing an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, it may happen that $ML^*(B) = B$ but tr. $\deg_k ML(B)$ is zero (Example 2), one (Example 3), or two (Example 4) i.e. $ML^*(B) \neq ML(B)$.
- (ii) Theorem 2 does not extend to a four-dimensional affine regular UFD, i.e., a four-dimensional affine UFD \widetilde{B} need not be $k^{[4]}$, even when $ML(\widetilde{B}) = ML^*(\widetilde{B}) = k$ (Example 5).

Example 2 $(ML^*(B) = B$ but ML(B) = k)

Let

$$B:=\frac{k[X,Y,Z,T]}{(XY-ZT-1)}.$$

Let the images of X, Y, Z and T in B be denoted by x, y, z and t respectively.

- B is a UFD (by Nagata's criterion). Moreover B is regular.
- ML(B) = k, i.e. tr. $\deg_k ML(B) = 0$. Consider four non-zero locally nilpotent derivations D_1 , D_2 , D_3 and D_4 on B given by
 - (i) $D_1x = 0$, $D_1y = z$, $D_1z = 0$, $D_1t = x$.
 - (ii) $D_2x = 0$, $D_2y = t$, $D_2z = x$, $D_2t = 0$.
 - (iii) $D_3x = z$, $D_3y = 0$, $D_3z = 0$, $D_3t = y$.
 - (iv) $D_4x = t$, $D_4y = 0$, $D_4z = y$, $D_4t = 0$.



Example 2 (contd)

Let $Ker\ D_i = A_i$ for each i=1,2,3,4. Now $k[x,z] \subseteq A_1 \subseteq B$. It follows that $A_1 = k[x,z]$ (since both A_1 and k[x,z] are algebraically closed in B and have the same transcendence degree over k). Similarly $A_2 = k[x,t]$, $A_3 = k[y,z]$, $A_4 = k[y,t]$ and $\bigcap_i A_i = k$. Thus ML(B) = k. Since the Whitehead group $K_1(B) \neq k^*$, $B \neq k^{[3]}$. Hence $ML^*(B) = B$ (by Theorem 2).

Example 3 $(ML^*(B)=B$ but $\mathsf{tr.deg}_{k}$ ML(B)=1)

Russell-Koras threefold:

Let

$$B := \frac{\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z, T]}{(X + X^2Y + Z^2 + T^3)}.$$

Let x denote the image of X in B.

- B is a UFD (by Nagata's criterion).
- $ML(B) = \mathbb{C}[x] = \mathbb{C}^{[1]}$ (L.G. Makar-Limanov (1996) [2, Lemma 8]). In particular, tr. $\deg_k ML(B) = 1$.
- $ML(B) \neq ML^*(B)$ (by Proposition 1(iii) and since $B^* = \mathbb{C}^*$).
- $ML^*(B) = B$ (by Lemma 1).

Example 4 $(ML^*(B) = B \text{ but tr. deg}_k ML(B) = 2)$

Let

$$R:=\frac{\mathbb{C}[X,Y,Z]}{(X^2+Y^3+Z^7)} \ \ \text{and} \ \ B:=\frac{R[U,V]}{(X^2U-Y^3V-1)}.$$

- *B* is an almost rigid UFD of dim 3.
- ML(B) = R (D.R. Finston and S. Maubach (2008) [3, Theorem 2]). In particular, tr. deg_k ML(B) = 2.
- $ML^*(B) = B$ (since $B \neq R^{[1]}$).

Example 5 (Theorem 2 does not extend to dim 4)

Let

$$B:=rac{k[X,Y,Z,T]}{(XY-ZT-1)}$$
 and $\widetilde{B}:=B[u]=B^{[1]}$.

- \widetilde{B} is a regular UFD of dim 4.
- $ML^*(\widetilde{B}) = ML(\widetilde{B}) = k$.
- $\widetilde{B} \neq k^{[4]}$.

Proof: For each i=1,2,3,4, we extend the locally nilpotent derivation D_i of B to a locally nilpotent derivation \widetilde{D}_i of \widetilde{B} , by defining $\widetilde{D}_i u=1$. Let

$$\widetilde{D_5} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$
 and Ker $\widetilde{D_i} = \widetilde{A_i}$.



Example 5 (contd)

Proof (contd): By the Slice Theorem, we have

$$\widetilde{A_1} = k[x, z, y - zu, t - xu],$$

$$\widetilde{A_2} = k[x, t, z - xu, y - tu],$$

$$\widetilde{A_3} = k[y, z, x - zu, t - yu],$$

$$\widetilde{A_4} = k[y, t, x - tu, z - yu] \text{ and}$$

$$\widetilde{A_5} = k[x, y, z, t].$$

Clearly $k[x, z + t - xu] \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$. Since k[x, z + t - xu] and $\widetilde{A_1} \cap \widetilde{A_2}$ are algebraically closed in B[u] and they have the same transcendence degree over k, we have

$$\widetilde{A_1} \cap \widetilde{A_2} = k[x, z + t - xu].$$



Example 5 (contd)

Proof (contd): Similarly,

$$\widetilde{A_3} \cap \widetilde{A_4} = k[y, z + t - yu].$$

Again,

$$\widetilde{A_5} \cap k[x,z+t-xu] = k[x]$$
 and $\widetilde{A_5} \cap k[y,z+t-yu] = k[y]$.

Hence $\bigcap_{i} \widetilde{A}_{i} = k$. Thus $ML^{*}(\widetilde{B}) = ML(\widetilde{B}) = k$. But $\widetilde{B} \neq k^{[4]}$ (for instance, $K_{1}(\widetilde{B}) = K_{1}(B) \neq k^{*}$).

Example 6 (Lemma 1 does not hold in dim 4)

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $R = k[X,Y,Z]/(X^2+Y^3+Z^7) = k[x,y,z]$, where x, y and z denote the images of X, Y and Z in R. Let C = R[U,V]/(xU-yV-1) = R[u,v], where u and v denote the images of U and V in C and $B = C[T] = C^{[1]}$. Then the following hold.

- (i) B is a UFD of dimension 4.
- (ii) $ML(B) = ML^*(B) = R$.
- (iii) $B \neq R^{[2]}$.
- (iv) $B \neq S^{[2]}$ for any k-subalgebra S of B.

Example 6 (contd)

Proof: (i) By Nagata's criterion, R and C are UFDs. Hence B is a UFD. Clearly dim B=4.

(ii) By [3, Lemma 2], $R \subseteq ML(B) \subseteq ML^*(B)$. Consider the R-linear derivations δ_1 and δ_2 on B as follows:

$$\delta_1(u) = y$$
, $\delta_1(v) = x$ and $\delta_1(T) = 1$

and

$$\delta_2(u) = yT$$
, $\delta_2(v) = xT$ and $\delta_1(T) = 1$.

Clearly they are locally nilpotent derivations with slices T. By Slice Theorem, $A_1 := Ker \ \delta_1 = R[u - yT, v - xT]$ and $A_2 := Ker \ \delta_2 = R[2u - yT^2, 2v - xT^2]$. Then $A_{1x} = R_x[v - xT]$ and $A_{2x} = R_x[2v - xT^2]$ and the two rings A_1 and A_2 are clearly different. Therefore $A_1 \cap A_2 \subsetneq A_2$. As $A_1 \cap A_2$ is an inert subring of B containing R, we have $A_1 \cap A_2 = R$ by comparing the dimensions.

Example 6 (contd)

Proof (contd): (iii) Since (x,y)B = B, it follows that $B \neq R^{[2]}$. (iv) Suppose there exists a k-subalgebra S of B such that $B = S^{[2]}$. Then $R = ML(B) \subseteq S$. Since tr. $\deg_k R = \operatorname{tr.} \deg_k S$ and both R and S are algebraically closed in B, it follows that R = S, contradicting (iii). Hence the result

A Question

Given an *n*-dimensional affine domain B, $ML(B) = ML^*(B)$ holds when

- tr. deg_k $ML^*(B) = n 1$ for $n \ge 2$ [2, Lemma 3.2]
- dim B = 2 and $ML^*B \neq B$ [2, Lemma 3.4]
- dim B = 3 and $ML^*B \neq B$ and B is a UFD [Lemma 2]

Question : Does there exist a three-dimensional affine k-domain such that ML(B) = k but tr. $\deg_k ML^*B = 1$?

Russell-Koras threefold

The well-known Russell-Koras threefold (denoted by B) is defined as

$$B := \frac{\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z, T]}{(X + X^2Y + Z^2 + T^3)}$$

L.G. Makar-Limanov showed that B, which was a candidate for a counterexample to the Linearization Problem, is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{[3]}$, by proving $ML(B) \neq \mathbb{C}.([6])$.

An important problem in Affine Algebraic Geometry asks whether

$$B^{[1]}=\mathbb{C}^{[4]}$$

. An affirmative answer will give a negative solution to the Zariski Cancellation Problem for the three-space in characteristic zero.



Russell-Koras threefold (cont'd)

Some results and remarks:

- A. Dubouloz showed that $ML(B^{[1]}) = \mathbb{C}(2009, [11])$.
- More recently, A. Dubouloz and J. Fasel have shown that X = Spec(B) is \mathbb{A}^1 -contractible (2018, [12]).
- It follows from our result (Lemma 3.1.1, [2]) that $ML^*(B^{[2]}) \subseteq ML(B^{[1]}) = \mathbb{C}$. As a consequence, we have $ML^*(B^{[n]}) = \mathbb{C}$ for any $n \geqslant 2$.

This leads to the following open question:

Question : Is $\mathit{ML}^*(\mathit{B}^{[1]}) = \mathbb{C}$?

A negative answer to this problem will confirm that $B^{[1]} \neq \mathbb{C}^{[4]}$.

- [1] A.J. Crachiola, L.G. Makar-Limanov, *On the rigidity of small domains*, J. Algebra **284** (2005) 1–12.
- [2] N. Dasgupta, N. Gupta, An algebraic characterization of the affine three space Accepted at Journal of Commutative Algebra.
- [3] D.R. Finston, S. Maubach, *The automorphism group of certain factorial threefolds and a cancellation problem*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **163** (2008) 369–381.
- [4] T. Fujita, *On Zariski Problem*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **55** (1979) no. 3, 106–110.

- [5] Sh. Kaliman, *Polynomials with general* $\mathbb{C}^{[2]}$ -fibers are variables, Pacific J. Math. **203** (2002) no. 1, 161–190.
- [6] L.G. Makar-Limanov, On the hypersurface $x + x^2y + z^2 + t^3 = 0$ in \mathbb{C}^4 or \mathbb{C}^3 -like threefold which is not \mathbb{C}^3 , Israel Journal of Mathematics **96** (1996) 419–429.
- [7] M. Miyanishi, An algebraic characterization of the affine plane, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **15** (1975) 169–184.
- [8] M. Miyanishi and T. Sugie, *Affine surfaces containing cylinderlike open sets*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **20** (1980) 11–42.

- [9] N. Gupta, On the cancellation problem for the affine space \mathbb{A}^3 in characteristic p, Invent. Math., **195** (2014) 279–288.
- [10] C.P. Ramanujam, *A topological characterization of the affine plane as an algebraic variety*, Ann. of Math. **94** (1971) 69–88.
- [11] A. Dubouloz, *The cylinder over the Koras-Russell cubic threefold has a trivial Makar-Limanov invariant*, Trans. Groups **14** (2009) 531–539.
- [12] A. Dubouloz and J. Fasel, Families of A¹-contractible affine threefolds, Algebr. Geom. 5 **1** (2018) 1–14.

- [13] S.M. Bhatwadekar and A.K. Dutta, *On linear planes over a DVR*, Journal of Algebra, **166** (1994) 393–405.
- [14] D. Lewis, Vènèreau-type polynomials as potential counterexamples, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 217(5) (2013) 946–957.
- [15] G. Freudenburg, Algebraic Theory of Locally Nilpotent Derivations, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2017).