## Finite jet determination for CR mappings

Alexander Tumanov (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

"Multidimensional residues and tropical geometry" Sirius Mathematics Center, Sochi, June 14-18, 2021.

# Finite jet determination for CR mappings

A CR mapping is a diffeomorphism between two real manifolds in complex space that satisfies tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations. We are concerned with the problem whether a CR mapping is uniquely determined by its finite jet at a point. This problem has been popular since 1970-s and the number of publications on the matter is enormous. Nevertheless, natural fundamental questions have remained open. I will present a solution to a version of the problem and discuss old and new results.

- CR manifolds and CR mappings
- Conditions on the Levi form
- Infinitesimal automorphisms of quadrics
- Finite jet determination
- 2-jet determination

Examples

#### CR manifolds

Let M be a smooth real submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Recall the complex tangent space at  $p \in M$ 

$$T_p^c(M) = T_p(M) \cap JT_p(M), \ p \in M.$$

Here  $J: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  is the operator of multiplication by  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . The manifold M is called a CR manifold if dim  $T_p^c(M)$  does not depend on  $p \in M$ . Then the dimension  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_p^c(M)$  is called the CR dimension of M and is denoted by  $\dim_{CR} M$ .

#### CR manifolds

Let M be a smooth real submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Recall the complex tangent space at  $p \in M$ 

$$T_{\rho}^{c}(M) = T_{\rho}(M) \cap JT_{\rho}(M), \ \rho \in M.$$

Here  $J: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  is the operator of multiplication by  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . The manifold M is called a CR manifold if dim  $T_p^c(M)$  does not depend on  $p \in M$ . Then the dimension  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_p^c(M)$  is called the CR dimension of M and is denoted by  $\dim_{CR} M$ .

The manifold M is called generic if  $T_p(M)$  spans  $T_p(\mathbb{C}^n) \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  for all  $p \in M$ , that is,

$$T_p(M) + JT_p(M) = \mathbb{C}^n$$
.

For instance, all real hypersurfaces are generic. If M is generic, then M is a CR manifold and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_n^c(M) + \operatorname{cod} M = n$$

where  $\operatorname{cod} M$  is the codimension of M in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

## CR mappings

Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be CR manifolds. A  $C^1$  mapping  $f: M_1 \to M_2$  is called a CR mapping or a CR map if  $df|_{T^c(M_1)}$  is a C-linear mapping  $T^c(M_1) \to T^c(M_2)$ .

## CR mappings

Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be CR manifolds. A  $C^1$  mapping  $f: M_1 \to M_2$  is called a CR mapping or a CR map if  $df|_{T^c(M_1)}$  is a C-linear mapping  $T^c(M_1) \to T^c(M_2)$ .

If a CR mapping is a diffeomorphism, then it is called a CR diffeomorphism. Clearly, if  $f:M_1\to M_2$  is a CR diffeomorphism of generic manifolds in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  should have the same dimension and CR dimension. We will consider only CR diffeomorphisms and will call them just CR mappings.

#### Equations of a generic manifold

We introduce coordinates  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $w = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , so that M has a local equation

$$v=h(z,u),$$

where  $h = (h_1, ..., h_k)$  is a smooth real vector function with h(0) = 0, dh(0) = 0.

### Equations of a generic manifold

We introduce coordinates  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $w = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , so that M has a local equation

$$v = h(z, u),$$

where  $h = (h_1, ..., h_k)$  is a smooth real vector function with h(0) = 0, dh(0) = 0.

Furthermore, we can choose the coordinates so that the equations of M take the form

$$v_j = h_j(z, u) = \langle A_j z, \overline{z} \rangle + O(|z|^3 + |u|^3), \qquad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

where  $A_j$  are Hermitian matrices.

### Equations of a generic manifold

We introduce coordinates  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $w = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , so that M has a local equation

$$v = h(z, u),$$

where  $h = (h_1, ..., h_k)$  is a smooth real vector function with h(0) = 0, dh(0) = 0.

Furthermore, we can choose the coordinates so that the equations of M take the form

$$v_j = h_j(z, u) = \langle A_j z, \overline{z} \rangle + O(|z|^3 + |u|^3), \qquad 1 \le j \le k,$$

where  $A_j$  are Hermitian matrices.

The matrices  $A_j$  can be regarded as the components of the vector valued Levi form of M at 0.

 We say M is Levi generating at 0 if the matrices A<sub>j</sub> are linearly independent. If this condition is not fulfilled, then the quadratic manifold

 $\{(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^n:v_j=\langle A_jz,\overline{z}\rangle,1\leq j\leq k\}$  is foliated by CR manifolds of the same CR dimension as M. We restrict to Levi generating manifolds here.

- We say M is Levi generating at 0 if the matrices  $A_j$  are linearly independent. If this condition is not fulfilled, then the quadratic manifold  $\{(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^n:v_j=\langle A_jz,\overline{z}\rangle,1\leq j\leq k\}$  is foliated by CR manifolds of the same CR dimension as M. We restrict to Levi generating manifolds here.
- We say M is Levi nondegenerate at 0 if  $\langle A_j z, \overline{\zeta} \rangle = 0$  for all j and z implies  $\zeta = 0$ .

- We say M is Levi generating at 0 if the matrices  $A_j$  are linearly independent. If this condition is not fulfilled, then the quadratic manifold  $\{(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^n:v_j=\langle A_jz,\overline{z}\rangle,1\leq j\leq k\}$  is foliated by CR manifolds of the same CR dimension as M. We restrict to Levi generating manifolds here.
- We say M is Levi nondegenerate at 0 if  $\langle A_j z, \overline{\zeta} \rangle = 0$  for all j and z implies  $\zeta = 0$ .
- We say M is strongly Levi nondegenerate at 0 if there is  $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $\det\left(\sum c_j A_j\right) \neq 0$ . This condition means that M lies on a Levi nondegenerate hypersurface.

- We say M is Levi generating at 0 if the matrices  $A_j$  are linearly independent. If this condition is not fulfilled, then the quadratic manifold  $\{(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^n:v_j=\langle A_jz,\overline{z}\rangle,1\leq j\leq k\}$  is foliated by CR manifolds of the same CR dimension as M. We restrict to Levi generating manifolds here.
- We say M is Levi nondegenerate at 0 if  $\langle A_j z, \overline{\zeta} \rangle = 0$  for all j and z implies  $\zeta = 0$ .
- We say M is strongly Levi nondegenerate at 0 if there is  $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $\det\left(\sum c_j A_j\right) \neq 0$ . This condition means that M lies on a Levi nondegenerate hypersurface.
- We say M is strongly pseudoconvex at 0 if there is  $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $\sum c_j A_j > 0$ . This condition means that M lies on a strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface.

The problem of finite jet determination has been a subject of work by many authors (Baouendi, Beloshapka, Bertrand, Ebenfelt, Ezhov, Kim, Lamel, Merker, Meylan, Rothschild, Schmalz, Sukhov, Zaitsev, etc).

The problem of finite jet determination has been a subject of work by many authors (Baouendi, Beloshapka, Bertrand, Ebenfelt, Ezhov, Kim, Lamel, Merker, Meylan, Rothschild, Schmalz, Sukhov, Zaitsev, etc).

In spite of an enormous volume of publications on the matter, there have been fundamental open questions, in particular, when CR mappings are uniquely defined by their 2-jets. We restrict to Levi generating Levi nondegenerate CR manifolds, which we for brevity call just nondegenerate.

The problem of finite jet determination has been a subject of work by many authors (Baouendi, Beloshapka, Bertrand, Ebenfelt, Ezhov, Kim, Lamel, Merker, Meylan, Rothschild, Schmalz, Sukhov, Zaitsev, etc).

In spite of an enormous volume of publications on the matter, there have been fundamental open questions, in particular, when CR mappings are uniquely defined by their 2-jets. We restrict to Levi generating Levi nondegenerate CR manifolds, which we for brevity call just nondegenerate.

Beloshapka (1988) proved that a real analytic CR automorphism of a real analytic nondegenerate CR manifold is determined by its finite jet at a point.

Bertrand, Blanc-Centi and Meylan (2019-2020), prove 2-jet determination for  $C^3$ -smooth CR automorphisms of  $C^4$ -smooth generic nondegenerate manifold M with additional condition that the authors call D-nondegenerate. In particular, it implies that there is  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$  such that the vectors  $\{A_jz: 1 \le j \le k\}$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent. This condition is quite restrictive, in particular, it implies that  $\operatorname{cod} M \le 2\dim_{CR} M$ , whereas the dimension of the space of all Hermitian forms on  $\mathbb{C}^m$  is equal to  $m^2$ .

Bertrand, Blanc-Centi and Meylan (2019-2020), prove 2-jet determination for  $C^3$ -smooth CR automorphisms of  $C^4$ -smooth generic nondegenerate manifold M with additional condition that the authors call D-nondegenerate. In particular, it implies that there is  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$  such that the vectors  $\{A_jz: 1 \le j \le k\}$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent. This condition is quite restrictive, in particular, it implies that  $\operatorname{cod} M \le 2\dim_{CR} M$ , whereas the dimension of the space of all Hermitian forms on  $\mathbb{C}^m$  is equal to  $m^2$ .

Tumanov (2019) proves 2-jet determination for  $C^3$ -smooth CR automorphisms of  $C^4$ -smooth strongly pseudoconvex manifolds.

Bertrand, Blanc-Centi and Meylan (2019-2020), prove 2-jet determination for  $C^3$ -smooth CR automorphisms of  $C^4$ -smooth generic nondegenerate manifold M with additional condition that the authors call D-nondegenerate. In particular, it implies that there is  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$  such that the vectors  $\{A_jz: 1 \le j \le k\}$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent. This condition is quite restrictive, in particular, it implies that  $\operatorname{cod} M \le 2\dim_{CR} M$ , whereas the dimension of the space of all Hermitian forms on  $\mathbb{C}^m$  is equal to  $m^2$ .

Tumanov (2019) proves 2-jet determination for  $C^3$ -smooth CR automorphisms of  $C^4$ -smooth strongly pseudoconvex manifolds.

Both results were obtained by using the invariantness of stationary discs.

Meylan (2020) constructed a surprising counterexample of a quadric for which 2-jet determination fails.

Meylan (2020) constructed a surprising counterexample of a quadric for which 2-jet determination fails.

For arbitrary large integer p, Gregerovič and Meylan (2020) constructed counterexamples for which p-jet determination fails.

Meylan (2020) constructed a surprising counterexample of a quadric for which 2-jet determination fails.

For arbitrary large integer p, Gregerovič and Meylan (2020) constructed counterexamples for which p-jet determination fails.

We present a sufficient condition for 2-jet determination that implies both results on 2-jet determination mentioned above, that is, for strictly pseudoconvex and for D-nondegenerate manifolds. Our approach is based on infinitesimal automorphisms.

# Infinitesimal automorphisms of quadrics

An infinitesimal CR-automorphism of a CR-manifold M is a vector field on M that generates a local 1-parameter group of CR-mappings (CR-automorphisms)  $M \to M$ .

## Infinitesimal automorphisms of quadrics

An infinitesimal CR-automorphism of a CR-manifold M is a vector field on M that generates a local 1-parameter group of CR-mappings (CR-automorphisms)  $M \to M$ .

We first restrict to the case in which M is a nondegenerate quadric defined as before by the equations

$$v = F(z, z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^m, w = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}^k,$$

here 
$$F = (F_1, \dots, F_k), \, F_j(z, z) = \langle A_j z, \overline{z} \rangle.$$

# Infinitesimal automorphisms of quadrics

An infinitesimal CR-automorphism of a CR-manifold M is a vector field on M that generates a local 1-parameter group of CR-mappings (CR-automorphisms)  $M \to M$ .

We first restrict to the case in which M is a nondegenerate quadric defined as before by the equations

$$v = F(z, z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^m, w = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}^k,$$

here 
$$F = (F_1, \dots, F_k), F_j(z, z) = \langle A_j z, \overline{z} \rangle.$$

Let G be the group of all CR-mappings (CR-automorphisms)  $M \to M$ . Then G is a finite dimensional Lie group and its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$  is the set of all infinitesimal automorphisms of M. The dimension of G has an estimate depending on m and k. (Beloshapka 1988, Tumanov 1988, Isaev and Kaup 2012, ...)

It turns out that all elements of G and  $\mathfrak g$  are respectively rational and polynomial. In particular, every vector field  $X \in \mathfrak g$  has the form

$$X = \sum f_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum g_\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial w_\ell} = f \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + g \frac{\partial}{\partial w} = (f, g),$$

where f and g are polynomial vector functions in z and w that satisfy the equation

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(g-2iF(f,z)\right)=0,\quad (z,w)\in M.$$

It turns out that all elements of G and  $\mathfrak g$  are respectively rational and polynomial. In particular, every vector field  $X \in \mathfrak g$  has the form

$$X = \sum f_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum g_\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial w_\ell} = f \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + g \frac{\partial}{\partial w} = (f, g),$$

where f and g are polynomial vector functions in z and w that satisfy the equation

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(g-2iF(f,z)\right)=0,\quad (z,w)\in M.$$

This equation implies

$$\deg_z f \leq 2$$
,  $\deg_z g \leq 1$ .

## The graded algebra g

We give the variables and differentiations  $z_j$ ,  $w_j$ ,  $\partial/\partial z_j$ ,  $\partial/\partial w_j$  the weights 1,2,-1,-2 respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_p$  be the set of vector fields  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  with weighted homogeneous degree  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{g}=\sum_{
ho=-2}^{\infty}\mathfrak{g}_{
ho}$$

is a graded Lie algebra, that is,  $[\mathfrak{g}_p,\mathfrak{g}_q]\subset\mathfrak{g}_{p+q}$ . The terms  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  have the same form for all quadrics:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-2} = \{b \frac{\partial}{\partial w} : b \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \{a \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iF(z, a) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} : a \in \mathbb{C}^m\}.$$

The algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is the Lie algebra of the group of "parallel displacements"  $M\to M$ 

 $(z,w)\mapsto (z+a,w+b+2iF(z,a)+iF(a,a)), \quad a\in\mathbb{C}^m,b\in\mathbb{R}^k.$ 

This group acts freely and transitively on *M*.

The algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is the Lie algebra of the group of "parallel displacements"  $M\to M$ 

$$(z,w)\mapsto (z+a,w+b+2iF(z,a)+iF(a,a)),\quad a\in\mathbb{C}^m,b\in\mathbb{R}^k.$$

This group acts freely and transitively on M.

For  $p \ge 0$ , the structure of  $\mathfrak{g}_p$  depends significantly on F.

Since F is nondegenerate, it follows that each vector  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_p$  is uniquely determined by the map  $\operatorname{ad} \xi : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \to \mathfrak{g}_{p-1}$ , here  $(\operatorname{ad} \xi)(\eta) = [\xi, \eta]$ .

In particular, if  $\mathfrak{g}_p = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_q = 0$  for all q > p.

The algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is the Lie algebra of the group of "parallel displacements"  $M\to M$ 

$$(z,w)\mapsto (z+a,w+b+2iF(z,a)+iF(a,a)),\quad a\in\mathbb{C}^m,b\in\mathbb{R}^k.$$

This group acts freely and transitively on *M*.

For  $p \ge 0$ , the structure of  $\mathfrak{g}_p$  depends significantly on F.

Since F is nondegenerate, it follows that each vector  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_p$  is uniquely determined by the map  $\operatorname{ad} \xi : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \to \mathfrak{g}_{p-1}$ , here  $(\operatorname{ad} \xi)(\eta) = [\xi, \eta]$ .

In particular, if  $\mathfrak{g}_p = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_q = 0$  for all q > p.

Thus, the algebra  $\mathfrak g$  is the Tanaka prolongation of  $\mathfrak g_{-2}+\mathfrak g_{-1}$ , that is, the maximal graded Lie algebra with the above unique determination property.

Let *M* be a nondegenerate CR manifold with equation

$$v = h(z, u) = F(z, z) + O(|z|^3 + |u|^3),$$

and let  $M_0$  be the corresponding quadric with equation

$$v = F(z, z)$$
.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the graded Lie algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms of  $M_0$ . Finite dimensionality of  $\mathfrak{g}$  implies finite jet determination for CR mappings of M.

#### Theorem

Let M, M' be smooth non-degenerate CR manifolds defined as above. Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}_p = 0$  for some p > 0. Then every germ at 0 of a smooth CR diffeomorphism  $\Phi = (f,g) : M \to M'$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$  is uniquely determined by the jets of f and g at 0 of weights respectively p and p + 1.

#### Theorem

Let M, M' be smooth non-degenerate CR manifolds defined as above. Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}_p=0$  for some p>0. Then every germ at 0 of a smooth CR diffeomorphism  $\Phi=(f,g):M\to M'$  with  $\Phi(0)=0$  is uniquely determined by the jets of f and g at 0 of weights respectively p and p+1.

#### Corollary

Let M,M' be smooth non-degenerate CR manifolds defined as above. Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}_3=0$ . Then every germ at 0 of a smooth CR diffeomorphism  $\Phi:M\to M'$  is uniquely determined by the 2-jet of  $\Phi$  at 0. Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{g}_3\neq 0$ , then there exists a CR diffeomorphism  $\Phi:M_0\to M_0$ ,  $\Phi\neq \mathrm{id}$ , whose 2-jet at 0 is the identity.

Beloshapka (1988) obtained the real analytic versions.

#### **Proof**

Following Moser (1974) and Beloshapka (1988), we expand the equations of M and M' and the CR mapping  $\Phi = (f,g)$  into Taylor series with remainders and represent them as sums of weighed homogeneous components.

$$v = h(z, u) = F + h_3 + ...$$
  
 $v' = h'(z', u') = F' + h'_3 + ...$   
 $z' = f(z, w) = f_1 + f_2 + ...$   
 $w' = g(z, w) = g_2 + g_3 + ...$ 

Since z = 0 is mapped to z' = 0, we have  $g_1 = 0$ . By linear transformations of z and w, we can put  $f_1 = z$ ,  $g_2 = w + P(z)$ , where P is a quadratic polynomial, but one can see that P = 0. Also, one can see that F' = F.

$$\operatorname{Im}(g_{p+2} - 2iF(f_{p+1}, z))|_{w=u+iF(z,z)} = \dots,$$

here the dots mean terms that include only  $f_{q+1}$  and  $g_{q+2}$  with q < p.

$$\operatorname{Im} (g_{p+2} - 2iF(f_{p+1}, z))|_{w=u+iF(z,z)} = \dots,$$

here the dots mean terms that include only  $f_{q+1}$  and  $g_{q+2}$  with q < p.

Note that the corresponding homogeneous equation describes  $(f_{p+1},g_{p+2})\in \mathfrak{g}_p$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}_p=0$ , the component  $(f_{p+1},g_{p+2})$  is uniquely determined by the components of  $\Phi$  of lower weighted degree.

$$\operatorname{Im} (g_{p+2} - 2iF(f_{p+1}, z))|_{w=u+iF(z,z)} = \dots,$$

here the dots mean terms that include only  $f_{q+1}$  and  $g_{q+2}$  with q < p.

Note that the corresponding homogeneous equation describes  $(f_{p+1},g_{p+2})\in \mathfrak{g}_p$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}_p=0$ , the component  $(f_{p+1},g_{p+2})$  is uniquely determined by the components of  $\Phi$  of lower weighted degree.

Since  $\mathfrak{g}_q=0$  for all q>p, we can successively uniquely determine all components  $(f_{q+1},g_{q+2})$  for q>p. This completes the proof in the real analytic case.

$$\operatorname{Im} (g_{p+2} - 2iF(f_{p+1}, z))|_{w=u+iF(z,z)} = \dots,$$

here the dots mean terms that include only  $f_{q+1}$  and  $g_{q+2}$  with q < p.

Note that the corresponding homogeneous equation describes  $(f_{p+1},g_{p+2})\in \mathfrak{g}_p$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}_p=0$ , the component  $(f_{p+1},g_{p+2})$  is uniquely determined by the components of  $\Phi$  of lower weighted degree.

Since  $\mathfrak{g}_q=0$  for all q>p, we can successively uniquely determine all components  $(f_{q+1},g_{q+2})$  for q>p. This completes the proof in the real analytic case.

In the smooth case, we can apply the above argument to pairs of points  $(z, w) \in M$ ,  $(z', w') = \Phi(z, w) \in M'$ . This results in an overdetermined PDE on  $\Phi$  whose solution is uniquely determined by a jet at just one point. This completes the proof.

Moser (1974) proved that 2-jet determination holds for a nondegenerate real hypersurface (k = 1).

Moser (1974) proved that 2-jet determination holds for a nondegenerate real hypersurface (k = 1).

Tanaka (1967) gave conditions under which  $\mathfrak{g}_3=0$ . They were quite restricting, in particular, they required that the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in the spaces  $g_{-1}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$  be irreducible. He concluded that  $\mathfrak{g}_3=0$  if  $k=1,m^2,m^2-1$ .

Let M, F, and  $A_j$  be as above. Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$  be such that det  $A \neq 0$ , where  $A = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j A_j$ . Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . Define matrices

$$D = (A_1 z, \dots, A_k z), \quad B = D^* A^{-1} D.$$

We say that M and F are D-nondegenerate if there exist  $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$  such that

$$\det A \neq 0, \quad \det \operatorname{Re} B \neq 0,$$

here Re  $B = \frac{1}{2}(B + \overline{B})$ . In this case  $A_1 z, \dots, A_k z$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent, so  $k \leq 2m$ .

Let M, F, and  $A_j$  be as above. Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$  be such that det  $A \neq 0$ , where  $A = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j A_j$ . Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . Define matrices

$$D = (A_1 z, ..., A_k z), \quad B = D^* A^{-1} D.$$

We say that M and F are D-nondegenerate if there exist  $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$  such that

$$\det A \neq 0$$
,  $\det \operatorname{Re} B \neq 0$ ,

here Re  $B = \frac{1}{2}(B + \overline{B})$ . In this case  $A_1 z, \dots, A_k z$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent, so  $k \leq 2m$ .

### Theorem (Bertrand and Meylan, 2020)

Let M, M' be smooth D-nondegenerate CR manifolds. Then 2-jet determination for smooth CR diffeomorphisms  $M \to M'$  takes place.

### Main result

Let M, F be as above. Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  be a set. We define the orthogonal complement

$$S^F = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \forall z' \in S, F(z, z') = 0\}.$$

Similarly, we can define  $S^{\text{Re }F}$ . We also define

$$T(z) = \{ p \in \mathbb{C}^m : \exists q \in \mathbb{C}^m : F(z, p) + F(q, z) = 0 \}.$$

We say that M and F are T-nondegenerate if for all z in an open dense set we have

$$z^F\cap T(z)^F=0.$$

### Main result

Let M, F be as above. Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  be a set. We define the orthogonal complement

$$S^F = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \forall z' \in S, F(z, z') = 0\}.$$

Similarly, we can define  $S^{\text{Re }F}$ . We also define

$$T(z) = \{ p \in \mathbb{C}^m : \exists q \in \mathbb{C}^m : F(z, p) + F(q, z) = 0 \}.$$

We say that M and F are T-nondegenerate if for all z in an open dense set we have

$$z^F \cap T(z)^F = 0.$$

#### Theorem

Let M, M' be smooth T-nondegenerate CR manifolds. Then  $\mathfrak{g}_3 = 0$ . Hence, 2-jet determination for smooth CR diffeomorphisms  $M \to M'$  takes place.

# Comparison of various conditions

We have

$$z^F \subset z^{\operatorname{Re} F} \subset T(z),$$
  
 $z^F \cap T(z)^F \subset z^F \cap (z^F)^F.$ 

Then the simpler condition

$$z^F\cap (z^F)^F=0$$

for generic z is also sufficient for 2-jet determination.

# Comparison of various conditions

We have

$$z^F \subset z^{\operatorname{Re} F} \subset T(z),$$
  
 $z^F \cap T(z)^F \subset z^F \cap (z^F)^F.$ 

Then the simpler condition

$$z^F \cap (z^F)^F = 0$$

for generic z is also sufficient for 2-jet determination.

If *M* is strictly pseudoconvex, then  $z^F \cap (z^F)^F = 0$  for all *z*, so we recover a result mentioned above.

# Comparison of various conditions

We have

$$z^F \subset z^{\operatorname{Re} F} \subset T(z),$$
  
 $z^F \cap T(z)^F \subset z^F \cap (z^F)^F.$ 

Then the simpler condition

$$z^F \cap (z^F)^F = 0$$

for generic z is also sufficient for 2-jet determination.

If *M* is strictly pseudoconvex, then  $z^F \cap (z^F)^F = 0$  for all *z*, so we recover a result mentioned above.

One can see that det Re B=0 in the definition of D-nondegeneracy implies  $z^{\text{Re }F}\cap (z^{\text{Re }F})^{\text{Re }F}=0$ . We have

$$z^F \cap \mathcal{T}(z)^F \subset z^F \cap (z^{\operatorname{Re} F})^F \subset z^{\operatorname{Re} F} \cap (z^{\operatorname{Re} F})^{\operatorname{Re} F}.$$

Hence, if M is D-nondegenerate, then M is T-nondegenerate.

#### Proof of Main result

An element  $(f,g) \in \mathfrak{g}_3$  has the following form

$$f(z, w) = A(z, z, w) + B(w, w),$$
  

$$g(z, w) = 2iF(z, B(\overline{w}, \overline{w})).$$

Here *A* and *B* are complex multilinear forms such that *A* is symmetric in the first two arguments and *B* is symmetric. They are characterized by the following equations:

$$F(A(z,z,F(z,a)),a) = 0,$$
  
$$F(A(z,z,w),a) = 4iF(z,B(\overline{w},F(a,z))).$$

## Proof of Main result

An element  $(f,g) \in \mathfrak{g}_3$  has the following form

$$f(z, w) = A(z, z, w) + B(w, w),$$
  

$$g(z, w) = 2iF(z, B(\overline{w}, \overline{w})).$$

Here *A* and *B* are complex multilinear forms such that *A* is symmetric in the first two arguments and *B* is symmetric. They are characterized by the following equations:

$$F(A(z,z,F(z,a)),a) = 0,$$
  
$$F(A(z,z,w),a) = 4iF(z,B(\overline{w},F(a,z))).$$

We would like to show that A=0 and B=0. The difficulty is that the equations have repeated arguments. The idea is that any occurrence of F(z,z') can be replaced by F(p,p') for all  $p,p'\in\mathbb{C}^m$  such that F(p,p')=F(z,z').

We first plug w = F(z, a) in the second equation and using the

first equation we obtain

F(z, B(F(z, a), F(z, a))) = 0.

We first plug w = F(z, a) in the second equation and using the first equation we obtain

$$F(z,B(F(z,a),F(z,a)))=0.$$

Using the hypothesis that F is T-nondegenerate, we show that

$$B(F(z,a),F(z,a))=0.$$

Then it follows that

$$F(A(z,z,F(a,b)),b)=0.$$

We first plug w = F(z, a) in the second equation and using the first equation we obtain

$$F(z,B(F(z,a),F(z,a)))=0.$$

Using the hypothesis that F is T-nondegenerate, we show that

$$B(F(z,a),F(z,a))=0.$$

Then it follows that

$$F(A(z,z,F(a,b)),b)=0.$$

Using the hypothesis that  $\boldsymbol{F}$  is T-nondegenerate, we show that

$$A(z,z,F(a,b))=0,$$

hence A = 0.

We first plug w = F(z, a) in the second equation and using the first equation we obtain

$$F(z,B(F(z,a),F(z,a)))=0.$$

Using the hypothesis that F is T-nondegenerate, we show that B(F(z,a),F(z,a))=0.

$$F(A(z,z,F(a,b)),b)=0.$$

Using the hypothesis that F is T-nondegenerate, we show that

$$A(z, z, F(a, b)) = 0,$$
  
hence  $A = 0.$ 

Similarly, we finally show that B(c, F(b, z)) = 0, hence B = 0. This completes the proof.

# Example 1 (Meylan)

Francine Meylan found an example of a (strictly) nondegenerate quadric for which  $g_4 \neq 0$ . Here  $m = 4, k = 5, F = (F_1, \dots, F_5)$ .

$$F_1 = |z_1|^2,$$

$$F_2 = |z_2|^2,$$

$$F_3 = \operatorname{Re}(z_1\overline{z}_2),$$

$$F_4 = \operatorname{Im}(z_1\overline{z}_2),$$

$$F_5 = \operatorname{Re}(z_1\overline{z}_3 + z_2\overline{z}_4).$$

In this example,  $z^F \cap T(z)^F \neq 0$  for all  $z \neq 0$ , all stationary discs are defective, and 2-jet determination fails!

Let  $m=4, k=3, F=(F_1, F_2, F_5)$  from the previous example. Then F is T-nondegenerate, so 2-jet determination takes place. This example is not D-nondegenerate and  $z^F \cap (z^F)^F \neq 0$  for all  $z \neq 0$ .

Let m = 4, k = 4,  $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3, F_5)$  from Example 1. Then F is not T-nondegenerate, but one can see that  $\mathfrak{g}_3 = 0$ .

Let  $m=4, k=4, F=(F_1,F_2,F_3,F_5)$  from Example 1. Then F is not T-nondegenerate, but one can see that  $\mathfrak{g}_3=0$ .

Hence T-nondegeneracy is not necessary for 2-jet determination.

Let m = 4, k = 4,  $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3, F_5)$  from Example 1. Then F is not T-nondegenerate, but one can see that  $\mathfrak{g}_3 = 0$ .

Hence T-nondegeneracy is not necessary for 2-jet determination.



