

In quantum mechanics one can express the evolution operator and other quantities in terms of functional integrals. Today I prove this fact as well as corresponding results in geometric approach to quantum theory and in the formalism of  $L$ -functionals.

$$L(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{m\dot{q}^2}{2} - V(q), H(p, q) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q),$$

$S[q(\tau)] = \int_0^t d\tau L(q(\tau), \dot{q}(\tau))$ -action functional

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{q}), \hat{U}(t) = e^{-\frac{it\hat{H}}{\hbar}}$$

$\langle q_2 | \hat{U}(t) | q_1 \rangle$  (matrix element of evolution operator)  
is represented by functional integral with integrand

$$e^{i \frac{S[q(\tau)]}{\hbar}}$$

and integration domain consisting of functions  $q(\tau)$   
obeying  $q(0) = q_1, q(t) = q_2$

To define the functional integral we approximate it with finite-dimensional integral (for example replacing integrals by integral sums and taking the limit).

Problems:

- 1) depends on the choice of approximation
- 2) the limit usually does not exist and to get a finite answer we should disregard infinite contributions

## Gaussian integrals

$$\int \exp(+\langle b, x \rangle) dx = (\det A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle A^{-1}b, b \rangle\right),$$

$$\int \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\langle Ax, x \rangle + i\langle b, x \rangle\right) dx =$$

$$(\det A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\langle A^{-1}b, b \rangle\right),$$

Differentiating with respect to  $b$  we can calculate

$$\int P(x) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle Ax, x \rangle\right) dx$$

where  $P(x)$  is a polynomial

## Perturbation theory

If  $W(x) = Q(x) + gV(x)$  where  $Q$  is quadratic then

$$\frac{\int e^{W(x)dx}}{\int e^{Q(x)dx}}$$

can be represented as a series with respect to  $g$  as a sum of Feynman diagrams

More generally we can decompose  $W(x)$  in a neighborhood of non-degenerate critical point  $x_0$  as a sum of quadratic part and a part containing only monomials with respect to  $x - x_0$  having degrees  $\geq 3$  and use the same techniques.

In geometric approach the evolution operator of physical system obeys the equation of motion

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = H(t)\sigma(t)$$

where  $H(t)$  is a linear operator acting in Banach space (or, more, generally, in complete topological vector space)  $\mathcal{L}$ . We say that  $H(t)$  is the "Hamiltonian" of the physical system. In what follows we assume that  $H(t) = H$  does not depend on time  $t$ . This condition is imposed only to simplify notations; all results can be proved also for time- dependent "Hamiltonian".

In the standard approach to QM the evolution operator acts in Hilbert space and  $H(t)$  is a self-adjoint operator multiplied by  $i$ .

Let us consider linear operators acting in the space  $\mathcal{L}$ . A symbol of an operator  $A$  is a function  $\underline{A}$  defined on some measure space. It should depend linearly of  $A$ . We assume that the symbol of the identity operator  $1$  is equal to  $1$  and the composition of operators corresponds to the operation on symbols denoted by  $*$  : if  $C = AB$  then  $\underline{C} = \underline{A} * \underline{B}$ .

The evolution operator can be represented in the form

$$\sigma(t) = e^{tH} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{tH}{N}\right)^N.$$

For  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the symbol of the operator  $1 + \frac{tH}{N}$  can be approximated by  $\exp \frac{t}{N} H$ :

$$\underline{1 + \frac{tH}{N}} = e^{\frac{t}{N} H} + O(N^{-2}).$$

Using this relation we obtain an expression for the symbol of the evolution operator;

$$\underline{\sigma(t) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} I_N(t)}$$

$$I_N(t) = e^{\frac{t}{N} H} * \dots * e^{\frac{t}{N} H}$$

( $N$  factors)

In many cases  $I_N(t)$  can be interpreted as an approximation to a functional integral. Notice, however, that even without this interpretation we can apply Laplace or stationary phase method to calculate  $I_N(t)$ . This allows us to obtain some results that often are obtained in the language of functional integrals without using this language.

Let us consider a class of symbols generalizing  $q - p$  symbols and Wick symbols in quantum mechanics. We assume that the symbol of an operator  $A$  acting in  $\mathcal{L}$  is a function  $\underline{A}(\alpha, \beta)$  of two variables (a function on  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}'$ ) and that the symbol of the product  $C$  of operators  $A$  and  $B$  can be expressed in terms of the symbols of operators  $A$  and  $B$  by the formula

$$\underline{C}(\alpha, \beta) =$$

$$\int d\gamma d\gamma' \underline{A}(\alpha, \gamma) \underline{B}(\gamma', \beta) e^{c(\alpha, \gamma) + c(\gamma', \beta) - c(\alpha, \beta) - r(\gamma', \gamma)}$$

where  $c(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $r(\alpha, \beta)$  are functions on  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}'$ .

For  $q - p$ -symbols  $c(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = r(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = -i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q}$ .

It follows that the symbol  $\underline{C}(\alpha, \beta)$  of the product  $C$  of  $n$  operators  $A_1, \dots, A_N$  is given by the formula

$$\underline{C}(\alpha, \beta) = \int d\gamma_1 d\gamma'_1 \dots d\gamma_{N-1} d\gamma'_{N-1} \times \\ A_1(\alpha, \gamma_1) A_2(\gamma'_1, \gamma_2) \dots A_n(\gamma'_{N-1}, \beta) e^{\rho_N}$$

where

$$\rho_N = c(\alpha, \gamma_1) + c(\gamma'_1, \gamma_2) + \dots + c(\gamma'_{N-1}, \beta) - \\ c(\alpha, \beta) - r(\gamma'_1, \gamma_1) - \dots - r(\gamma_{N-1}, \gamma_{N-1})$$

We see that in our case

$$I_N(t) = \int d\gamma_1 d\gamma'_1 \dots d\gamma_{N-1} d\gamma'_{N-1} e^{\rho_N} \times \\ \exp\left(\frac{t}{N}(\underline{H}(\alpha, \gamma_1) + \underline{H}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) + \dots + \underline{H}(\gamma_{N-1}, \beta))\right)$$

The simplest way to construct symbols of operators in quantum mechanics is to use the fact that the Fourier transform of delta-function is a constant.

The matrix (the kernel in the language of mathematics) of unit operator is

$\langle \mathbf{q}_2 | 1 | \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2)$  in coordinate representation and  $\langle \mathbf{p}_2 | 1 | \mathbf{p}_1 \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2)$  in momentum representation. Taking Fourier transform of matrix  $\langle \mathbf{q}_2 | A | \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle$  of the operator  $A$  with respect to the variable  $\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2$  we obtain  $q - p$  symbol:

$$\underline{A}^{q-p}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \int d\mathbf{y} \langle \mathbf{y} | A | \mathbf{q} \rangle e^{i\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{y})}$$

Similarly taking Fourier transform of  $\langle \mathbf{p}_2 | A | \mathbf{p}_1 \rangle$  with respect to variable  $\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2$  we obtain  $p - q$ -symbol.

If  $A$  is a differential operator with polynomial coefficients we can express it as a polynomial of operators  $\hat{q}^j$  (operators corresponding to the coordinates  $q^j$ ) and  $\hat{p}_j = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j}$  (momentum operators) Representing  $A$  in  $q - p$  form (coordinate operators from the left of momentum operators) and "removing hats" we obtain  $q - p$ -symbol.

Notice that in our notations  $\hbar = 1$ . Sometimes it is convenient to consider families of symbols

$\underline{A}_\hbar^{q-p}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  and  $\underline{A}_\hbar^{p-q}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  depending on parameter  $\hbar$ .

Let us illustrate general considerations above on the example of  $q - p$ -symbols in conventional quantum mechanics. For  $q - p$ -symbols we obtain that the symbol of the evolution operator can be calculated as  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} I_N(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$  where

$$I_N(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \int \prod_1^{N-1} d\mathbf{q}_\alpha d\mathbf{p}_\alpha \times \\ \exp\left(i \sum_1^N (\mathbf{p}_\alpha(\mathbf{q}_\alpha - \mathbf{q}_{\alpha-1}) - \frac{it}{N} \sum_1^N \underline{H}(\mathbf{p}_\alpha, \mathbf{q}_{\alpha-1}))\right)$$

with  $\mathbf{p}_N = \mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_0 = \mathbf{q}_N = \mathbf{q}$ .

The integrand can be represented as  $e^{iS_N}$  where  $S_N$  is an integral sum for the integral

$$S[\mathbf{p}(\tau), \mathbf{q}(\tau)] = \int_0^t (\mathbf{p}(\tau) \dot{\mathbf{q}}(\tau) - \underline{H}(\mathbf{p}(\tau), \mathbf{q}(\tau))) d\tau.$$

This integral can be interpreted as action functional.

We can say that the  $q - p$ -symbol of evolution operator can be represented as functional integral with the integrand

$$e^{iS[\mathbf{p}(\tau), \mathbf{q}(\tau)]}.$$

The integration domain is the set of functions  $(\mathbf{p}(\tau), \mathbf{q}(\tau))$  obeying conditions

$$\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}(0) = \mathbf{q}(t) = \mathbf{q}.$$

For matrix elements of the evolution operator we obtain a functional integral with the same integrand and with integration domain consisting of functions obeying conditions

$$\mathbf{q}(0) = \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}(t) = \mathbf{q}_2$$

If  $\underline{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$  is a sum of quadratic function of  $\mathbf{p}$  (kinetic energy) and a function  $V(\mathbf{q})$  (potential energy) we can integrate over  $\mathbf{p}(\tau)$  and obtain a representation of matrix elements of evolution operator in the form of functional integral with the integrand

$$e^{iS[\mathbf{q}(\tau)]} = e^{i(\int_0^t d\tau (T(\dot{\mathbf{q}}(\tau)) - V(\mathbf{q}(\tau)))}$$

and the integration domain consists of functions

$\mathbf{q}(\tau)$  obeying conditions

$$\mathbf{q}(0) = \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}(t) = \mathbf{q}_2.$$

Here  $T$  stands for kinetic energy expressed in terms of  $\dot{\mathbf{q}}(\tau)$ .

## *Covariant symbols*

Let us consider two Banach spaces  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}'$  and non-degenerate scalar product  $\langle I, I' \rangle$  that is linear with respect to  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and antilinear with respect to  $I' \in \mathcal{L}'$ . Let us fix two systems of vectors  $e_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $e'_\beta \in \mathcal{L}'$  such that

$$\langle I, I' \rangle = \int \langle I, e'_\mu \rangle \langle e_\lambda, I' \rangle e^{-r(\lambda, \mu)} d\lambda d\mu$$

(we assume that  $r(\lambda, \mu)$  is a function on measure space  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}'$ ).

Let us define covariant symbol  $\underline{A}(\alpha, \beta)$  of operator  $A$  acting in  $\mathcal{L}$  by the formula

$$\underline{A}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\langle Ae_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle}{\langle e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle}$$

Then the symbol  $\underline{C}$  of the product  $C = AB$  of operators  $A$  and  $B$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{C}(\alpha, \beta) = & \int d\lambda d\mu B(\alpha, \mu)A(\lambda, \beta) \times \\ & \exp(-r(\lambda, \mu) - c(\alpha, \beta) + c(\alpha, \mu) + c(\lambda, \beta))\end{aligned}$$

(We introduced notation  $\langle e_\alpha, e'_\beta \rangle = e^{c(\alpha, \beta)}$ )

$$\langle ABe_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle = \langle Be_\alpha, A^*e_\beta \rangle$$

If  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}'$  is a space of Fock representation one can take  $e_\alpha$  as Poisson vectors:  $e_\alpha = e^{\alpha \hat{a}^*} \theta$ . Then  $c(\alpha, \beta) = r(\alpha, \beta) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ .  
Wick symbol.

More generally we can take as  $\mathcal{L}$  (as  $\mathcal{L}'$ ) the smallest linear space containing all Poisson vectors  $e_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in L^p$  (with  $\alpha \in L^q = (L^p)^*$ )  
Here  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $L^q$  is dual to  $L^p$ .

## $L$ -functionals

$\mathcal{L}'$ -Weyl algebra,  $e'_\alpha = V_\alpha$ -linear exponents

$\mathcal{L}$  -  $L$ -functionals,  $e_\beta$  -  $L$ -functionals corresponding to  
Poisson vectors in Fock space. ? Quadratic  
exponents?

## *L-functionals. Another definition*

Take representation of Weyl algebra (of CCR) in Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . (We understand CCR as relations  $[a_k, a_l^+] = \delta_{kl}$ ,  $[a_k, a_l] = [a_k^+, a_l^+] = 0$ , where  $k, l$  run over a discrete set  $M$ .)

To a density matrix  $K$  (or more generally to any trace class operator in  $\mathcal{H}$ ) we can assign a functional  $L_K(\alpha^*, \alpha)$  defined by the formula

$$L_K(\alpha^*, \alpha) = \text{Tr} e^{-\alpha a^+} e^{\alpha^* a} K$$

Here  $\alpha a^+$  stands for  $\sum \alpha_k a_k^+$  and  $\alpha^* a$  for  $\sum \alpha_k^* a_k$ .

One can say that  $L_K$  is a generating functional of correlation functions.

One can consider also a more general case when CCR are written in the form

$$[a(k), a^+(k')] = \hbar\delta(k, k'), [a(k), a(k')] = [a^+(k), a^+(k')] = 0$$

$k, k'$  run over a measure space  $M$ . If  $\alpha$  is square-integrable, the expression for  $L_K$  is well defined.

An action of Weyl algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  (on the space of  $L$ -functionals) can be specified by operators

$$b^+(k) = \hbar c_1^+(k) - c_2(k), b(k) = c_1(k)$$

obeying CCR. Here  $c_i^+(k)$  are multiplication operators by  $\alpha_k^*$ ,  $\alpha_k$  and  $c_i(k)$  are derivatives with respect to  $\alpha_k^*$ ,  $\alpha_k$ . This definition is prompted by relations

$$L_{a(k)K} = b(k)L_K, L_{a^+(k)K} = b^+(k)L_K,$$

Another representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  is specified by the operators

$$\tilde{b}^+(k) = -\hbar c_2^+(k) + c_1(k), \tilde{b}(k) = -c_2(k),$$

obeying CCR and satisfying

$$L_{Ka^+(k)} = \tilde{b}(k)L_K, L_{Ka(k)} = \tilde{b}^+(k)L_K,$$

Let us consider a Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  in a space of representation of CCR. We will write  $\hat{H}$  in the form

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{m,n} \sum_{k_i, l_j} H_{m,n}(k_1, \dots, k_m | l_1, \dots, l_n) a_{k_1}^+ \dots a_{k_m}^+ a_{l_1} \dots a_{l_n}$$

There are two operators in  $\mathcal{L}$  corresponding to  $\hat{H}$ :

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{m,n} \sum_{k_i, l_j} H_{m,n}(k_1, \dots, k_m | l_1, \dots, l_n) b_{k_1}^+ \dots b_{k_m}^+ b_{l_1} \dots b_{l_n}$$

(we denote it by the same symbol) and

$$\tilde{H} = \sum_{m,n} \sum_{k_i, l_j} H_{m,n}(k_1, \dots, k_m | l_1, \dots, l_n) \tilde{b}_{k_1}^+ \dots \tilde{b}_{k_m}^+ \tilde{b}_{l_1} \dots \tilde{b}_{l_n}$$

The equation of motion for the  $L$ -functional  $L(\alpha^*, \alpha)$  has the form

$$i\hbar \frac{dL}{dt} = HL = \hat{H}L - \tilde{H}L$$

(We introduced the notation  $H = \hat{H} - \tilde{H}$ .)

It corresponds to the equation for density matrices.

For translation-invariant Hamiltonians  $H_{m,n}$  should contain  $\delta(k_1 + \dots + k_m - l_1 - \dots - l_n)$  (momentum conservation)

The equations of motion for  $L$ -functionals make sense even in the situation when the equations of motion in the Fock space are ill-defined (but there are no ultraviolet divergences). This is related to the fact that vectors and density matrices from all representations of CCR are described by  $L$ -functionals. This means that applying the formalism of  $L$ -functionals we can avoid the problems related to the existence of inequivalent representations of CCR.

In perturbation theory for translation-invariant Hamiltonians these problems appear as divergences related to infinite volume. Therefore in the standard formalism it is necessary to consider at first a Hamiltonian in finite volume  $V$  (to make volume cutoff or, in another terminology, infrared cutoff ) and to take the limit  $V \rightarrow \infty$  in physical quantities. In the formalism of  $L$ -functionals we can work directly in infinite volume.

Adiabatic approximation in the formalism of  $L$ -functionals is simpler. Let us consider a family  $H(g)$  of "Hamiltonians" and a smooth family of stationary states  $\omega(g)$ . ( For example we can take  $H(g) = H_0 + gV.$ ) Then  $\omega(g(t))$  is a solution of the equations of motion for non-stationary "Hamiltonian"  $H(g(t))$  if we can disregard  $\dot{g}(t)$ :

$$\frac{d\omega(g(t))}{dt} = 0 = H(g(t))\omega(g(t)).$$

Consider the operator  $\sigma_\alpha(t, t_0)$  describing the evolution from the time  $t_0$  until the time  $t$  under the "Hamiltonian"  $H_0 + g e^{-\alpha|t|} V$ . Then

$$\omega(g) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \sigma_\alpha(0, -\infty) \omega(0).$$

We can define adiabatic  $S$ -matrix as  
 $\sigma_\alpha(+\infty, -\infty)$ .

If the adiabatic parameter  $\alpha$  tends to zero then the adiabatic  $S$ -matrix multiplied by some factors tends to inclusive scattering matrix.

Let us define generalized Green functions (GGreen functions) in the translation-invariant state  $\omega$  by the following formula where  $B_i \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$G_n = \omega(NM)$$

where

$$N = T(B_1(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \dots B_n(\mathbf{x}_n, t_n))$$

stands for chronological product (times decreasing)  
and

$$M = T^{opp}(B_1^*(\mathbf{x}'_1, t'_1) \dots B_n^*(\mathbf{x}'_n, t'_n))$$

stands for antichronological product (times increasing).

Introduce notations  $M = T^{opp}(B'^*)$ ,  $N = T(B)$ .

In the formalism of  $L$ -functionals

$$(T(B\tilde{B}')\omega)(x) = \omega(T(B)xT^{opp}(B'^*)) = \omega(NxM)$$

To get GGreen function take  $x = 1$ .

Hence we can apply the formalism of  $L$ -functionals to calculate GGreen functions. This gives a very simple derivation of the diagram techniques for the calculation of GGreen functions.