

Integrable cases on $e(3)$ и $so(4)$

Vladimir V. Sokolov

Kharkevich Institute for Information Transmission Problems of
the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow

vsokolov@landau.ac.ru

19.07.2023

- **Sokolov V.V.**, *A new integrable case for the Kirchhoff equation*, Theoret. and Math. Phys., 2001, **129**, no.1, 1335–1340..
- **Borisov A. V., Mamaev I. S. and Sokolov V. V.**, *A new integrable case on $so(4)$* , Dokl. RAN, 2001, **381**, no.5, 614–615.
- **Sokolov V. V. and Tsiganov A.V.**, *On Lax pairs for the generalized Kowalewski and Goryachev-Chaplygin tops*, Theor. and Math. Phys., 2002, **131**, no.1, 543-549.
- **Sokolov V. V.**, *Generalized Kowalewski Top: new integrable cases on $e(3)$ and $so(4)$* , in the book "Kowalevski property ed. V.B. Kuznetsov, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, 2002, **32**, 307–313.
- **Sokolov V. V.**, *One class of quadratic $so(4)$ -Hamiltonians*, Doklady Mathematics, 2004, **69**, no.1, 108–111.
- **Sokolov V. V. and Wolf T.**, *New integrable quadratic Hamiltonians on $so(4)$ and $so(3,1)$* , Journal Phys. A: Math. Gen, 2006, **39**, no.8, 1915–1936.

We consider the following family of Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned}\{M_i, M_j\} &= \varepsilon_{ijk} M_k, \\ \{M_i, \gamma_j\} &= \varepsilon_{ijk} \gamma_k, \\ \{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\} &= \kappa \varepsilon_{ijk} M_k.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Here M_i and γ_i are components of 3-dimensional vectors \mathbf{M} and $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, ε_{ijk} is the totally skew-symmetric tensor, κ is a parameter.

It is well-known that any linear Poisson bracket is defined by an appropriate Lie algebra. The cases $\kappa = 0$, $\kappa > 0$ and $\kappa < 0$ correspond to the Lie algebras $e(3)$, $so(4)$ and $so(3, 1)$.

Bracket (1) has the two Casimir functions

$$J_1 = (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{\Gamma}), \quad J_2 = \kappa |\mathbf{M}|^2 + |\mathbf{\Gamma}|^2,$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) stands for the standard scalar product in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Using the linear transformation

$$U_i = \frac{1}{2} (M_i + \kappa^{-1/2} \gamma_i), \quad V_i = \frac{1}{2} (M_i - \kappa^{-1/2} \gamma_i),$$

we can rewrite bracket (1) as

$$\{U_i, U_j\} = \varepsilon_{ijk} U_k, \quad \{V_i, V_j\} = \varepsilon_{ijk} V_k, \quad \{U_i, V_j\} = 0. \quad (2)$$

The Casimir functions for (2) are given by

$$J_1 = (U, U), \quad J_2 = (V, V) \quad (3)$$

The canonical transformations have the form

$$\bar{U} = T_1 U, \quad \bar{V} = T_2 V,$$

where T_i are orthogonal matrices.

For the Liouville integrability of the equations of motion only one additional integral functionally independent of the Hamiltonian and the Casimir functions is necessary.

A popular class of Hamiltonians is given by

$$H = (\mathbf{U}, A\mathbf{U}) + 2(\mathbf{U}, B\mathbf{V}) + (\mathbf{V}, C\mathbf{V}), \quad (4)$$

where $A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_1, a_3)$, $B = \text{diag}(b_1, b_1, b_3)$, $C = \text{diag}(c_1, c_1, c_3)$.

In the particular case $C = A$ such a Hamiltonian has an additional quadratic integral I iff

$$b_1^2(a_2 - a_3) + b_2^2(a_3 - a_1) + b_3^2(a_1 - a_2) + (a_1 - a_2)(a_2 - a_3)(a_3 - a_1) = 0.$$

The integral has the form

$$I = 2(\mathbf{U}, S\mathbf{V}), \quad S = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \quad (5)$$

Without loss of generality one can choose

$$A = \text{diag}(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2, \alpha_3^2), \quad B = \text{diag}(-\alpha_2\alpha_3, -\alpha_3\alpha_1, -\alpha_1\alpha_2).$$

Let us change the form of $so(4)$ -Poisson bracket from (2) to (1). Now the quadratic homogeneous Hamiltonians have the form

$$H = (\mathbf{M}, A\mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{M}, B\mathbf{\Gamma}) + (\mathbf{\Gamma}, C\mathbf{\Gamma}), \quad (6)$$

where A, B and C are constant 3×3 -matrices.

Integrable $e(3)$ -Hamiltonians

The Euler-Poinsot equations for the rotation of rigid body around a fixed point is defined by the Hamiltonian of the form

$$H = aM_1^2 + bM_2^2 + cM_3^2 + 2x\gamma_1 + 2y\gamma_2 + 2z\gamma_3.$$

The famous Kowalewski case corresponds to $a = b = 1$, $c = 2$ and $z = 0$.

The Kirchhoff equations describing the motion of a rigid body in an ideal fluid is defined by the Hamiltonian of the form (6).

The canonical transformations for $e(3)$ -brackets with $\kappa = 0$ form a six parameter Lie group consisting of

- orthogonal transformations $\hat{M} = S(M)$, $\hat{\Gamma} = S(\Gamma)$, where $SS^T = E$;
- transformations of the form $\hat{\gamma}_i = \gamma_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{M}_1 &= M_1 - \mu_1\gamma_2 + \mu_2\gamma_3, \\ \hat{M}_2 &= M_2 + \mu_3\gamma_3 + \mu_1\gamma_1, \\ \hat{M}_3 &= M_3 - \mu_2\gamma_1 - \mu_3\gamma_2,\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where μ_i are arbitrary parameters.

Using the orthogonal transformations one can bring the matrix A to the diagonal form:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With the help of (7) we can transform the matrix B to the symmetric (or to the upper triangular) form.

There are classical integrable cases found by Kirchhoff, Clebsch and Steklov-Lyapunov. For all these cases the matrices B and C are **diagonal** and the Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H = a_1 M_1^2 + a_2 M_2^2 + a_3 M_3^2 + \\ 2b_1 M_1 \gamma_1 + 2b_2 M_2 \gamma_2 + 2b_3 M_3 \gamma_3 + \\ c_1 \gamma_1^2 + c_2 \gamma_2^2 + c_3 \gamma_3^2.$$

The **Kirchhoff case** is described by the relations

$$a_1 = a_2, \quad b_1 = b_2, \quad c_1 = c_2.$$

This is the only case with a linear additional integral $I_4 = M_3$.

For the **Clebsch case** the coefficients a_i are arbitrary and the remaining parameters satisfy the following conditions

$$b_1 = b_2 = b_3, \\ \frac{c_1 - c_2}{a_3} + \frac{c_3 - c_1}{a_2} + \frac{c_2 - c_3}{a_1} = 0.$$

In the **Steklov-Lyapunov** case a_i are arbitrary and

$$\frac{b_1 - b_2}{a_3} + \frac{b_3 - b_1}{a_2} + \frac{b_2 - b_3}{a_1} = 0,$$

$$c_1 - \frac{(b_2 - b_3)^2}{a_1} = c_2 - \frac{(b_3 - b_1)^2}{a_2} = c_3 - \frac{(b_1 - b_2)^2}{a_3}.$$

For both the Clebsch and Steklov-Lyapunov cases there exists an additional quadratic integral.

In 2001 I found a new integrable case with the Hamiltonian

$$H = M_1^2 + M_2^2 + 2 M_3^2 +$$

$$2 (\mu_1 \gamma_1 + \mu_2 \gamma_2) M_3 - (\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2) \gamma_3^2. \tag{8}$$

The additional integral is of degree four.

Moreover, there exists the following remarkable non-homogeneous integrable combination of the Kowalewski gyrostat and the above Hamiltonian (8):

$$\tilde{H} = M_1^2 + M_2^2 + 2M_3^2 + 2a_1M_3 - 2c_2\gamma_1M_3 - c_2^2\gamma_3^2 - 2a_1c_2\gamma_1 - 2c_1\gamma_2,$$

where c_1, c_2 and a_1 are arbitrary constants. If $c_2 = a_1 = 0$, then the Hamiltonian just reduces to the famous Kowalewski Hamiltonian. The case $c_2 = 0$ corresponds to the Kowalewski Hamiltonian with the additional gyrostatic term. If $a_1 = c_1$, we get the Hamiltonian function for the integrable case (8).

A Lax pair for this model was found by A.Tsiganov and VS. This is a deformation of known Lax representation

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_{kow} = [M_{kow}, L_{kow}]$$

for the Kowalewski gyrostat. The corresponding Lax matrices L_{kow} and M_{kow} are given by

$$L_{kow}(\lambda) = \lambda A + B + c_1 \lambda^{-1} C, \quad M_{kow}(\lambda) = -2\lambda A + D, \quad (9)$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_3 & -M_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -M_3 & 0 & M_1 & 0 & 0 \\ M_2 & -M_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -M_3 - a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M_3 + a_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4M_3 - 2a_1 & 2M_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 4M_3 + 2a_1 & 0 & -2M_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2M_2 & 2M_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The deformation of this pair with respect to c_2 is given by

$$L(\lambda, \mu) = L_1(\lambda) + \mu \cdot L_2(\lambda), \quad (10)$$

where

$$L_1(\lambda) = L_{kow}(\lambda) + c_2 X, \quad L_2(\lambda) = -Id + c_2 \lambda^{-1} Y,$$

and

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma_1 & -\gamma_2 & -\gamma_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously, if $c_2 = 0$ then this matrix $L(\lambda, \mu)$ coincides with $L_{kow}(\lambda) - \mu \cdot Id$.

Integrable $so(4)$ -Hamiltonians

Consider diagonal Hamiltonians of the form

$$H = (\mathbf{U}, A\mathbf{U}) + 2(\mathbf{U}, B\mathbf{V}) + (\mathbf{V}, C\mathbf{V}), \quad (11)$$

where $A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_1, a_3)$, $B = \text{diag}(b_1, b_1, b_3)$, $C = \text{diag}(c_1, c_1, c_3)$.

If such a Hamiltonian has additional polynomial integral then the following relations

$$b_1^2(a_2 - a_3) + b_2^2(a_3 - a_1) + b_3^2(a_1 - a_2) + q^2(a_1 - a_2)(a_2 - a_3)(a_3 - a_1) = 0$$

and

$$b_1^2(c_2 - c_3) + b_2^2(c_3 - c_1) + b_3^2(c_1 - c_2) + p^2(c_1 - c_2)(c_2 - c_3)(c_3 - c_1) = 0$$

hold for some odd integers p and q .

The following classical diagonal cases are known: The Poincare case with

$$A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_1, a_3), \quad B = \text{diag}(b_1, b_1, b_3), \quad C = \text{diag}(c_1, c_1, c_3).$$

Frahm- Schottky-Manakov case.

Steklov case

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \sum_i \alpha_j^2 \alpha_k^2 U_i^2 + 2 \sum_i \alpha_j \alpha_k (\alpha_j^2 + \alpha_k^2) U_i V_i + \\ & + \sum_i (\alpha_j^4 + \alpha_k^4 + \alpha_j^2 \alpha_k^2) V_i^2, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Adler-van Moerbeke-Reyman-Semenov-Tian-Shansky case

$$\begin{aligned} H = & -9 \sum_i \alpha_j^2 \alpha_k^2 U_i^2 + 6 \sum_i \alpha_j \alpha_k (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(\alpha_i - \alpha_k) U_i V_i + \\ & + \sum_i \alpha_j \alpha_k (4\alpha_i^2 - \alpha_j \alpha_k) V_i^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_2 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$. Here $q = 1, p = 3$.

Non-diagonal integrable $so(4)$ -Hamiltonians

Let us change the $so(4)$ -brackets (2) to (1). My goal was a systematic investigation of integrable Hamiltonians of the form

$$H = (\mathbf{M}, A\mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}) \quad (13)$$

with $\mathbf{b} \neq 0$ and their inhomogeneous generalizations

$$H = (\mathbf{M}, A\mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}) + (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{\Gamma}),$$

where \times is the inner product, \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{n} are constant vectors.

1. The generalization of $e(3)$ -integrable Sokolov case (8) can be written as

$$H = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2|\mathbf{M}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{M})^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M})^2 + \\ (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}),$$

where $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v}$. It has fourth degree integral.

2. The following Hamiltonian

$$H = 2\eta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M})(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}),$$

where $\kappa = \eta^2$, \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{z} are arbitrary constant vectors, has an integral of degree 4.

Let us consider the family of Hamiltonians

$$H = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})|\mathbf{M}|^2 + \mu(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{M})(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}).$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix A are equal to

$$\lambda_1 = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), \quad \lambda_{2,3} = (1 + \frac{\mu}{2})(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \pm \frac{\mu}{2}|\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{b}|.$$

3. If $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ the there exists a linear integral.

4. Consider the $so(3, 1)$ -version $\kappa < 0$ of bracket (1). The Hamiltonian

$$H = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})|\mathbf{M}|^2 - (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{M})(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}),$$

where the vector \mathbf{b} is arbitrary and the length of the vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is related to the Poisson bracket parameter κ by

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = -\kappa, \quad (14)$$

possesses the additional quartic integral.

5. The Hamiltonian

$$H = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})|\mathbf{M}|^2 - 2(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{M})(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}),$$

has under condition (14) the additional cubic integral.

6. The Hamiltonian

$$H = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})|\mathbf{M}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{M})(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}),$$

under condition (14) has the additional sixth degree integral.

Suppose that all Kowalewski exponents for such Hamiltonian do not depend on the angle between \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} then

$$\mu = -2, -1, -\frac{1}{2}, 1.$$

We find for integrable homogeneous Hamiltonians H above described possible linear terms

$$T = (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{\Gamma}), \quad (15)$$

where \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{n} are constant vectors, such that the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H} = H + T$ has an additional integral I of the same degree as H .

Proposition. *The following linear terms are admissible :*

Case 1(deg I=4): $T = p_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{M}) + p_2(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{\Gamma});$

Case 2(deg I=4): $T = (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{M}) + p_1(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{\Gamma});$

Case 3(deg I=1): $T = p_1(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M}) + p_2(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{\Gamma});$

Case 4(deg I=4): $T = (p_1\mathbf{a} + p_2\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M}) + p_3(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{\Gamma});$

Case 5(deg I=3): $T = (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{M}) + p_1(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{\Gamma});$

Case 6(deg I=6): $T = p_1(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M}),$

where \mathbf{k} is arbitrary vector and p_1, p_2, p_3 are arbitrary constants.

Conjecture. It is very likely that all real integrable Hamiltonians of the form

$$H = (\mathbf{M}, A\mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{M} \times \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) + (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}), \quad (16)$$

with $\mathbf{b} \neq 0$ are exhausted by the examples presented above.

Lemma. Suppose a Hamiltonian of this form with real coefficients has an additional polynomial integral of degree from 1 to 7; then the Hamiltonian belongs to above six families.

Scheme of computation. Using the orthogonal transformations, one can reduce any such (real) Hamiltonian to

$$\begin{aligned} H = & a_1 M_1^2 + a_2 M_2^2 + a_3 M_3^2 + a_4 M_1 M_3 + a_5 M_2 M_3 \\ & + M_1 \gamma_2 - M_2 \gamma_1 + (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{M}) + (\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

In this canonical form the vector \mathbf{b} is normalized. Note that the alternative idea of bringing the matrix A to the diagonal form is extremely unsuccessful from the computational point of view.

Given the degree m of the additional integral I , we form the general m -th degree polynomial of the six variables M_i, γ_i with undetermined coefficients. The condition $\{I, H\} = 0$ gives rise to a bi-linear system of algebraic equations for the coefficients of H and I .

Conjecture. All this integrable inhomogeneous Hamiltonians (16) have integrable $U(so(4))$ -analogs.

Kowalewski-Lyapunov test

Solutions of the form $\mathbf{X}_0 = \frac{\mathbf{K}}{t}$ for a system

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}}{dt} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}), \quad \mathbf{X} = (x_1, \dots, x_N), \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{F} = (f_1, \dots, f_N)$ and f_i are homogeneous quadratic polynomials of \mathbf{X} , are called *Kowalewski solutions*.

The linearization $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_0 + \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ of system (17) on a Kowalewski solution \mathbf{X}_0 satisfies

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{\Psi}}{dt} = \frac{1}{t} S(\boldsymbol{\Psi}), \quad (18)$$

where S is a constant $N \times N$ -matrix depending on \mathbf{K} .

Solutions of (18) have the form $\boldsymbol{\Psi} = \mathbf{v} t^k$, where k is an eigenvalue and \mathbf{v} is an eigenvector of the matrix S . The number k is called *Kowalewski exponent*.

According to the Kowalewski-Lyapunov test, system (17) is "integrable" if for any Kowalewski solution all corresponding Kowalewski exponents are integers.