

Steklov Mathematical Institute, 15.11.2023

Attainability of infimum in the definition of the relative entropy of entanglement and its corollaries

L.Lami

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Amsterdam

M.E.Shirokov

Steklov Mathematical Institute

"Attainability and Lower Semi-continuity of the Relative Entropy of Entanglement and Variations on the Theme",

Ann. Henri Poincare, 2023, 1–69 (Published online)

The relative entropy of entanglement (REoE)

Let \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B be separable Hilbert spaces, $\mathcal{H}_{AB} \doteq \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.

$\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_X)$ – the Banach space of all trace-class operators on \mathcal{H}_X , $X = A, B, AB$, $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_X) = \{\rho \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_X) \mid \rho \geq 0, \text{Tr} \rho = 1\}$ – the set of quantum states of X , $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{sep}}$ – the set of separable states – the convex closure of the set $\{\rho \otimes \sigma \mid \rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A), \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)\}$.

$$E_R(\rho) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\text{sep}}} D(\rho \parallel \sigma),$$

where

$D(\rho \parallel \sigma) = \sum_i \langle \varphi_i \mid \rho \ln \rho - \rho \ln \sigma \mid \varphi_i \rangle$ – the quantum relative entropy

($\{\varphi_i\}$ is the basis of eigenvectors of ρ and it is assumed that $D(\rho \parallel \sigma) = +\infty$ if $\text{supp} \rho$ is not contained in $\text{supp} \sigma$)

REoE is a partial case of the relative entropy of resource

If \mathfrak{F} is a set of **free states** in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ then

$$D_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{F}} D(\rho \parallel \sigma)$$

Examples:

- Relative entropy of NPT-entanglement in bipartite systems;
- Relative entropy of π -entanglement in multipartite systems;
- Relative Entropy of non-gaussianity;
- Relative entropy of non-classicality;
- Relative entropy of Wigner non-positivity.

Attainability and lower semicontinuity

If \mathfrak{F} is a compact subset of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ then

- the for any $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ there is $\sigma_\rho \in \mathfrak{F}$ s.t. $D_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho) = D(\rho \parallel \sigma_\rho)$
- the function $D_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho)$ is lower semicontinuous on $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$.

These claims directly follow from the lower semicontinuity of the function $(\rho, \sigma) \mapsto D(\rho \parallel \sigma)$.

if $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho_0$ then the sequence $\{\sigma_{\rho_n}\}$ has a limit point $\sigma_* \in \mathfrak{F}$ and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} D_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} D(\rho_n \parallel \sigma_{\rho_n}) \geq D(\rho_0 \parallel \sigma_*) \geq D_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho_0)$$

Problem: in all the interesting cases the set \mathfrak{F} is closed but not compact (alas!)

Basic idea: 1) to find a weaker topology τ in which \mathfrak{F} is relatively compact and to apply the above arguments to a τ -compact set $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}$ containing \mathfrak{F} by using appropriate extension $\widehat{D}(\cdot \| \cdot)$ of $D(\cdot \| \cdot)$;

2) to prove the lower semicontinuity of $(\rho, \sigma) \mapsto \widehat{D}(\rho \| \sigma)$ w.r.t. τ ;

3) to prove that $\sigma_\rho \in \mathfrak{F}$ for any ρ in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$.

Realization

1) Let τ be the **weak-* topology** on $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$ induced by the duality $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}) = [\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H})]^*$, where $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H})$ is the Banach space of compact operators on \mathcal{H} with the operator norm.

By the Banach–Alaoglu theorem any subset \mathfrak{F} of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is τ -relatively compact!

2) Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}$ be the intersection of the unit ball of $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$ with the cone generated by \mathfrak{F} .

3) Let $\widehat{D}(\cdot \| \cdot)$ be Lindblad's extension of the q.r.e. defined as

$$\widehat{D}(\rho \| \sigma) = \sum_i \langle \varphi_i | \rho \ln \rho - \rho \ln \sigma + \sigma - \rho | \varphi_i \rangle, \quad \rho, \sigma \in \mathfrak{T}_+(\mathcal{H}),$$

($\{\varphi_i\}$ is the basis of eigenvectors of ρ and it is assumed that $\widehat{D}(\rho \| \sigma) = +\infty$ if $\text{supp } \rho$ is not contained in $\text{supp } \sigma$)

To prove weak-* compactness of $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}$ it suffices to prove that the cone $\text{cone}(\mathfrak{F})$ generated by \mathfrak{F} is weak-* closed.

Theorem 1 *Let \mathfrak{F} be a norm closed and convex subset of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$.*

The set $\text{cone}(\mathfrak{F})$ is weak closed if there is a sequence $\{M_n\}$ of compact operators strongly converging to the unit operator $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that*

$$M_n \mathfrak{F} M_n^* \subseteq \text{cone}(\mathfrak{F}) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1)$$

Corollary 1 *$\text{cone}(\mathfrak{S}_{\text{sep}}(\mathcal{H}_{AB}))$ is a weak* closed subset of $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$.*

Let $M_n = P_n \otimes Q_n$, where $\{P_n\} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and $\{Q_n\} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ are sequences of finite-rank projectors strongly converging to the unit operators I_A and I_B . Then (1) holds with $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}_{\text{sep}}(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$.

Theorem 2 *The REoE E_R in an infinite-dimensional bipartite system AB is a lower semicontinuous function on $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$ and for any state ρ there exists a separable state σ_ρ such that*

$$E_R(\rho) = D(\rho \parallel \sigma_\rho).$$

If ρ is a faithful state then the state σ_ρ is unique.

The same claims are valid for the relative entropy of resource $D_{\mathfrak{F}}$ provided that $\text{cone}(\mathfrak{F})$ is a weak* closed subset of $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$.

$I(A:B)_\rho \doteq D(\rho \parallel \rho_A \otimes \rho_B) = H(\rho_A) + H(\rho_B) - H(\rho)$ – the quantum mutual information of $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$ ($\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B \rho$, $\rho_B = \text{Tr}_A \rho$).

Corollary 2 *Let $\{\rho_n\} \subset \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$ be a sequence of states converging to a state $\rho_0 \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$. If*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I(A:B)_{\rho_n} = I(A:B)_{\rho_0} < +\infty$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} E_R(\rho_n) = E_R(\rho_0) < +\infty.$$

L.Lami, M.E.Shirokov "Continuity of the relative entropy of resource",
arXiv:2308.00696

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!