On signed martingale interpolating

measures

Pavlov Igor, Neumerzhitskaia Natalia

Campus "Raduga", village of Diynomorskoe, Russia

Conference dedicated to the 90th anniversary

of the Department of Probability Theory at MSU

ICSM-10

5 июня 2025 г.

Consider:

$$\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m\}$$
 — finite set;

$$F = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0}^K$$
 — a filtration on Ω : $(\Omega, \emptyset) = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_K = \mathcal{F}$;

$$P - \text{a prob. on } (\Omega, \mathcal{F}), p_1 := P(\omega_1) > 0, \dots, p_m := P(\omega_m) > 0,$$

and we mean
$$P = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_m) \in R^m$$
;

$$Z = (Z_k, \mathcal{F}_k, P)_{k=0}^K$$
 — a process (discounted price of stock).

By the first FTAP: (1, Z) is arbitrage free market \Leftrightarrow on \mathcal{F}

there exists a martingale measure $Q \sim P$ of the process Z, i.e.

$$\forall k : 0 \le k < K$$

$$E^{Q}[Z_{k+1}|\mathcal{F}_k] = Z_k. \tag{1}$$

Since $Q \sim P \Rightarrow Q|_{\mathcal{F}_k} \sim P|_{\mathcal{F}_k}$ (k = 1, 2, ..., K), we can calculate

Radon-Nikodym derivatives:

$$h_k(A) = \frac{dQ|_{\mathcal{F}_k}}{dP|_{\mathcal{F}_k}} > 0 \quad P - a.s. \ (k = 1, 2, \dots, K).$$
 (2)

It is obvious that $h = (h_k, \mathcal{F}_k, P)_{k=0}^K$ is strictly positive

(P-a.s.) martingale. Using generalized Bayes formula it is easy

to see that the process $hZ = (h_k Z_k, \mathcal{F}_k, P)_{k=0}^K$ is a martingale.

Processes like h are called deflators.

Definition

Let $Z = (Z_k, \mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0}^K$ be an adabted process that can take any

real values. A martingale $D = (D_k, \mathcal{F}_k, P)_{k=0}^K$ is said a deflator

of the process Z if $D_0=1$ and the process

 $DZ = (D_k Z_k, \mathcal{F}_k, P)_{k=0}^K$ is a martingale.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set $\mathcal{P}(Z,F)$ of

all martingale measures of Z and the set of all strictly positive

(P-a.s.) deflators of the process Z.

Conclusion: We can not use strictly positive deflators to study arbitrage markets.

Definition

A signed deflator $D = (D_k, \mathcal{F}_k, P)_{k=0}^K$ of the process Z is said admissible if for $D_K = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i I_{\{\omega_i\}}$ and for all non-empty subset $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ $\sum_{j \in J} d_j p_j \neq 0$.

We mean $(d_1p_1, \ldots, d_mp_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ as a signed measure.

We obtained in the paper of Pavlov, I.V., Danekyants, A.G., Neumerzhitskaia, N.V., Tsvetkova, I.V.: Signed interpolating deflators and Haar Uniqueness Properties, Global and Stochastic Analysis 9, N3 (2021) 67-75 some results on so called signed interpolating deflators, but now it is clear that a systematic investigation of such deflators is associated with the following definition.

Definition

A signed measure $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ on the σ -algebra \mathcal{F} is said

admissible $(\mu \in ASM)$ if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i = 1 \tag{3}$$

and for all non-empty subsets $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$

$$\sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \neq 0. \tag{4}$$

1) Signed measure, generated by admissible signed deflator, is ASM.

2) Every probability measure $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_m)$ on the σ -algebra

 \mathcal{F} such that $p_i > 0 \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ is admissible.

3) It follows from (3) and (4) that for any $\mu \in ASM$ we have

$$\mu_i \neq 0 \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$
 and for all subsets $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$

(besides
$$I = \emptyset$$
 and $I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$) $\sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \neq 1$.

Let f be \mathcal{F} -mesurable, $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$, and $\mu \in ASM$. Since (3) and (4) are satisfied, we can define $E^{\mu}[f|\mathcal{G}]$ in the usual way:

$$E^{\mu}[f|\mathcal{G}] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in G_j} f(i)\mu_i}{\sum_{i \in G_j} \mu_i}\right) I_{G_j},\tag{5}$$

where $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ is the partition of Ω into atoms of the σ -algebra \mathcal{G} . If \mathcal{H} is a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{G} , the telescopic property $E^{\mu}[E^{\mu}[f|\mathcal{G}] \mid \mathcal{H}] = E^{\mu}[f|\mathcal{H}] \text{ is also valid. Martingale property}$ $E^{\mu}[f_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = f_n \text{ for a process } (f_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n=0}^N \text{ is the same too.}$

Nelson, P.I.: A class of orthogonal series related to martingales,

The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 41, N5 (1970) 1684-1694.

Ruiz de Chavez, J.: Le theoreme de Paul Levy pour des mesures

signes, LNM, 1059 (1984) 245-255.

Beghdadi-Sakrani, S.: Calcul stochastique pour les mesures

signes, LNM, 1801 (2003), 366-382.

Sakrani, S.: Representation of martingales under signed

measures..., Stochastics, **93**, N2 (2021) 196-210.

Interpolation idea -11

Now we will briefly describe the main ideas of interpolation through extensions of filtration.

Definition

We say that a filtration $(\Omega, G = (\mathcal{G}_n)_{n=0}^N)$ interpolates the

filtration $(\Omega, F = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0}^K)$ if there exist integers

$$0 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_K = N$$
 such that

$$\mathcal{G}_{n_0} = \mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{G}_{n_1} = \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{n_K} = \mathcal{F}_K.$$

Interpolation idea — 12

Let $\mu \in ASM$ be a martingale measure of an adapted process

$$Z = (Z_k, \mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0}^K$$
. Consider a filtration $(G = (\mathcal{G}_n)_{n=0}^N)$, that

interpolates the filtration $F = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0}^K$. Define the process

$$Z^{int} = (Z_n^{int}, \mathcal{G}_n)_{n=0}^N$$
 by putting $Z_n^{int} := E^{\mu}[Z_K | \mathcal{G}_n],$

$$n=0,1,\ldots,N$$
. It is clear that $Z_{n_k}^{int}=Z_k,\,k=0,1,\ldots,K$. Thus,

 μ is a martingale measure of the process Z^{int} .

Interpolation idea -13

Definition

If μ is the **unique** martingale measure of the process Z^{int} , we

say that this measure satisfies G-uniqueness property. If μ

satisfies this property for every interpolating filtration $G \in \mathbf{G}$ of

some collection G, we say that this measure satisfies

G-uniqueness property.

Interpolation idea -14

In this work we consider two kinds of such collections. If G is the set of all interpolating Haar filtrations, we say instead of G-uniqueness property Universal Haar Uniqueness Property (UHUP). If **G** is the set of all interpolating filtrations so called special Haar filtrations, we say instead of G-uniqueness property Special Haar Uniqueness Property (SHUP).

Interpolation idea — 15

A filtration $H = (\mathcal{H}_n)_{n=0}^N$ is called Haar filtration if $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$ and each σ -algebra \mathcal{H}_n is generated by a partition of the set Ω into exactly n+1 atoms $H_0^n, H_1^n, ..., H_n^n$. Interpolating Haar filtration (IHF) is defined as on the slide 11 (namely $\mathcal{H}_{n_k} = \mathcal{F}_k$, $0 \le k \le K$).

Interpolation idea — 15a

An interpolating Haar filtration H of F is said special

interpolating Haar filtration of F if $\forall k \ (0 \leq k < K)$ and $\forall n$

 $(n_k \le n < n_{k+1})$ an atom A of $\mathcal{H}_{n_k} = \mathcal{F}_k$ (when moving from n_k

to $n_k + 1$) is divided in such a way that at least one atom of

 σ -algebra $\mathcal{H}_{n_{k+1}} = \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$ is obtained.

Interpolation idea -16

Consider the set $\mathcal{P}(Z, \mathbf{F})$. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(Z, \mathbf{F}) \neq \emptyset$. Then the financial market (1, Z) is arbitrage-free. If a measure $P \in \mathcal{P}(Z, \mathbf{F})$ satisfies G-uniqueness property, where G is a filtration interpolating the filtration F, then we can pass to the interpolating market with the stock $Z^{int} = (Z_n^{int}, \mathcal{G}_n)_{n=0}^N$ with one martingale measure, namely the measure P. By the second FTAP this market is complete and we can calculate fair price of each contingent claim and form corresponding hedging portfolio.

Interpolation idea -17

Bogacheva, M.N., Pavlov, I.V.: Haar extensions of arbitrage-free

financial markets to markets that are complete and

arbitrage-free, Russian Math. Surveys 57, N3 (2002) 581–583.

Bogacheva, M.N., Pavlov, I.V.: Haar extensions of arbitrage-free

financial markets to markets that are complete and

arbitrage-free, Izvestiya VUZov, Severo-Kavkaz. Region,

Estestvenn. Nauki, N3 (2002) 16–24.

We will study here interpolation properties of ASMM.

Some analytic properties of admissible signed martingale

measures for static processes — 18

A static process is:
$$Z_0 = a$$
, $Z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i I_{\{\omega_i\}}$, $m \ge 2$.

In this case a measure $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in ASM$ is a martingale

measure $(\mu \in ASMM)$ for the process Z if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i \mu_i = a. \tag{6}$$

Some analytic properties of ASMM for static processes — 19

If $b_1 = \cdots = b_m = a$, it is clear that ASMM = ASM. If

 $b_1 = \cdots = b_m \neq a$, then $ASMM = \emptyset$. These cases will not be

considered further.

IIf m = 2 and $b_1 \neq b_2$, then $ASMM \neq \emptyset$ iff $a \neq b_1$ and $a \neq b_2$.

In this case ASMM consists of only one measure.

Some analytic properties of ASMM for static processes -20

Let m = 3. If numbers b_1, b_2, b_3 are different, then all measures

 $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \in ASMM$ are given by the system:

$$\begin{cases}
\mu_1 = \frac{b_2 - a + (b_3 - b_2)\mu_3}{b_2 - b_1} \\
\mu_2 = \frac{a - b_1 + (b_1 - b_3)\mu_3}{b_2 - b_1}
\end{cases}$$
(7)

where
$$\mu_3 \neq 0, 1, -\frac{b_1 - a}{b_3 - b_2}, -\frac{b_2 - a}{b_3 - b_2}, -\frac{b_1 - a}{b_3 - b_1}, -\frac{b_2 - a}{b_3 - b_1}.$$

If $b_1 \neq b_2$, but $b_1 = b_3$ or $b_2 = b_3$, then $ASMM \neq \emptyset$ iff $a \neq b_1$

and $a \neq b_2$; ASMM is described by (7) with $\mu_3 \neq 0$; 1.

Some analytic properties of ASMM for static processes -21

Let $m \geq 4$.

- 1) If numbers b_1, \ldots, b_m are different, then $ASMM \neq \emptyset$.
- 2) If among the numbers b_1, \ldots, b_m there are only two different ones (denote them b' and b''), then $ASMM \neq \emptyset$ iff $a \neq b'$ and $a \neq b''$.
- 3) If among the numbers b_1, \ldots, b_m there are more than two different ones, then $ASMM \neq \emptyset$.

Some analytic properties of ASMM for static processes -22

Definition

We say that a measure $\mu \in ASMM$ satisfies the noncoicidence

barycenters condition $(\mu \in NBC)$ if for any subsets

$$I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\alpha}\} \text{ and } J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\beta}\} \text{ of the set}$$

 $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that $I \cap J = \emptyset$ the following inequality is

fulfilled:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} b_{i_k} \mu_{i_k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \mu_{i_k}} \neq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\beta} b_{j_k} \mu_{j_k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \mu_{j_k}}.$$
(8)

Some analytic properties of ASMM for static processes — 23

Definition

We say that a measure $\mu \in ASMM$ satisfies the weakened

noncoicidence barycenters condition $(\mu \in WNBC)$ if

inequalities (8) are satisfied only for single point sets I, i.e. have

the form:

$$b_i \neq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\beta} b_{j_k} \mu_{j_k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \mu_{j_k}},\tag{9}$$

where $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $i \notin J$.

Main results for static model -24

It is clear that

$$NBC \subset WNBC \subset ASMM \subset ASM \subset R^m.$$
 (10)

The main purpose of this section is to prove that WNBC is dense in ASMM and NBC is dense in WNBC (according to the norm of \mathbb{R}^m).

Lemma

If $WNBC \neq \emptyset$, then numbers a, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m are different.

Main results for static model— 25

SM —the set of all measures (points) $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

ASM — the set of all admissible $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in SM$:

 $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i = 1$ and for all non-empty subsets $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$

 $\sum_{i\in I} \mu_i \neq 0.$

For a static process $Z_0 = a$, $Z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i I_{\{\omega_i\}}$, $m \ge 2$:

ASMM — the set of all martingale measures

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in ASM: \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \mu_i = a.$$

Main results for static model— 26

For a static process $Z_0 = a$, $Z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i I_{\{\omega_i\}}$, $m \ge 2$:

$$WNBC$$
 — the set of all $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in ASMM$:
$$b_i \neq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\beta} b_{j_k} \mu_{j_k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \mu_{j_k}} \ \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \ \forall J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \ \text{where}$$
$$i \notin J.$$

$$\begin{split} NBC &- \text{ the set of all } \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in ASMM: \\ \frac{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\alpha} b_{i_k} \mu_{i_k}}{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\alpha} \mu_{i_k}} \neq \frac{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\beta} b_{j_k} \mu_{j_k}}{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\beta} \mu_{j_k}}, \ \forall I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\alpha}\}, \ \forall J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\beta}\}, \\ I, J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \ I \cap J = \emptyset. \end{split}$$

Main results for static model—27

For a static process
$$Z_0 = a$$
, $Z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i I_{\{\omega_i\}}$, $m \ge 2$:

$$SHUP$$
 (resp., $UHUP$) — the set of all

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in ASMM$$
: μ is the unique martingale

measure for the process
$$Z_n^{int} = E^{\mu}[Z_1|\mathcal{H}_n], n = 0, 1, \dots, m-1,$$

for any interpolating special Haar filtration (resp., interpolating

Haar filtration)
$$(\mathcal{H}_n)_{n=0}^{m-1}$$
, where $\mathcal{H}_0 = (\Omega, \emptyset)$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{m-1} = \sigma\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m\}.$$

Main results — 28

Theorem

If the numbers a, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m are different, then WNBC is

dense in ASMM and NBC is dense in WNBC.

Theorem

f the numbers a, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m are different, then

 $SHUP = WNBC \ and \ UHUP = NBC.$

Main results -29

Remark

Theorems 1 and 2 remain true for dynamic models

 $Z = (Z_k, \mathcal{F}_k, P)_{k=0}^K$. The proofs are carried out by induction by

combining the results obtained for each atom $A \in \mathcal{F}_k$,

decomposed into atoms $B_1, \ldots, B_r \in \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$.

References -30

289, N3 (2025) 426-434.

Pavlov, I.V.: On Haar interpolations of financial markets by signed martingale measures. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*

Pavlov, I.V., Danekyants, A.G., Neumerzhitskaia, N.V.,

Tsvetkova, I.V.: Signed interpolating deflators and Haar

Uniqueness Properties, Global and Stochastic Analysis 9, N3

(2021) 67-75.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH