On Hamiltonian geometry of the associativity equations

O. I. Mokhov, N. A. Pavlenko

Department of Geometry and Topology, Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University

Geometric Structures in Integrable Systems Moscow (Russia), October 30 - November 2, 2012

The associativity equations

The Witten–Dijkgraaf–Verlinde–Verlinde equations (the WDVV equations) or the associativity equations:

$$\frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta \partial t^\mu} \eta^{\mu\lambda} \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial t^\lambda \partial t^\nu \partial t^\gamma} = \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial t^\gamma \partial t^\beta \partial t^\mu} \eta^{\mu\lambda} \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial t^\lambda \partial t^\nu \partial t^\alpha},$$

 $F(t^1, \dots, t^N)$ is a function of N variables (t^1, \dots, t^N) , the matrix

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} = rac{\partial^3 F}{\partial t^1 \partial t^{\alpha} \partial t^{\beta}}, \quad \eta^{\alpha\mu} \eta_{\mu\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta},$$

is constant and non-degenerate.

The WDVV equations are an overdetermined non-linear integrable system.

B. Dubrovin, Geometry of 2D topological field theories, 1994.

N-parametric deformations of Frobenius algebras

For any $t = (t^1, \dots, t^N)$ the functions

$$c^{lpha}_{eta\gamma}(t)=\eta^{lpha\mu}rac{\partial^{3}F}{\partial t^{\mu}\partial t^{eta}\partial t^{\gamma}}$$

define the structure of an commutative associative algebra $\mathcal{A}(t)$ in N-dimensional linear space with basis e_1,\ldots,e_N and multiplication

$$oldsymbol{e}_eta\circoldsymbol{e}_\gamma=oldsymbol{c}_{eta\gamma}^lpha(t)oldsymbol{e}_lpha.$$

The condition of associativity

$$(\boldsymbol{e}_{lpha} \circ \boldsymbol{e}_{eta}) \circ \boldsymbol{e}_{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{e}_{lpha} \circ (\boldsymbol{e}_{eta} \circ \boldsymbol{e}_{\gamma}),$$

which is equivalent to the relation

$$c^{\mu}_{lphaeta}(t)c^{
u}_{\mu\gamma}(t)=c^{
u}_{lpha\mu}(t)c^{\mu}_{eta\gamma}(t),$$

results in the WDVV equations.

N-parametric deformations of Frobenius algebras

 e_1 is always a unit in the algebra A(t):

$$oldsymbol{e}_1 \circ oldsymbol{e}_\mu = oldsymbol{c}_{1\mu}^
u(t)oldsymbol{e}_
u = \eta^{
u\lambda}\eta_{\lambda\mu}oldsymbol{e}_
u = oldsymbol{e}_\mu.$$

Moreover, the algebra $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle \boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\beta} \rangle = \eta_{\alpha\beta}$$

that is *invariant* with respect to multiplication in the algebra, that is,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha} \circ \boldsymbol{e}_{\beta}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\beta} \circ \boldsymbol{e}_{\gamma} \rangle.$$

A finite-dimensional commutative associative algebra possessing a unit and equipped with a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form is called a *Frobenius algebra*. The associativity equations describe *N*-parametric deformations of *N*-dimensional Frobenius algebras.

The variable t^1 is an initially fixed variable and the assignment of an arbitrary constant non-degenerate symmetric matrix $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ for the function F simply determines the dependence of this function on the variable t^1 (by virtue of its definition the function F is always considered up to quadratic polynomials in the variables t^1,\ldots,t^N):

$$F = \frac{1}{6}\eta_{11}(t^{1})^{3} + \sum_{\beta=2}^{N} \frac{1}{2}\eta_{1\beta}(t^{1})^{2}t^{\beta} + \sum_{\alpha \geq 2} \sum_{\beta > \alpha} \eta_{\alpha\beta}t^{1}t^{\alpha}t^{\beta} + \sum_{\alpha \geq 2} \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\alpha\alpha}t^{1}(t^{\alpha})^{2} + f(t^{2}, \dots, t^{N}).$$

Correspondingly, for the given metric $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ the equations of associativity are equivalent to a system of non-linear partial differential equations for the function $f(t^2, \dots, t^N)$.

The first equations of associativity arise for N=3. For N=3 the following two essentially different types of dependence of the function F on the fixed variable t^1 were considered by Dubrovin: $F=\frac{1}{2}(t^1)^2t^3+\frac{1}{2}t^1(t^2)^2+f(t^2,t^3)$, which

corresponds to the antidiagonal metric
$$(\eta_{\alpha\beta})=\left(egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

and $F = \frac{1}{6}(t^1)^3 + t^1t^2t^3 + f(t^2, t^3)$, which corresponds to the metric with the same signature but of the form

$$(\eta_{lphaeta})=\left(egin{array}{ccc}1&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&1&0\end{array}
ight).$$
 The corresponding equations of

associativity reduce to the following two non-linear equations of the third order for the function of two independent variables f = f(x, t) (here we use the notation $x = t^2$, $t = t^3$):

$$f_{ttt} = f_{xxt}^2 - f_{xxx}f_{xtt},\tag{1}$$

$$f_{XXX}f_{ttt} - f_{XXt}f_{Xtt} = 1, (2)$$

respectively.

Equation (1) describes quantum cohomology, i.e., the deformations of the cohomology ring of the projective plane \mathbf{P}^2 . The solution of the problem on the number n(d) of rational curves of degree d on \mathbf{P}^2 which pass through 3d-1 generic points, as Kontsevich showed, is also connected to this equation. The series

$$\phi(x,t) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} n(d) \frac{t^{3d-1}}{(3d-1)!} e^{dx}$$

must satisfy equation (1), whence Kontsevich's recursion relations for the numbers n(d) are obtained: n(1) = 1, and for d > 2

$$n(d) = \sum_{\substack{k,l \geq 1,\\k+l=d}} n(k)n(l)k^2l \left[l \left(\frac{3d-4}{3k-2} \right) - k \left(\frac{3d-4}{3k-1} \right) \right].$$

Generalizations of this problem to other varieties are also connected to the associativity equations (Kontsevich, Manin et

Theorem (M., 1994)

Equations (1) and (2) are equivalent to integrable non-diagonalizable homogeneous systems of hydrodynamic type

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -c & 2b & -a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}_{x},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -(1+bc)/a^{2} & c/a & b/a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}_{x},$$

respectively.

We introduce the new variables $a = f_{xxx}$, $b = f_{xxt}$, $c = f_{xtt}$, $d = f_{ttt}$. The compatibility conditions have the form $a_t = b_x$, $b_t = c_x$, $c_t = d_x$. Moreover, equations (1) and (2) are equivalent to the relations $d = b^2 - ac$ and d = (1 + bc)/a, respectively. Thus, in the new variables equations (1) and (2) become homogeneous 3×3 systems of hydrodynamic type:

$$a_t = b_x,$$
 $b_t = c_x,$ $c_t = (b^2 - ac)_x,$ $a_t = b_x,$ $b_t = c_x,$ $c_t = ((1 + bc)/a)_x,$

respectively.

Both these systems (1) and (2) are non-diagonalizable. This fact can be verified in different ways. There is an effective criterion for diagonalizability found by Haantjes (1955). Let $v_j^i(u)$ be an *affinor*, that is, a tensor of type (1,1) on a manifold M with local coordinates (u^1,\ldots,u^N) . The *Nijenhuis tensor* $N_{ij}^k(u)$ is the *curvature tensor of the affinor* $v_j^i(u)$:

$$N_{ij}^{k} = v_{i}^{s} \frac{\partial v_{j}^{k}}{\partial u^{s}} - v_{j}^{s} \frac{\partial v_{i}^{k}}{\partial u^{s}} + v_{s}^{k} \frac{\partial v_{i}^{s}}{\partial u^{j}} - v_{s}^{k} \frac{\partial v_{j}^{s}}{\partial u^{j}}.$$

The Haantjes tensor:

$$H^{i}_{jk} = v^{i}_{s}v^{s}_{r}N^{r}_{jk} - v^{i}_{s}N^{s}_{rk}v^{r}_{j} - v^{i}_{s}N^{s}_{jr}v^{r}_{k} + N^{i}_{sr}v^{s}_{j}v^{r}_{k}.$$

If a tensor $v_j^i(u)$ has complete set of eigenfunctions (in particular, if all its eigenvalues are real and distinct), then the tensor can be diagonalized in a neighbourhood of a point on the manifold if and only if its Haantjes tensor vanishes.

The associativity equations are connected to the following spectral linear problem (Dubrovin):

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha}}{\partial t^{\beta}} = z c_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} \phi_{\gamma}, \qquad z = \text{const.}$$

For this linear system the compatibility conditions have the form

$$z\frac{\partial c_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}}{\partial t^{\lambda}}\phi_{\gamma} + z^{2}c_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}c_{\gamma\lambda}^{\varepsilon}\phi_{\varepsilon} = z\frac{\partial c_{\alpha\lambda}^{\gamma}}{\partial t^{\beta}}\phi_{\gamma} + z^{2}c_{\alpha\lambda}^{\gamma}c_{\gamma\beta}^{\varepsilon}\phi_{\varepsilon},$$

that is, they are equivalent to the associativity equations. In our "hydrodynamical" variables a,b,c the linear problem can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\Psi_{x} = z \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b & a & 1 \\ c & b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi, \ \Psi_{t} = z \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ c & b & 0 \\ b^{2} - ac & c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi.$$

For the associativity equations (1), the first Poisson structure generated by a flat metric was found by M. and Ferapontov (1995):

$$(M_1^{ij}) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2}a & b \\ \frac{1}{2}a & b & \frac{3}{2}c \\ b & \frac{3}{2}c & 2(b^2 - ac) \end{array} \right) \frac{d}{dx} + \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \frac{1}{2}a_x & b_x \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}b_x & c_x \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}c_x & (b^2 - ac)_x \end{array} \right),$$

and the corresponding Hamiltonian of hydrodynamic type is $H = \int c dx$.

The second Poisson structure of this system, which is compatible with the first one, was constructed in the paper by Ferapontov, Galvão, M. and Nutku (1997). It is a homogeneous differential-geometric Poisson structure of the third order (Dubrovin–Novikov type) with a flat metric, and this Poisson structure cannot be reduced to a constant form by a local change of coordinates:

$$(M_2^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -a \\ 1 & -a & a^2 + 2b \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^3 + \\ + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2a_X \\ 0 & -a_X & 3(b_X + aa_X) \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + \\ + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_{XX} + a_X^2 + aa_{XX} \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx}.$$
 (1)

The Hamiltonian is non-local and has the form:

$$H_1 = -\int \left(\frac{1}{2} a \left(\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{-1} b\right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{-1} b\right) \left(\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{-1} c\right)\right) dx.$$

Thus, the associativity equation (1) is a bi-Hamiltonian system with compatible differential-geometric Poisson structures and for the associativity equation (2) we found no Hamiltonian representation.

Another example of the metric $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ generating the associativity equations with similar Hamiltonian and bi-Hamiltonian representations was published by Kalayci and Nutku (1997): $F = \frac{1}{2}(t^1)^2t^3 + \frac{1}{2}t^1(t^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(t^1)^2t^2 + f(t^2, t^3)$, which

corresponds to the metric
$$(\eta_{\alpha\beta})=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 . The

corresponding equations of associativity reduce to the following non-linear equation of the third order for the function of two independent variables f = f(x, t) ($x = t^2$, $t = t^3$):

$$f_{ttt} + f_{ttt} f_{xxx} - f_{ttx} f_{txx} + f_{ttt} f_{txx} - f_{xtt}^2 + f_{xxx} f_{xtt} - f_{xxt}^2 = 0.$$
 (3)

In the new variables $a = f_{xxx}$, $b = f_{xxt}$, $c = f_{xtt}$, $d = f_{ttt}$ equation (3) becomes 3×3 system of hydrodynamic type:

$$a_t = b_x, \qquad b_t = c_x, \qquad c_t = d_x,$$

where

$$d = \frac{b^2 + c^2 + bc - ac}{a + b + 1}$$
.

For the associativity equations (3), the first Poisson structure is generated by a flat metric and has the following form:

$$(M^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} - 2a & \frac{1}{2}(a - 3b) & b - c \\ \frac{1}{2}(a - 3b) & b - c & \frac{1}{2}(3c - d) \\ b - c & \frac{1}{2}(3c - d) & 2d \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} + \begin{pmatrix} -a_{x} & \frac{1}{2}(a_{x} - b_{x}) & b_{x} \\ -b_{x} & \frac{1}{2}(b_{x} - c_{x}) & c_{x} \\ -c_{x} & \frac{1}{2}(c_{x} - d_{x}) & d_{x} \end{pmatrix},$$
(2)

the corresponding Hamiltonian of hydrodynamic type is $H = \int c dx$.

For an arbitrary system of hydrodynamic type

$$u_t^i = v_j^i(u)u_x^j$$

(for an arbitrary affinor $v_j^i(u)$) Bogoyavlenskij (1996) defined two metrics (may be degenerate) given by the corresponding Nijenhuis and Haantjes tensors:

$$n_{ij}(u) = N_{ir}^s(u)N_{js}^r(u), \quad h_{ij}(u) = H_{ir}^s(u)H_{js}^r(u)$$

(the Nijenhuis metric and the Haantjes metric). Moreover, Bogoyavlenskij (1996) proved that for N=3 the Haantjes tensor $H_{ii}^k(u)$ satisfies the identity

$$H(H(X_1, X_2), X_3) + H(H(X_2, X_3), X_1) + H(H(X_3, X_1), X_2) = 0,$$

and hence defines a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}(u)$ structure in each tangent space $T_u M^3$, and the Haantjes metric $h_{ij}(u)$ is the Cartan–Killing form for the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}(u)$.

Theorem (Bogoyavlenskij, 1996)

For N=3, if the Haantjes tensor $H^k_{ij}(u)$ is non-zero, then the corresponding Lie algebra \Im is simple for each tangent space T_uM^3 , so it is isomorphic either to so(3) or sl(3). The Cartan–Killing form $h_{ij}(u)$ defines a non-degenerate metric on the manifold M^3 that has to be conformally flat and therefore has to satisfy the classical Weyl–Schouten equations:

$$R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j} - \frac{1}{4}(h_{ik}R_{,j} - h_{ij}R_{,k}) = 0.$$

Here R_{ij} is the Ricci tensor and R is the scalar curvature of the metric h_{ij} , the $R_{ij,k}$ and $R_{,j}$ are their covariant derivatives.

Theorem (Bogoyavlenskij, Reynolds, 2010)

For N = 3, a system of hydrodynamic type

$$u_t^i = v_j^i(u)u_x^j,$$

having non-degenerate Haantjes metric $h_{ij}(u)$, possesses a Hamiltonian structure with non-degenerate flat metric $g_{ij}(u)$ if and only if:

(1) The skew-symmetric (1,2)-tensor

$$P_{ij}^k = \textit{Tr}(B^2)T_{ij}^k + (v_i^kT_{jr}^s - v_j^kT_{ir}^s + (v_i^p\delta_j^k - v_j^p\delta_i^k)T_{pr}^s)B_s^r$$

vanishes. Here $T_{ij}^k = v_{i,j}^k - v_{j,i}^k$, $v_{i,j}^k$ is covariant derivative with respect to the Haantjes metric h_{ij} , $B_i^i = v_j^i - \frac{1}{n}(Trv)\delta_i^i$.

(2) The 1-form

$$\omega_i = \frac{1}{\textit{Tr}B^2} T_{ir}^s B_s^r$$

is exact. Hence there exists a function $\sigma(u)$ such that $\omega_i = \partial \sigma / \partial u^i$.

(3) The function $\sigma(u)$ satisfies the Weyl–Schouten equations:

$$\sigma_{,ij} = \sigma_{,i}\sigma_{,j} + \frac{1}{4}Rh_{ij} - R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}\sigma_{,s}\sigma_{,r}h^{sr}.$$

Under these conditions, the metric $g_{ij}(u) = \exp(2\sigma(u))h_{ij}(u)$ is flat and provides the required Hamiltonian structure. Here $\sigma_{,ij}$ and $\sigma_{,i}$ are covariant derivatives with respect to the Haantjes metric h_{ii} .

M., Pavlenko, 2012

We consider the metric
$$(\eta_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
. In this case,

 $F = \frac{1}{2}\alpha(t^1)^2t^2 + \frac{1}{2}(t^1)^2t^3 + \frac{1}{2}\beta t^1(t^2)^2 + f(t^2,t^3)$ and the corresponding equations of associativity reduce to the following non-linear equation of the third order for the function of two independent variables f = f(x,t) ($x = t^2$, $t = t^3$):

$$\beta^2 f_{ttt} - \alpha f_{ttt} f_{xxx} + \alpha f_{ttx} f_{txx} + \alpha^2 f_{ttt} f_{txx} - \alpha^2 f_{xtt}^2 + f_{xxx} f_{xtt} - f_{xxt}^2 = 0.$$

In the new variables $a = f_{xxx}$, $b = f_{xxt}$, $c = f_{xtt}$, $d = f_{ttt}$ this associativity equation becomes 3×3 system of hydrodynamic type:

$$a_t = b_x, \qquad b_t = c_x, \qquad c_t = d_x,$$

where

$$d = \frac{b^2 + \alpha^2 c^2 - \alpha bc - ac}{\beta^2 - \alpha a + \alpha^2 b}.$$

For arbitrary α and β , this system is Hamiltonian with local Hamiltonian structure of hydrodynamic type generated by the flat metric g^{ij} :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\alpha a}{\beta^4} - \frac{3}{2\beta^2} & \frac{a+3\alpha b}{2\beta^4} & \frac{b+\alpha c}{\beta^4} \\ \frac{a+3\alpha b}{2\beta^4} & \frac{b+\alpha c}{\beta^4} & \frac{\alpha^3c^2+2\alpha^2bc+\alpha(b^2-4ac)+3\beta^2c}{2\beta^4(\beta^2+\alpha(\alpha b-a))} \\ \frac{b+\alpha c}{\beta^4} & \frac{\alpha^3c^2+2\alpha^2bc+\alpha(b^2-4ac)+3\beta^2c}{2\beta^4(\beta^2+\alpha(\alpha b-a))} & \frac{2(b^2-\alpha bc+\alpha^2c^2-ac)}{\beta^4(\beta^2+\alpha(\alpha b-a))} \end{pmatrix}$$