

Quotients of affine spherical varieties by unipotent subgroups

Dmitry A. Timashev

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics
Lomonosov Moscow State University

Algebraic Topology and Abelian Functions

Conference in honour of Victor Buchstaber
on the occasion of his 70-th birthday
Moscow, June 18–22, 2013

Motivation

Stratification of a topological space/algebraic variety X into “nice” cells
 $\rightsquigarrow$ nice homological/intersection-theoretic properties of X .

Source of stratifications in algebraic geometry:

Suppose that a connected solvable algebraic group B acts on X with finitely many orbits.

Each B -orbit is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0) \implies$
 B -orbital decomposition yields a nice stratification of X .

Main goal: provide more natural examples of algebraic varieties stratified by orbital decomposition.

Motivation

Stratification of a topological space/algebraic variety X into “nice” cells
 $\rightsquigarrow$ nice homological/intersection-theoretic properties of X .

Source of stratifications in algebraic geometry:

Suppose that a connected solvable algebraic group B acts on X with finitely many orbits.

Each B -orbit is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0) \implies$
 B -orbital decomposition yields a nice stratification of X .

Main goal: provide more natural examples of algebraic varieties stratified by orbital decomposition.

Motivation

Stratification of a topological space/algebraic variety X into “nice” cells
 $\rightsquigarrow$ nice homological/intersection-theoretic properties of X .

Source of stratifications in algebraic geometry:

Suppose that a connected solvable algebraic group B acts on X with finitely many orbits.

Each B -orbit is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0) \implies$
 B -orbital decomposition yields a nice stratification of X .

Main goal: provide more natural examples of algebraic varieties stratified by orbital decomposition.

Outline

- 1 Preliminaries and setup
 - Algebraic varieties
 - Spherical varieties
 - Quotients
 - Main problem
- 2 Results
 - Conjecture of Panyushev
 - Positive solution
 - Ideas of proofs
- 3 Reference

Algebraic varieties

We consider algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$.

An *affine algebraic variety* $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is given by polynomial equations

$$p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \dots = p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

Zarisky topology: closed subsets = affine subvarieties.

Coordinate algebra:

$$\mathbb{C}[X] = \{\text{polynomial functions in } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ restricted to } X\}.$$

Conversely:

given any finitely generated commutative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra A without nilpotents
 $\implies A \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightsquigarrow$ affine variety $X = \text{Spec } A \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Arbitrary algebraic varieties are patched from affine open pieces.

Algebraic varieties

We consider algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$.

An *affine algebraic variety* $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is given by polynomial equations

$$p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \dots = p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

Zarisky topology: closed subsets = affine subvarieties.

Coordinate algebra:

$$\mathbb{C}[X] = \{\text{polynomial functions in } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ restricted to } X\}.$$

Conversely:

given any finitely generated commutative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra A without nilpotents
 $\implies A \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightsquigarrow$ affine variety $X = \text{Spec } A \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Arbitrary algebraic varieties are patched from affine open pieces.

Algebraic varieties

We consider algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$.

An *affine algebraic variety* $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is given by polynomial equations

$$p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \dots = p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

Zarisky topology: closed subsets = affine subvarieties.

Coordinate algebra:

$$\mathbb{C}[X] = \{\text{polynomial functions in } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ restricted to } X\}.$$

Conversely:

given any finitely generated commutative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra A without nilpotents
 $\implies A \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightsquigarrow$ affine variety $X = \text{Spec } A \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Arbitrary algebraic varieties are patched from affine open pieces.

Algebraic varieties

We consider algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$.

An *affine algebraic variety* $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is given by polynomial equations

$$p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \dots = p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

Zarisky topology: closed subsets = affine subvarieties.

Coordinate algebra:

$$\mathbb{C}[X] = \{\text{polynomial functions in } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ restricted to } X\}.$$

Conversely:

given any finitely generated commutative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra A without nilpotents
 $\implies A \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightsquigarrow$ affine variety $X = \text{Spec } A \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Arbitrary algebraic varieties are patched from affine open pieces.

Algebraic varieties

We consider algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$.

An *affine algebraic variety* $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is given by polynomial equations

$$p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \dots = p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

Zarisky topology: closed subsets = affine subvarieties.

Coordinate algebra:

$$\mathbb{C}[X] = \{\text{polynomial functions in } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ restricted to } X\}.$$

Conversely:

given any finitely generated commutative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra A without nilpotents
 $\implies A \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightsquigarrow$ affine variety $X = \text{Spec } A \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Arbitrary algebraic varieties are patched from affine open pieces.

Algebraic varieties

We consider algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$.

An *affine algebraic variety* $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is given by polynomial equations

$$p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \dots = p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

Zarisky topology: closed subsets = affine subvarieties.

Coordinate algebra:

$$\mathbb{C}[X] = \{\text{polynomial functions in } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ restricted to } X\}.$$

Conversely:

given any finitely generated commutative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra A without nilpotents
 $\implies A \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightsquigarrow \text{affine variety } X = \text{Spec } A \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n.$

Arbitrary algebraic varieties are patched from affine open pieces.

Orbital stratification

Suppose that a **connected solvable** linear algebraic group B acts on an algebraic variety X .

X affine $\implies$ may assume $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, $H \subseteq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

How to guarantee that B has finitely many orbits on X ?

Orbital stratification

Suppose that a **connected solvable** linear algebraic group B acts on an algebraic variety X .

$$X \text{ affine} \implies \text{may assume } X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n, H \subseteq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

How to guarantee that B has finitely many orbits on X ?

Orbital stratification

Suppose that a **connected solvable** linear algebraic group B acts on an algebraic variety X .

$$X \text{ affine} \implies \text{may assume } X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n, H \subseteq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

How to guarantee that B has finitely many orbits on X ?

Spherical varieties

Suppose $B \subseteq G$, a **Borel** (=maximal connected solvable) subgroup in a bigger **reductive** group acting on X (e.g.: $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $O_n(\mathbb{C})$, $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$, $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, any semisimple group, any finite group, $(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n$, etc).

Example

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Definition

A G -variety X is *spherical* if B has a dense open orbit in X .

Affine case: X spherical $\iff$ representation $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[X]$ multiplicity free

Spherical varieties

Suppose $B \subseteq G$, a **Borel** (=maximal connected solvable) subgroup in a bigger **reductive** group acting on X (e.g.: $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $O_n(\mathbb{C})$, $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$, $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, any semisimple group, any finite group, $(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n$, etc).

Example

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Definition

A G -variety X is *spherical* if B has a dense open orbit in X .

Affine case: X spherical $\iff$ representation $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[X]$ multiplicity free

Spherical varieties

Suppose $B \subseteq G$, a **Borel** (=maximal connected solvable) subgroup in a bigger **reductive** group acting on X (e.g.: $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $O_n(\mathbb{C})$, $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$, $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, any semisimple group, any finite group, $(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n$, etc).

Example

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Definition

A G -variety X is *spherical* if B has a dense open orbit in X .

Affine case: X spherical $\iff$ representation $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[X]$ multiplicity free

Spherical varieties

Suppose $B \subseteq G$, a **Borel** (=maximal connected solvable) subgroup in a bigger **reductive** group acting on X (e.g.: $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $O_n(\mathbb{C})$, $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$, $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, any semisimple group, any finite group, $(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n$, etc).

Example

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Definition

A G -variety X is *spherical* if B has a dense open orbit in X .

Affine case: X spherical $\iff$ representation $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[X]$ multiplicity free

Spherical varieties

Suppose $B \subseteq G$, a **Borel** (=maximal connected solvable) subgroup in a bigger **reductive** group acting on X (e.g.: $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $O_n(\mathbb{C})$, $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$, $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, any semisimple group, any finite group, $(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n$, etc).

Example

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Definition

A G -variety X is *spherical* if B has a dense open orbit in X .

Affine case: X spherical $\iff$ representation $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[X]$ multiplicity free

Spherical varieties

Examples:

- toric varieties;
- (partial, generalized) flag varieties $X = G/P$, $P \supset B$;
- determinantal varieties $X = \{x \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{rk}(x) \leq r\}$;
- (algebraic) symmetric spaces $X = G/G^\theta$, $\theta \in \text{Aut } G$, $\theta^2 = \text{id}$;
- in particular, (complex) sphere
 $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\} \simeq SO_n(\mathbb{C})/SO_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem (M. Brion, E. B. Vinberg, 1986)

X spherical $\implies B$ has finitely many orbits on X .

Problem

*Extend to a wider class of varieties having finite B -orbital decomposition.
Specifically: push down the finiteness property to quotients.*

Spherical varieties

Examples:

- toric varieties;
- (partial, generalized) flag varieties $X = G/P$, $P \supset B$;
- determinantal varieties $X = \{x \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{rk}(x) \leq r\}$;
- (algebraic) symmetric spaces $X = G/G^\theta$, $\theta \in \text{Aut } G$, $\theta^2 = \text{id}$;
- in particular, (complex) sphere

$$S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\} \simeq SO_n(\mathbb{C})/SO_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Theorem (M. Brion, E. B. Vinberg, 1986)

X spherical $\implies B$ has finitely many orbits on X .

Problem

*Extend to a wider class of varieties having finite B -orbital decomposition.
 Specifically: push down the finiteness property to quotients.*

Spherical varieties

Examples:

- toric varieties;
- (partial, generalized) flag varieties $X = G/P$, $P \supset B$;
- determinantal varieties $X = \{x \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{rk}(x) \leq r\}$;
- (algebraic) symmetric spaces $X = G/G^\theta$, $\theta \in \text{Aut } G$, $\theta^2 = \text{id}$;
- in particular, (complex) sphere

$$S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\} \simeq SO_n(\mathbb{C})/SO_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Theorem (M. Brion, E. B. Vinberg, 1986)

X spherical $\implies B$ has finitely many orbits on X .

Problem

Extend to a wider class of varieties having finite B -orbital decomposition.

Specifically: push down the finiteness property to quotients.

Spherical varieties

Examples:

- toric varieties;
- (partial, generalized) flag varieties $X = G/P$, $P \supset B$;
- determinantal varieties $X = \{x \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{rk}(x) \leq r\}$;
- (algebraic) symmetric spaces $X = G/G^\theta$, $\theta \in \text{Aut } G$, $\theta^2 = \text{id}$;
- in particular, (complex) sphere
$$S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\} \simeq SO_n(\mathbb{C})/SO_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Theorem (M. Brion, E. B. Vinberg, 1986)

X spherical $\implies B$ has finitely many orbits on X .

Problem

Extend to a wider class of varieties having finite B -orbital decomposition.

Specifically: push down the finiteness property to quotients.

Categorical quotients

Let a linear algebraic group H act on an affine algebraic variety X .

May assume: $H \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{C}[X]^H = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ is finitely generated.

Definition

Categorical quotient:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X//H = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[X]^H & \xleftarrow{\pi = \pi_H} & X \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{C}^m \ni (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mapsto x \end{array}$$

Idea behind: H -invariant functions on X = those pulled back from $X//H$.

H reductive $\implies X//H$ exists, π surjective, π separates closed orbits + other good properties. False in general!

Categorical quotients

Let a linear algebraic group H act on an affine algebraic variety X .

May assume: $H \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{C}[X]^H = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ is finitely generated.

Definition

Categorical quotient:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X // H = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[X]^H & \xleftarrow{\pi = \pi_H} & X \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbb{C}^m \ni (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \iota x
 \end{array}$$

Idea behind: H -invariant functions on X = those pulled back from $X // H$.

H reductive $\implies X // H$ exists, π surjective, π separates closed orbits + other good properties. False in general!

Categorical quotients

Let a linear algebraic group H act on an affine algebraic variety X .

May assume: $H \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{C}[X]^H = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ is finitely generated.

Definition

Categorical quotient:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X//H = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[X]^H & \xleftarrow{\pi = \pi_H} & X \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{C}^m \ni (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \iota x \end{array}$$

Idea behind: H -invariant functions on X = those pulled back from $X//H$.

H reductive $\implies X//H$ exists, π surjective, π separates closed orbits + other good properties. False in general!

Categorical quotients

Let a linear algebraic group H act on an affine algebraic variety X .

May assume: $H \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{C}[X]^H = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ is finitely generated.

Definition

Categorical quotient:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X // H = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[X]^H & \xleftarrow{\pi = \pi_H} & X \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbb{C}^m \ni (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & x
 \end{array}$$

Idea behind: H -invariant functions on X = those pulled back from $X // H$.

H reductive $\implies X // H$ exists, π surjective, π separates closed orbits + other good properties. False in general!

Categorical quotients

Let a linear algebraic group H act on an affine algebraic variety X .

May assume: $H \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{C}[X]^H = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ is finitely generated.

Definition

Categorical quotient:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X // H = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[X]^H & \xleftarrow{\pi = \pi_H} & X \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbb{C}^m \ni (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & x
 \end{array}$$

Idea behind: H -invariant functions on X = those pulled back from $X // H$.

H reductive $\implies X // H$ exists, π surjective, π separates closed orbits + other good properties. False in general!

Categorical quotients

Let a **linear algebraic group** H act on an **affine algebraic variety** X .

May assume: $H \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{C}[X]^H = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ is finitely generated.

Definition

Categorical quotient:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X // H = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[X]^H & \xleftarrow{\pi = \pi_H} & X \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{C}^m \ni (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & x \end{array}$$

Idea behind: H -invariant functions on X = those pulled back from $X // H$.

H **reductive** $\implies X // H$ exists, π surjective, π separates **closed** orbits + other good properties. **False in general!**

Categorical quotients

Let a linear algebraic group H act on an affine algebraic variety X .

May assume: $H \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{C}[X]^H = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ is finitely generated.

Definition

Categorical quotient:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X // H = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[X]^H & \xleftarrow{\pi = \pi_H} & X \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbb{C}^m \ni (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & x
 \end{array}$$

Idea behind: H -invariant functions on X = those pulled back from $X // H$.

H reductive $\implies X // H$ exists, π surjective, π separates closed orbits + other good properties. False in general!

Example: a bad quotient

Example

$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ acts on $X = \text{Mat}_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{C})$ by left multiplication:

$$X \ni x = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} * & * & * \\ * & \boxed{\begin{array}{cc} * & * \end{array}} & \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{array} \right\} \Delta_{23} \Bigg\} \Delta$$

$$\mathbb{C}[X]^H = \mathbb{C}[x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}, \Delta_{12}, \Delta_{23}, \Delta_{31}, \Delta]$$

$$X//H = \{x_{31}\Delta_{23} + x_{32}\Delta_{31} + x_{33}\Delta_{12} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^7$$

π is non-surjective:

$$(X//H) \setminus \pi(X) = \{x_{31} = x_{32} = x_{33} = 0, \exists \Delta_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ or } \Delta \neq 0\}$$

Example: a bad quotient

Example

$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ acts on $X = \text{Mat}_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{C})$ by left multiplication:

$$X \ni x = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} * & * & * \\ * & \boxed{\begin{array}{cc} * & * \\ x_{31} & x_{32} \end{array}} & * \\ & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{array} \right\} \Delta_{23} \Bigg\} \Delta$$

$$\mathbb{C}[X]^H = \mathbb{C}[x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}, \Delta_{12}, \Delta_{23}, \Delta_{31}, \Delta]$$

$$X//H = \{x_{31}\Delta_{23} + x_{32}\Delta_{31} + x_{33}\Delta_{12} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^7$$

π is non-surjective:

$$(X//H) \setminus \pi(X) = \{x_{31} = x_{32} = x_{33} = 0, \exists \Delta_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ or } \Delta \neq 0\}$$

Example: a bad quotient

Example

$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ acts on $X = \text{Mat}_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{C})$ by left multiplication:

$$X \ni x = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} * & * & * & & & \\ * & * & * & & & \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & & & \end{array} \right\} \Delta_{23} \Bigg\} \Delta$$

$$\mathbb{C}[X]^H = \mathbb{C}[x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}, \Delta_{12}, \Delta_{23}, \Delta_{31}, \Delta]$$

$$X//H = \{x_{31}\Delta_{23} + x_{32}\Delta_{31} + x_{33}\Delta_{12} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^7$$

π is **non-surjective**:

$$(X//H) \setminus \pi(X) = \{x_{31} = x_{32} = x_{33} = 0, \exists \Delta_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ or } \Delta \neq 0\}$$

Transfer Principle

Suppose $H \subseteq G$, a bigger **reductive** group acting on X .

Transfer Principle

$\mathbb{C}[X]^H \simeq \mathbb{C}[G/H \times X]^G \simeq (\mathbb{C}[G/H] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X])^G$
finitely generated whenever $\mathbb{C}[G/H] = \mathbb{C}[G]^H$ is so.

Geometrically: $\exists G // H \implies \exists X // H \simeq (G // H \times X) // G$

Transfer Principle

Suppose $H \subseteq G$, a bigger **reductive** group acting on X .

Transfer Principle

$\mathbb{C}[X]^H \simeq \mathbb{C}[G/H \times X]^G \simeq (\mathbb{C}[G/H] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X])^G$
finitely generated whenever $\mathbb{C}[G/H] = \mathbb{C}[G]^H$ is so.

Geometrically: $\exists G // H \implies \exists X // H \simeq (G // H \times X) // G$

Transfer Principle

Suppose $H \subseteq G$, a bigger **reductive** group acting on X .

Transfer Principle

$\mathbb{C}[X]^H \simeq \mathbb{C}[G/H \times X]^G \simeq (\mathbb{C}[G/H] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X])^G$
finitely generated whenever $\mathbb{C}[G/H] = \mathbb{C}[G]^H$ is so.

Geometrically: $\exists G // H \implies \exists X // H \simeq (G // H \times X) // G$

Transfer Principle

Suppose $H \subseteq G$, a bigger **reductive** group acting on X .

Transfer Principle

$\mathbb{C}[X]^H \simeq \mathbb{C}[G/H \times X]^G \simeq (\mathbb{C}[G/H] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X])^G$
finitely generated whenever $\mathbb{C}[G/H] = \mathbb{C}[G]^H$ is so.

Geometrically: $\exists G // H \implies \exists X // H \simeq (G // H \times X) // G$

Grosshans subgroups

Definition

$H \subseteq G$ is a *Grosshans subgroup* if:

- G/H is quas affine,
- $\mathbb{C}[G/H]$ is finitely generated.

Example

$H = U$, a maximal unipotent subgroup in G .

For instance: $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

Grosshans subgroups

Definition

$H \subseteq G$ is a *Grosshans subgroup* if:

- G/H is quas affine,
- $\mathbb{C}[G/H]$ is finitely generated.

Example

$H = U$, a maximal unipotent subgroup in G .

For instance: $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

Quotients by unipotent subgroups

Observation: X spherical $\implies B/U = T \curvearrowright X//U$ toric $\implies$ finitely many orbits

Replace U with $H \subset U$, $H \triangleleft B$, H Grosshans.

Conjecture (V. L. Popov, K. Pommerening)

$H \subseteq U$ normalized by a maximal torus $T \subseteq B \implies H$ Grosshans.

Question (D. I. Panyushev, 2012)

Does B/H have finitely many orbits on $X//H$?

Counterexample: $X \rightarrow X//H$ may be non-surjective

Quotients by unipotent subgroups

Observation: X spherical $\implies B/U = T \curvearrowright X//U$ toric $\implies$ finitely many orbits

Replace U with $H \subset U$, $H \triangleleft B$, H Grosshans.

Conjecture (V. L. Popov, K. Pommerening)

$H \subseteq U$ normalized by a maximal torus $T \subseteq B \implies H$ Grosshans.

Question (D. I. Panyushev, 2012)

Does B/H have finitely many orbits on $X//H$?

Counterexample: $X \rightarrow X//H$ may be non-surjective

Quotients by unipotent subgroups

Observation: X spherical $\implies B/U = T \curvearrowright X//U$ toric $\implies$ finitely many orbits

Replace U with $H \subset U$, $H \triangleleft B$, H Grosshans.

Conjecture (V. L. Popov, K. Pommerening)

$H \subseteq U$ normalized by a maximal torus $T \subseteq B \implies H$ Grosshans.

Question (D. I. Panyushev, 2012)

Does B/H have finitely many orbits on $X//H$?

Quotients by unipotent subgroups

Observation: X spherical $\implies B/U = T \curvearrowright X//U$ toric $\implies$ finitely many orbits

Replace U with $H \subset U$, $H \triangleleft B$, H **Grosshans**.

Conjecture (V. L. Popov, K. Pommerening)

$H \subseteq U$ normalized by a maximal torus $T \subseteq B \implies H$ Grosshans.

Question (D. I. Panyushev, 2012)

Does B/H have finitely many orbits on $X//H$?

Caution: $\pi : X \rightarrow X//H$ may be non-surjective.

Quotients by unipotent subgroups

Observation: X spherical $\implies B/U = T \curvearrowright X//U$ toric $\implies$ finitely many orbits

Replace U with $H \subset U$, $H \triangleleft B$, H **Grosshans**.

Conjecture (V. L. Popov, K. Pommerening)

$H \subseteq U$ normalized by a maximal torus $T \subseteq B \implies H$ Grosshans.

Question (D. I. Panyushev, 2012)

Does B/H have finitely many orbits on $X//H$?

Caution: $\pi : X \rightarrow X//H$ may be non-surjective.

Quotients by unipotent subgroups

Observation: X spherical $\implies B/U = T \curvearrowright X//U$ toric $\implies$ finitely many orbits

Replace U with $H \subset U$, $H \triangleleft B$, H **Grosshans**.

Conjecture (V. L. Popov, K. Pommerening)

$H \subseteq U$ normalized by a maximal torus $T \subseteq B \implies H$ Grosshans.

Question (D. I. Panyushev, 2012)

Does B/H have finitely many orbits on $X//H$?

Caution: $\pi : X \rightarrow X//H$ may be non-surjective.

Particular cases

Easy cases: (Answer: **yes**)

- $B \subseteq P \subseteq G$, $H =$ unipotent radical of P ;
- $X^G = \{0\}$, $\dim \pi^{-1}(\pi(0)) = \dim H_X$, $x \in X$ general point.

From now on assume: $H = U' = [U, U]$.

Example

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad U' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * & & * \\ & 1 & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Particular cases

Easy cases: (Answer: **yes**)

- $B \subseteq P \subseteq G$, $H =$ unipotent radical of P ;
- $X^G = \{0\}$, $\dim \pi^{-1}(\pi(0)) = \dim H_x$, $x \in X$ general point.

From now on assume: $H = U' = [U, U]$.

Example

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad U' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * & & * \\ & 1 & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Panyushev's conjecture

Conjecture (D. I. Panyushev, 2012)

X affine spherical $\implies B/U'$ has finitely many orbits on $X//U'$.

Theorem

Conjecture is true for any X (with G fixed) $\iff$ all simple factors of G are A_1, B_2, G_2 .

Is the conjecture true under certain restrictions on X ?

Panyushev's conjecture

Conjecture (D. I. Panyushev, 2012)

X affine spherical $\implies B/U'$ has finitely many orbits on $X//U'$.

Theorem

Conjecture is true for any X (with G fixed) $\iff$ all simple factors of G are A_1, B_2, G_2 .

Is the conjecture true under certain restrictions on X ?

Panyushev's conjecture

Conjecture (D. I. Panyushev, 2012)

X affine spherical $\implies B/U'$ has finitely many orbits on $X//U'$.

Theorem

Conjecture is true for any X (with G fixed) $\iff$ all simple factors of G are A_1, B_2, G_2 .

Is the conjecture true under certain restrictions on X ?

Representation theory

- All (algebraic) representations of G are completely reducible.
- $\forall$ irreducible G -module $V \ni$ unique B -stable line $\langle v \rangle \subseteq V$.
- $b \cdot v = \lambda(b)v$, $\forall b \in B$, where $\lambda : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ is the *highest weight*.
- *Weight lattice* $\Lambda \ni \lambda : B = U \rtimes T \rightarrow T \simeq (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$,
 $\lambda(tu) = t_1^{\ell_1} \cdots t_n^{\ell_n}$, $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- *Monoid of dominant weights* $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda \cap C$, $C \subseteq \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ positive Weyl chamber.
- $\{\text{dominant weights}\} = \{\text{highest weights of irreducible } G\text{-modules}\}$
- $V = V(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined by its highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$.
- *May assume w.l.o.g.:* $G = Z \times G'$, Z torus, G' semisimple simply connected $\implies B = Z \times (B \cap G')$, $U \subseteq G'$, $T = Z \times (T \cap G')$,
 $\Lambda_+|_{B \cap G'} = \langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_+}$,
 ω_i are *fundamental weights* $\longleftrightarrow$ nodes of the Dynkin diagram of G .

Representation theory

- All (algebraic) representations of G are completely reducible.
- $\forall$ irreducible G -module $V \ni$ unique B -stable line $\langle v \rangle \subseteq V$.
- $b \cdot v = \lambda(b)v$, $\forall b \in B$, where $\lambda : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ is the *highest weight*.
- *Weight lattice* $\Lambda \ni \lambda : B = U \rtimes T \rightarrow T \simeq (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$,
 $\lambda(tu) = t_1^{\ell_1} \cdots t_n^{\ell_n}$, $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- *Monoid of dominant weights* $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda \cap C$, $C \subseteq \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ positive Weyl chamber.
- $\{\text{dominant weights}\} = \{\text{highest weights of irreducible } G\text{-modules}\}$
- $V = V(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined by its highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$.
- *May assume w.l.o.g.:* $G = Z \times G'$, Z torus, G' semisimple simply connected $\implies B = Z \times (B \cap G')$, $U \subseteq G'$, $T = Z \times (T \cap G')$,
 $\Lambda_+|_{B \cap G'} = \langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_+}$,
 ω_i are *fundamental weights* $\longleftrightarrow$ nodes of the Dynkin diagram of G .

Representation theory

- All (algebraic) representations of G are completely reducible.
- $\forall$ irreducible G -module $V \ni$ unique B -stable line $\langle v \rangle \subseteq V$.
- $b \cdot v = \lambda(b)v$, $\forall b \in B$, where $\lambda : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ is the *highest weight*.
- *Weight lattice* $\Lambda \ni \lambda : B = U \rtimes T \rightarrow T \simeq (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$,
 $\lambda(tu) = t_1^{\ell_1} \cdots t_n^{\ell_n}$, $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- *Monoid of dominant weights* $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda \cap C$, $C \subseteq \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ positive Weyl chamber.
- $\{\text{dominant weights}\} = \{\text{highest weights of irreducible } G\text{-modules}\}$
- $V = V(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined by its highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$.
- May assume w.l.o.g.: $G = Z \times G'$, Z torus, G' semisimple simply connected $\implies B = Z \times (B \cap G')$, $U \subseteq G'$, $T = Z \times (T \cap G')$,
 $\Lambda_+|_{B \cap G'} = \langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_+}$,
 ω_i are *fundamental weights* $\longleftrightarrow$ nodes of the Dynkin diagram of G .

Representation theory

- All (algebraic) representations of G are completely reducible.
- $\forall$ irreducible G -module $V \ni$ unique B -stable line $\langle v \rangle \subseteq V$.
- $b \cdot v = \lambda(b)v$, $\forall b \in B$, where $\lambda : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ is the *highest weight*.
- *Weight lattice* $\Lambda \ni \lambda : B = U \rtimes T \rightarrow T \simeq (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$,
 $\lambda(tu) = t_1^{\ell_1} \cdots t_n^{\ell_n}$, $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- *Monoid of dominant weights* $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda \cap C$, $C \subseteq \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ positive Weyl chamber.
- $\{\text{dominant weights}\} = \{\text{highest weights of irreducible } G\text{-modules}\}$
- $V = V(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined by its highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$.
- *May assume w.l.o.g.:* $G = Z \times G'$, Z torus, G' semisimple simply connected $\implies B = Z \times (B \cap G')$, $U \subseteq G'$, $T = Z \times (T \cap G')$,
 $\Lambda_+|_{B \cap G'} = \langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_+}$,
 ω_i are *fundamental weights* $\longleftrightarrow$ nodes of the Dynkin diagram of G .

Representation theory

- All (algebraic) representations of G are completely reducible.
- $\forall$ irreducible G -module $V \ni$ unique B -stable line $\langle v \rangle \subseteq V$.
- $b \cdot v = \lambda(b)v$, $\forall b \in B$, where $\lambda : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ is the *highest weight*.
- *Weight lattice* $\Lambda \ni \lambda : B = U \rtimes T \rightarrow T \simeq (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$,
 $\lambda(tu) = t_1^{\ell_1} \cdots t_n^{\ell_n}$, $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- *Monoid of dominant weights* $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda \cap C$, $C \subseteq \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ positive Weyl chamber.
- $\{\text{dominant weights}\} = \{\text{highest weights of irreducible } G\text{-modules}\}$
- $V = V(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined by its highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$.
- *May assume w.l.o.g.:* $G = Z \times G'$, Z torus, G' semisimple simply connected $\implies B = Z \times (B \cap G')$, $U \subseteq G'$, $T = Z \times (T \cap G')$,
 $\Lambda_+|_{B \cap G'} = \langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_+}$,
 ω_i are *fundamental weights* $\longleftrightarrow$ nodes of the Dynkin diagram of G .

Representation theory

Example

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad G' = SL_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_n \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

$$\Lambda = \langle \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \rangle \mathbb{Z}, \quad \varepsilon_i(t) = t_i,$$

$$\Lambda_+ = \{ \ell_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \ell_n \varepsilon_n \mid \ell_1 \geq \dots \geq \ell_n \},$$

$$\omega_k = \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

$$V(\omega_k) = \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \text{highest weight vector: } v = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_k.$$

Representation theory

Example

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad G' = SL_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_n \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

$$\Lambda = \langle \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \rangle \mathbb{Z}, \quad \varepsilon_i(t) = t_i,$$

$$\Lambda_+ = \{ \ell_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \ell_n \varepsilon_n \mid \ell_1 \geq \dots \geq \ell_n \},$$

$$\omega_k = \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

$$V(\omega_k) = \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \text{highest weight vector: } v = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_k.$$

Representation theory

Example

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad G' = SL_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_n \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

$$\Lambda = \langle \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \rangle \mathbb{Z}, \quad \varepsilon_i(t) = t_i,$$

$$\Lambda_+ = \{ \ell_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \ell_n \varepsilon_n \mid \ell_1 \geq \dots \geq \ell_n \},$$

$$\omega_k = \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

$$V(\omega_k) = \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \text{highest weight vector: } v = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_k.$$

Positive solution

Definition

Weight monoid $\Lambda_+(X) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_+ \mid V(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]\}$

Theorem

*Suppose $\Lambda_+(X)|_{B \cap G'} \subseteq \langle \omega_{i_1}, \dots, \omega_{i_m} \rangle$, so that i_k and i_l are **not** connected by a **single** edge in the Dynkin diagram, $\forall k, l$.*

Then B/U' acts on $X//U'$ with finitely many orbits.

Example

$X = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})/Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, moduli space of symplectic structures on $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

$\Lambda_+(X)|_{B \cap G'} = \langle \omega_2, \omega_4, \dots, \omega_{2n-2} \rangle \mathbb{Z}$.

Positive solution

Definition

Weight monoid $\Lambda_+(X) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_+ \mid V(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]\}$

Theorem

*Suppose $\Lambda_+(X)|_{B \cap G'} \subseteq \langle \omega_{i_1}, \dots, \omega_{i_m} \rangle$, so that i_k and i_l are **not** connected by a **single** edge in the Dynkin diagram, $\forall k, l$.*

Then B/U' acts on $X//U'$ with finitely many orbits.

Example

$X = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})/Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, moduli space of symplectic structures on $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

$\Lambda_+(X)|_{B \cap G'} = \langle \omega_2, \omega_4, \dots, \omega_{2n-2} \rangle \mathbb{Z}$.

Positive solution

Definition

Weight monoid $\Lambda_+(X) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_+ \mid V(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]\}$

Theorem

*Suppose $\Lambda_+(X)|_{B \cap G'} \subseteq \langle \omega_{i_1}, \dots, \omega_{i_m} \rangle$, so that i_k and i_l are **not** connected by a **single** edge in the Dynkin diagram, $\forall k, l$.*

Then B/U' acts on $X//U'$ with finitely many orbits.

Example

$X = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})/Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, moduli space of symplectic structures on $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

$\Lambda_+(X)|_{B \cap G'} = \langle \omega_2, \omega_4, \dots, \omega_{2n-2} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Ideas of proofs

Main problem: non-surjectivity of $\pi_H : X \rightarrow X//H$.

Solution: use the Transfer Principle.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \hookrightarrow & G//H \times X \\
 \pi_H \downarrow & & \swarrow \pi_G \\
 & & X//H
 \end{array}$$

$G//H \hookleftarrow G/H$, canonical embedding

$B/H \curvearrowright G/H$, $bH * gH = gb^{-1}H \rightsquigarrow B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X$

$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$

Ideas of proofs

Main problem: non-surjectivity of $\pi_H : X \rightarrow X//H$.

Solution: use the Transfer Principle.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \hookrightarrow & G//H \times X \\
 \pi_H \downarrow & & \swarrow \pi_G \\
 & & X//H
 \end{array}$$

$G//H \hookleftarrow G/H$, canonical embedding

$B/H \curvearrowright G/H$, $bH * gH = gb^{-1}H \rightsquigarrow B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X$

$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$

Ideas of proofs

Main problem: non-surjectivity of $\pi_H : X \rightarrow X//H$.

Solution: use the Transfer Principle.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \hookrightarrow & G//H \times X \\
 \pi_H \downarrow & & \swarrow \pi_G \\
 & & X//H
 \end{array}$$

$G//H \hookleftarrow G/H$, canonical embedding

$B/H \curvearrowright G/H$, $bH * gH = gb^{-1}H \rightsquigarrow B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X$

$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$

Ideas of proofs

Main problem: non-surjectivity of $\pi_H : X \rightarrow X//H$.

Solution: use the Transfer Principle.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \hookrightarrow & G//H \times X \\
 \pi_H \downarrow & & \swarrow \pi_G \\
 & & X//H
 \end{array}$$

$G//H \hookleftarrow G/H$, *canonical embedding*

$$B/H \curvearrowright G/H, \quad bH * gH = gb^{-1}H \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X$$

$$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$$

Ideas of proofs

Main problem: non-surjectivity of $\pi_H : X \rightarrow X//H$.

Solution: use the Transfer Principle.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \hookrightarrow & G//H \times X \\
 \pi_H \downarrow & & \swarrow \pi_G \\
 & & X//H
 \end{array}$$

$G//H \hookleftarrow G/H$, *canonical embedding*

$$B/H \curvearrowright G/H, \quad bH * gH = gb^{-1}H \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X$$

$$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$$

Ideas of proofs

Main problem: non-surjectivity of $\pi_H : X \rightarrow X//H$.

Solution: use the Transfer Principle.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \hookrightarrow & G//H \times X \\
 \pi_H \downarrow & & \swarrow \pi_G \\
 & & X//H
 \end{array}$$

$G//H \hookleftarrow G/H$, *canonical embedding*

$$B/H \curvearrowright G/H, \quad bH * gH = gb^{-1}H \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X$$

$$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$$

Ideas of proofs

Main problem: non-surjectivity of $\pi_H : X \rightarrow X//H$.

Solution: use the Transfer Principle.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \hookrightarrow & G//H \times X \\
 \pi_H \downarrow & & \swarrow \pi_G \\
 & & X//H
 \end{array}$$

$G//H \hookleftarrow G/H$, *canonical embedding*

$$B/H \curvearrowright G/H, \quad bH * gH = gb^{-1}H \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X$$

$$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$$

Ideas of proofs

Main problem: non-surjectivity of $\pi_H : X \rightarrow X//H$.

Solution: use the Transfer Principle.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \hookrightarrow & G//H \times X \\
 \pi_H \downarrow & & \swarrow \pi_G \\
 & & X//H
 \end{array}$$

$G//H \hookleftarrow G/H$, *canonical embedding*

$$B/H \curvearrowright G/H, \quad bH * gH = gb^{-1}H \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X$$

$$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$$

Ideas of proofs

$$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$$

$$G//H = \bigsqcup_i \widehat{G} \cdot v_i \implies \mathcal{O} = \bigsqcup_i \mathcal{O}_i,$$

$$\mathcal{O}_i = \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} \curvearrowright \widehat{G}v_i \times X\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{orbits of } N_i \curvearrowright X\},$$

$$\widehat{G}_{v_i} \twoheadrightarrow N_i \subseteq G.$$

Crucial issue: examine the structure of $G//H$.

Can be done efficiently for $H = U'$.

Ideas of proofs

$$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$$

$$G//H = \bigsqcup_i \widehat{G} \cdot v_i \implies \mathcal{O} = \bigsqcup_i \mathcal{O}_i,$$

$$\mathcal{O}_i = \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} \curvearrowright \widehat{G}v_i \times X\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{orbits of } N_i \curvearrowright X\},$$

$$\widehat{G}_{v_i} \twoheadrightarrow N_i \subseteq G.$$

Crucial issue: examine the structure of $G//H$.

Can be done efficiently for $H = U'$.

Ideas of proofs

$$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$$

$$G//H = \bigsqcup_i \widehat{G} \cdot v_i \implies \mathcal{O} = \bigsqcup_i \mathcal{O}_i,$$

$$\mathcal{O}_i = \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} \curvearrowright \widehat{G}v_i \times X\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{orbits of } N_i \curvearrowright X\},$$

$$\widehat{G}_{v_i} \twoheadrightarrow N_i \subseteq G.$$

Crucial issue: examine the structure of $G//H$.

Can be done efficiently for $H = U'$.

Ideas of proofs

$$\{\text{orbits of } B/H \curvearrowright X//H\} \leftarrow \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} = G \times B/H \curvearrowright G//H \times X\} = \mathcal{O}$$

$$G//H = \bigsqcup_i \widehat{G} \cdot v_i \implies \mathcal{O} = \bigsqcup_i \mathcal{O}_i,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_i = \{\text{orbits of } \widehat{G} \curvearrowright \widehat{G}v_i \times X\} &\longleftrightarrow \{\text{orbits of } N_i \curvearrowright X\}, \\ \widehat{G}_{v_i} \twoheadrightarrow N_i &\subseteq G. \end{aligned}$$

Crucial issue: examine the structure of $G//H$.

Can be done efficiently for $H = U'$.

Reference



D. A. Timashev,

On quotients of affine spherical varieties by unipotent subgroups,
University of Bielefeld, CRC 701, Preprint no. 13015, 2013,

<http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/sfb701/preprints/>.