

# Equivariant cohomology and syzygies

Matthias Franz

University of Western Ontario

Moscow, June 2013

(mostly joint work with Chris Allday and Volker Puppe)

# Equivariant cohomology

$T = (S^1)^r$  compact torus,  $X$  smooth  $T$ -manifold,  $\dim H^*(X) < \infty$ .

$A = H^*(BT) = \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_r]$  with  $\deg(t_i) = 2$ .

**Equivariant cohomology:**  $H_T^*(X) = H^*(\Omega_T^*(X))$

**Cartan model:**  $\Omega_T^*(X) = \Omega^*(X)^T \otimes A$  with twisted differential,

$$d(\gamma \otimes f) = d\gamma \otimes f + \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{\xi_i} \gamma \otimes t_i f.$$

Here  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r$  are generating vector fields.

$H_T^*(X)$  is a f. g.  $A$ -module (even an  $A$ -algebra),  
and  $\Omega_T^*(X)$  is a dg- $A$  module (even a dg- $A$  algebra).

## Two exact sequences

**Chang–Skjelbred sequence** (1974):  $H_T^*(X)$  free /  $A \implies$

$$0 \rightarrow H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(X^T) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X^T)$$

is exact, where  $X_1 =$  union of orbits of dimension  $\leq 1$ .

This is an efficient way to compute  $H_T^*(X)$ , in particular if  $X^T$  is finite and  $X_1$  a union of 2-spheres (“GKM method” 1998).

## Two exact sequences

**Chang–Skjelbred sequence** (1974):  $H_T^*(X)$  free /  $A \implies$

$$0 \rightarrow H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(X^T) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X^T)$$

is exact, where  $X_1 =$  union of orbits of dimension  $\leq 1$ .

This is an efficient way to compute  $H_T^*(X)$ , in particular if  $X^T$  is finite and  $X_1$  a union of 2-spheres (“GKM method” 1998).

**Atiyah–Bredon sequence** (1974):  $H_T^*(X)$  free /  $A \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+2}(X_2, X_1) \rightarrow \\ \cdots \rightarrow H_T^{*+r-1}(X_{r-1}, X_{r-2}) \rightarrow H_T^{*+r}(X_r, X_{r-1}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact, where  $X_i =$  union of orbits of dimension  $\leq i$ .

## Two exact sequences

**Chang–Skjelbred sequence** (1974):  $H_T^*(X)$  free /  $A \implies$

$$0 \rightarrow H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(X^T) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X^T)$$

is exact, where  $X_1 =$  union of orbits of dimension  $\leq 1$ .

This is an efficient way to compute  $H_T^*(X)$ , in particular if  $X^T$  is finite and  $X_1$  a union of 2-spheres (“GKM method” 1998).

**Atiyah–Bredon sequence** (1974):  $H_T^*(X)$  free /  $A \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+2}(X_2, X_1) \rightarrow \\ \cdots \rightarrow H_T^{*+r-1}(X_{r-1}, X_{r-2}) \rightarrow H_T^{*+r}(X_r, X_{r-1}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact, where  $X_i =$  union of orbits of dimension  $\leq i$ .

The CS / GKM method only uses a small part of this sequence!

# Equivariant homology

(cf. Jones, Brylinski, Edidin–Graham, ...)

Defined via the  $A$ -dual of the Cartan model:

$$H_*^T(X) = H_*(\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_T^*(X), A))$$

This is not the homology of the Borel construction!

Universal coefficient theorem:

$$E_2 = \mathrm{Ext}_A^*(H_*^T(X), A) \Rightarrow H_T^*(X)$$

Poincaré duality:  $X$  compact orientable  $\implies$

$$H_T^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cap o_T} H_*^T(X) \quad \text{iso of } A\text{-modules}$$

where  $o_T$  is the equivariant lift of the orientation.

# The cohomology of the AB sequence

$H^*(AB^*(X)) =$  cohomology of the complex of  $A$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} H_T^*(X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+2}(X_2, X_1) \rightarrow \\ \cdots \rightarrow H_T^{*+r-1}(X_{r-1}, X_{r-2}) \rightarrow H_T^{*+r}(X_r, X_{r-1}) \end{aligned}$$

# The cohomology of the AB sequence

$H^*(AB^*(X)) =$  cohomology of the complex of  $A$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} H_T^*(X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+2}(X_2, X_1) \rightarrow \\ \cdots \rightarrow H_T^{*+r-1}(X_{r-1}, X_{r-2}) \rightarrow H_T^{*+r}(X_r, X_{r-1}) \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem

$$H^i(AB^*(X)) = \operatorname{Ext}_A^i(H_*^T(X), A) \quad \text{for any } i \geq 0$$



# Syzygies

$M$  f. g.  $A$ -module

$M$   **$j$ -th syzygy**:  $\exists F_1, \dots, F_j$  f. g. free  $A$ -modules such that

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_j \quad \text{exact.}$$

Syzygies interpolate between torsion-freeness and freeness:

first syzygy = torsion-free

second syzygy = reflexive

$\vdots$

$r$ -th syzygy = free

$(r + 1)$ -st syzygy = free

$\vdots$

# Partial exactness

## Theorem

*Let  $j \geq 0$ . The AB sequence is exact at all positions  $i \leq j - 2$   
 $\iff H_T^*(X)$  is a  $j$ -th syzygy.*

This includes Atiyah–Bredon's result and its converse.

## Corollary

*The CS sequence is exact  $\iff H_T^*(X)$  is reflexive*

# Partial exactness

## Theorem

Let  $j \geq 0$ . The AB sequence is exact at all positions  $i \leq j - 2$   
 $\iff H_T^*(X)$  is a  $j$ -th syzygy.

This includes Atiyah–Bredon's result and its converse.

## Corollary

The CS sequence is exact  $\iff H_T^*(X)$  is reflexive

## Example

$X_P$  toric manifold,  $x \neq y \in X^T = \text{vertices of } P$ ,  $Y = X_P \setminus \{x, y\}$   
Then  $H_T^*(Y)$  is a syzygy of order exactly  $\dim Q - 1$ , where  $Q$  is the supporting face of  $v$  and  $v'$

# Consequences for Poincaré duality spaces

## Corollary

*The CS sequence is exact  $\iff$  the equivariant Poincaré pairing*

$$H_T^*(X) \times H_T^*(X) \rightarrow A, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha \cup \beta, o_T \rangle$$

*is perfect.*

This answers a point raised by Guillemin–Ginzburg–Karshon.

# Consequences for Poincaré duality spaces

## Corollary

*The CS sequence is exact  $\iff$  the equivariant Poincaré pairing*

$$H_T^*(X) \times H_T^*(X) \rightarrow A, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha \cup \beta, o_T \rangle$$

*is perfect.*

This answers a point raised by Guillemin–Ginzburg–Karshon.

## Corollary

*If  $H_T^*(X)$  is a syzygy of order  $\geq r/2$ , then it is free over  $R$ .*

In other words:

$r \leq 2$ :  $H_T^*(X)$  torsion-free  $\Rightarrow$  free (Allday 1985)

$r \leq 4$ :  $H_T^*(X)$  reflexive  $\Rightarrow$  free

etc.

# A geometric criterion for syzygies

## Assumption

$T$ -action on  $X$  effective with connected isotropy groups  
(enough: locally effective action on locally orientable  $T$ -orbifold)

$X_{r-1} = \text{union of } X^K \text{ for some "characteristic circles" } K \subset T.$

$T$ -action is *regular* if  $\text{codim } X^K = 2$  for all such  $K$ .

Otherwise one can blow up the  $X^K$  to get a regular  $T$ -mf  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Note:** In general,  $\tilde{X}$  is an orbifold, even if  $X$  is a manifold.

## Proposition

$H_T^*(X)$   $j$ -th syzygy  $\iff H_T^*(\tilde{X})$   $j$ -th syzygy

So enough to consider regular  $T$ -actions.

## A geometric criterion for syzygies: regular actions

$T$  acts regularly  $\Rightarrow X/T$  is a manifold with corners

For a face  $P$  of  $X/T$ , consider the complex

$$B^i(P) = \bigoplus_{\substack{Q \subset P \\ \text{rank } Q = i}} H_*(Q)$$

where  $\text{rank } Q = \text{dimension of } T\text{-orbits over interior of } Q$ .

The differential is induced by the inclusions of facets.

## A geometric criterion for syzygies: regular actions

$T$  acts regularly  $\Rightarrow X/T$  is a manifold with corners

For a face  $P$  of  $X/T$ , consider the complex

$$B^i(P) = \bigoplus_{\substack{Q \subset P \\ \text{rank } Q = i}} H_*(Q)$$

where  $\text{rank } Q = \text{dimension of } T\text{-orbits over interior of } Q$ .

The differential is induced by the inclusions of facets.

### Theorem

$H_T^*(X)$   $j$ -th syzygy  $\iff$   
 $H^i(B^*(P)) = 0$  for all  $P$  and all  $i > \max(\text{rank } P - j, 0)$

This generalizes criteria for torsion-freeness and freeness due to Barthel–Brasselet–Fieseler–Kaup (2002), Masuda–Panov (2006), Masuda (2006), Goertsches–Rollenske (2011).



## A special case

### Additional assumption

$X$  compact orientable and  $\dim X = 2r$

(toric manifolds, quasi-toric manifolds, torus manifolds, ...)

### Corollary

$H_T^*(X)$  *torsion-free*  $\iff H_T^*(X)$  *free*

## A special case

### Additional assumption

$X$  compact orientable and  $\dim X = 2r$

(toric manifolds, quasi-toric manifolds, torus manifolds, ...)

### Corollary

$H_T^*(X)$  *torsion-free*  $\iff H_T^*(X)$  *free*

This is not true for  $\dim X > 2r$ .

# Intersections of quadrics

Take  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}$  such that 0 is in their convex hull, but not on any line segment joining two  $\lambda_i$ 's.

Define the compact orientable mf  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+2}$  by

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i |z_i|^2 + u^2 + v^2 = 0,$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^r |z_i|^2 + |u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1.$$

$\dim X = 2r + 1$ ,  $T = (S^1)^r$  acts by rotating the  $z_i$ 's.

## Proposition

$H_T^*(X)$  is torsion-free, but not reflexive (hence not free).

The proof uses the geometric criterion and recent results of Gómez Gutiérrez and López de Medrano.

# References



C. Allday, M. Franz, V. Puppe

Equivariant cohomology, syzygies and orbit structure

[arXiv:1111.0957](#), to appear in *Trans. AMS*



M. Franz

A geometric criterion for syzygies in equivariant cohomology

[arXiv:1205.4462](#)



C. Allday, M. Franz, V. Puppe

Equivariant Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality and the  
Cohen–Macaulay property

[arXiv:1303.1146](#)

# References



C. Allday, M. Franz, V. Puppe

Equivariant cohomology, syzygies and orbit structure

[arXiv:1111.0957](#), to appear in *Trans. AMS*



M. Franz

A geometric criterion for syzygies in equivariant cohomology

[arXiv:1205.4462](#)



C. Allday, M. Franz, V. Puppe

Equivariant Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality and the  
Cohen–Macaulay property

[arXiv:1303.1146](#)

## Thanks.