



Math-Net.Ru

All Russian mathematical portal

V. I. Stepanov, Second-order arithmetic and the consistency of first-order theories, *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 1982, Volume 37, Issue 4, 139–140

DOI: 10.1070/RM1982v037n04ABEH003977

Use of the all-Russian mathematical portal Math-Net.Ru implies that you have read and agreed to these terms of use
<http://www.mathnet.ru/eng/agreement>

Download details:

IP: 18.97.14.91

February 15, 2025, 00:48:23



Second-order arithmetic and the consistency of first-order theories

V.I. Stepanov

It is known that the proposition con_{PA} expressing the consistency of the Peano first-order arithmetic PA cannot be proved within PA, but can be proved in the second-order arithmetic \mathbf{A}_2 [1], [2].

In the present note we show that an analogue of Gödel's second incompleteness theorem holds for theories given by the set of non-logical axioms of any level of the Kleene-Mostowski arithmetical hierarchy [2]. Then the propositions expressing the consistency of the corresponding theories are provable in \mathbf{A}_2 .

Let L be the language of the first-order arithmetic PA [2], [3]. A fixed Gödel numbering of L is assumed, and the symbol $\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner$ denotes the numeral corresponding to the number of the formula φ . The terms imp and Sub have the usual meaning: for any formulae φ, ψ and any $n \in \omega$ the formulae $\text{imp}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner, \ulcorner \psi \urcorner) = \ulcorner \varphi \rightarrow \psi \urcorner$ and $\text{Sub}(\ulcorner \varphi(x) \urcorner, \bar{n}) = \ulcorner \varphi(\bar{n}) \urcorner$ are provable in PA. Following [3], [4], we write $\ulcorner \varphi(x) \urcorner$ instead of $\text{Sub}(\ulcorner \varphi(x) \urcorner, x)$.

For any $n > 0$, as non-logical axioms of the theory $T(n)$ we take the set of Π_n^0 -propositions of the language L that are true in the standard interpretation $(\omega, +, \cdot)$ and the axioms of PA [3], [4]. As is known [4], [1], there exists a Π_n^0 -formula $\text{Tr}_n(x)$ such that for any Π_n^0 -formula $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k)$

$$(1) \quad \text{PA} \vdash \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) \leftrightarrow \text{Tr}_n(\ulcorner \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) \urcorner).$$

The predicate of provability for $T(n)$ can be given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(x, y) &\equiv \text{Seg}(x) \ \& \ \forall u \leq \text{lh}(x) (L A x_{\text{PA}}((x)_u) \vee \\ &\quad \vee A x_{\text{PA}}((x)_u) \vee \text{Tr}_n((x)_u) \vee \exists z, v < u ((x)_z = \\ &\quad = \text{imp}((x)_v, (x)_u) \vee (x)_u = \text{Gen}((x)_z) \ \& \ \text{Form}((x)_u) \ \& \ y = (x)_{\text{lh}}(x), \\ \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(y) &\equiv \exists x \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(x, y), \quad \text{con}_{T(n)} \equiv \neg \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \bar{0} = \bar{1} \urcorner), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Seg}, L A x_{\text{PA}}, A x_{\text{PA}}, \text{Form}, \text{imp}, \text{Gen}, \text{lh}$, and $(x)_u$ are the corresponding primitive recursive formulae and terms [3], [4].

Theorem 1. For any formulae φ, ψ the following relations hold:

- 1) $T(n) \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow T(n) \vdash \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner),$
- 2) $\text{PA} \vdash \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner) \ \& \ \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \varphi \rightarrow \psi \urcorner) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \psi \urcorner),$
- 3) $\text{PA} \vdash \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner) \urcorner),$
- 4) $T(n) \not\vdash \text{con}_{T(n)}.$

Proof. 1) If $T \vdash \varphi$, then $(\omega, +, \cdot) \models \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner)$ by the definition of $\text{Pr}_{T(n)}(y)$. Since $\text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner)$ is equivalent in PA to a \sum_{n+1} -proposition, it follows that $\text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner)$ is provable in $T(n)$.

2) This follows from Theorem 4.6 of [3].

3) Using (1), for the Π_n^0 -formula $\varphi(y)$ we have

$$\text{PA} \vdash \varphi(y) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \varphi(y) \urcorner).$$

Hence

$$\text{PA} \vdash \exists y \varphi(y) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \exists y \varphi(y) \urcorner).$$

4) This is proved as in the classical case, using 1)-3).

In the language of second-order arithmetic \mathbf{A}_2 there is a formula $\text{Tr}(x)$ (a true formula of PA) such that for any formula φ of L

$$\mathbf{A}_2 \vdash \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) \leftrightarrow \text{Tr}(\ulcorner \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) \urcorner).$$

Taking into account the fact that in A_2 the formula

$$\text{Tr}_n(x) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(x)$$

is provable, by analogy with [1] we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma.

$$A_2 \vdash \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(x) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(x).$$

Using this Lemma, we obtain

$$A_2 \vdash \neg \text{Tr}(\ulcorner \bar{0} = \bar{1} \urcorner) \rightarrow \neg \text{Pr}_{T(n)}(\ulcorner \bar{0} = \bar{1} \urcorner).$$

Hence, $A_2 \vdash \text{con}_{T(n)}$.

Thus, the following result is valid.

Theorem 2. For any $n > 0$

$$A_2 \vdash \text{con}_{T(n)}.$$

References

- [1] G. Takeuti, Proof theory, North Holland, Amsterdam 1975. MR 58 # 27366a.
Translation: *Teoriya dokazatel'stv*, Mir, Moscow 1978. MR 58 # 27366b.
- [2] J. Schoenfield, Mathematical logic, London 1967.
Translation: *Matematicheskaya logika*, Nauka, Moscow 1975.
- [3] S. Feferman, Arithmetization of metamathematics in a general setting, *Fund. Math.* 49 (1960), 35-92. MR 26 # 4913.
- [4] Handbook of mathematical logic, North Holland, Amsterdam 1977.
- [5] K. Gödel, Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der Principia Mathematica und verwandter Systeme. I, *Monatsh. Math. Phys.* 38 (1931), 173-198.

Moscow State University

Received by the Editors 16 April 1982