

## ON SOME CLASSES OF RANDOM VARIABLES ON CYCLES OF PERMUTATIONS

UDC 519.2

JU. V. BOLOTNIKOV, V. N. SAČKOV AND V. E. TARAKANOV

ABSTRACT. In this paper the case of a nonuniform distribution on the set  $S_n$  of all permutations of degree  $n$  is investigated.

Bibliography: 7 titles.

### §1. Introduction

Limit distributions of random variables depending on the number of cycles of a certain length in a permutation of degree  $n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  have been studied by various authors. Gončarov [1] showed that the general number of cycles of a random permutation of degree  $n$  is asymptotically normal if all permutations are equiprobable. In later work (see, for example, [2]–[5]), on the one hand, more general random variables were considered (arbitrary linear combinations of numbers of cycles of a specific length), and on the other hand, the requirement that all permutations be equiprobable was removed.

In the present work we also study the case of a nonuniform distribution on the set  $S_n$  of all permutations of degree  $n$ . Suppose  $\{m_1, \dots, m_a\}$  is a finite set of natural numbers, each of which is greater than one and is not divisible by any of the others. For  $a > 3$  we assume that these numbers are relatively prime. From  $S_n$  we extract the following sets:  $S_n^{(1)}$  is the collection of all permutations of degree  $n$  whose cycle lengths are not multiples of any of the numbers  $m_1, \dots, m_a$ ;  $S_n^{(2)}$  is the collection of all permutations of degree  $n$  for which, on the contrary, the length of every cycle is a multiple of one of the numbers  $m_1, \dots, m_a$ ;  $S_n(m, \beta)$  is the collection of permutations of degree  $n$  whose cycle lengths are equal to  $\beta$  modulo  $m$ . Below we assume that on each of these sets a permutation is assigned a uniform distribution. Suppose  $\alpha_k^{(1)}$ ,  $\alpha_k^{(2)}$  and  $\alpha_k^{(3)}$  are the numbers of cycles of length  $k$  in a random permutation from  $S_n^{(1)}$ ,  $S_n^{(2)}$  and  $S_n(m, \beta)$  respectively.

Let

$$\xi_s^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^s c_k \alpha_k^{(1)}, \quad \xi_s^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^s c_k \alpha_k^{(2)}, \quad (1)$$

where  $c_k$  is a real number and  $s = s(n)$  is a function taking on positive integer values. We assume that also  $s \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_s = 1$  the variable  $\xi_s^{(1)}$  ( $\xi_s^{(2)}$ , respectively) is equal to the number of all cycles in a random permutation from  $S_n^{(1)}$  ( $S_n^{(2)}$ ) whose lengths do not exceed  $s$ .

Further, let

$$\xi_n(m, \beta) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^{(3)}.$$

In §2 we provide expressions for the generating functions of the variables  $\xi_s^{(1)}$  and  $\xi_s^{(2)}$ . In §3 we prove asymptotic normality of  $\xi_s^{(1)}$  and  $\xi_s^{(2)}$  for  $s = O(n^{1-\alpha})$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and under certain restrictions on the sequence  $\{c_k\}$ . In §4 limit distributions are found for the variable  $\xi_n(m, \beta)$  with  $\beta = m$  and  $\beta = m/2$  and various relations between  $n$  and  $m$ .

**§2. Generating functions of the variables  $\xi_s^{(1)}$  and  $\xi_s^{(2)}$**

Suppose that on the sets  $S_n^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we are given a uniform probability distribution. The number of permutations from  $S_n^{(i)}$  having  $k_1$  unit cycles,  $\dots$ ,  $k_s$  cycles of length  $s$  is denoted by  $M_n^{(i)}(k_1, \dots, k_s)$ . The number of permutations from  $S_n$  with cyclic structure  $(k_1, \dots, k_n)$  is denoted by  $M_n(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ . The exponential generating function of the numbers  $M_n(k_1, \dots, k_n)$  has the form (see, for example, [6], Chapter 4, §2, formula (3a))

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \widetilde{\sum} M_n(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_n^{k_n} = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \frac{z^k}{k} \right\}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\widetilde{\sum}$  denotes summation over all possible collections of nonnegative numbers  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  for which  $k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + nk_n = n$ . Let

$$f_{n,s}^{(i)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) = \widetilde{\sum} M_n^{(i)}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s) \cdot x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_s^{k_s}, \tag{3}$$

$$F_s^{(i)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n,s}^{(i)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) \cdot \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{4}$$

The function  $F_s^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_s, z)$  can be obtained from (2) if we set  $x_{km_i} = 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, a$ ) and let  $x_j = 1$  for  $j > s$ ,  $j \neq km_i$ . The function  $F_s^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_s, z)$  can be obtained from (2) if we set  $x_j = 0$  ( $j \neq km_i$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, a$ ) and  $x_{km_i} = 1$  ( $km_i > s$ ).

For simplicity of presentation we carry out a detailed derivation of the generating functions for  $a = 2$ . In this case

$$F_s^{(1)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^s x_k \frac{z^k}{k} + \sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} x_k \frac{z^k}{k} \right\},$$

$$F_s^{(2)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^s x_k \frac{z^k}{k} + \sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} x_k \frac{z^k}{k} \right\},$$

where  $\sum_{k=a}^b *$  denotes summation over all values of  $k$  in the interval  $[a, b]$  which are not multiples of either  $m_1$  or  $m_2$  (in the general case, none of the numbers  $m_1, \dots, m_a$ );  $\sum_{k=a}^b **$ , on the contrary, denotes summation over all values of  $k$  from  $[a, b]$  which are multiples of either  $m_1$  or  $m_2$  (in the general case, at least one of  $m_1, \dots, m_a$ ). We form an expression for  $F_s^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_s, z)$ :

$$F_s^{(2)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^s ** (x_k - 1) \frac{z^k}{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ** \frac{z^k}{k} \right\}.$$

Suppose  $m$  is the greatest common divisor of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ,  $m_1 = m\bar{m}_1$ ,  $m_2 = m\bar{m}_2$  and  $M = m\bar{m}_1\bar{m}_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k} &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{rm_1}}{rm_1} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{rm_2}}{rm_2} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{rM}}{rM} \\ &= -\frac{1}{m_1} \ln(1 - z^{m_1}) - \frac{1}{m_2} \ln(1 - z^{m_2}) + \frac{1}{M} \ln(1 - z^M). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain

$$F_s^{(2)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z) = \sqrt[M]{\frac{1 - z^M}{(1 - z^{m_1})^{\bar{m}_2} (1 - z^{m_2})^{\bar{m}_1}}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{z^k}{k} (x_k - 1) \right\}. \quad (5)$$

Analogously

$$F_s^{(1)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} \sqrt[M]{\frac{(1 - z^{m_1})^{\bar{m}_2} (1 - z^{m_2})^{\bar{m}_1}}{1 - z^M}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{z^k}{k} (x_k - 1) \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Now it is easy to obtain the generating functions for the variables  $\xi_s^{(1)}$  and  $\xi_s^{(2)}$  defined in (1). We denote these generating functions by  $g_{n,s}^{(i)}(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . It is clear that

$$g_{n,s}^{(i)}(x) = \frac{1}{M_n^{(i)}} f_{n,s}^{(i)}(x^{c_1}, x^{c_2}, \dots, x^{c_s}),$$

where  $M_n^{(i)} = f_{n,s}^{(i)}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$  is the cardinality of the set  $S_n^{(i)}$ . From (4) it is evident that  $g_{n,s}^{(i)}(x)$  is equal to the ratio of the coefficients of  $z^n$  in the expansion in powers of  $z$  of the function  $G_s^{(i)}(x, z) = F_s^{(i)}(x^{c_1}, \dots, x^{c_s}, z)$  and  $G_s^{(i)}(1, z)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Taking account of (5) and (6), we have

$$G_s^{(1)}(x, z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} \sqrt[M]{\frac{(1 - z^{m_1})^{\bar{m}_2} (1 - z^{m_2})^{\bar{m}_1}}{1 - z^M}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^s (x^{c_k} - 1) \frac{z^k}{k} \right\}, \quad (7)$$

$$G_s^{(2)}(x, z) = \sqrt[M]{\frac{1 - z^M}{(1 - z^{m_1})^{\bar{m}_2} (1 - z^{m_2})^{\bar{m}_1}}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^s (x^{c_k} - 1) \frac{z^k}{k} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

For arbitrary  $a$ , quite analogously we obtain the following expressions for  $G_s^{(1)}$  and  $G_s^{(2)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &G_s^{(1)}(x, z; a) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - z} \left[ \prod_{t=1}^a \prod_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t} (1 - z^{m^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_t}})^{(-1)^{t+1} M/m^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_t}} \right]^{1/M} \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^s (x^{c_k} - 1) \frac{z^k}{k} \right\}, \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &G_s^{(2)}(x, z; a) \\ &= \left[ \prod_{t=1}^a \prod_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t} (1 - z)^{m^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_t} (-1)^t M/m^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_t}} \right]^{1/M} \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^s (x^{c_k} - 1) \frac{z^k}{k} \right\}, \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

where  $m^{i_1 \dots i_t}$  is the least common multiple of the numbers  $m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_t}$ ;  $M = m^{12 \dots a}$ .

### §3. Asymptotic normality of the variables $\xi_s^{(a)}$ and $\xi_s^{(2)}$

Let

$$a_s = \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{c_k}{k}, \quad d_s^2 = \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{c_k^2}{k}.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose the following conditions are satisfied as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :*

1)  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $s \leq Cn^{1-\alpha}$ ,  $C$  a constant,  $\alpha > 0$ .

2)  $d_s^2 \rightarrow \infty$ .

3)  $c_k/d_s \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly with respect to  $k$ .

*Then the distribution of the random variable  $\theta_s^{(1)} = (\xi_s^{(1)} - a_s)/d_s$  converges to a normal (0, 1) distribution.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $A_s^{(1)}(t)$  is the characteristic function of the variable  $\theta_s^{(1)}$ . It can easily be expressed in terms of the generating function  $g_{n,s}^{(1)}(x)$ :

$$A_s^{(1)}(t) = e^{-it a_s/d_s} g_{n,s}^{(1)}(e^{it/d_s}). \quad (11)$$

As already noted,  $g_{n,s}^{(1)}(x)$  is equal to the ratio of the coefficients of  $z^n$  in the expansion in powers of  $z$  of the functions  $G_s^{(1)}(x, z; a)$  and  $G_s^{(1)}(1, z; a)$ . We proceed with the study of the asymptotics of these coefficients for  $x = e^{it/d_s}$ . We denote them by  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  respectively.

We show that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$A_n \sim \rho \frac{\varphi_s(1)}{\Gamma(\delta) n^{1-\delta}}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\rho$  is a constant,  $\Gamma(\delta)$  is the gamma function,

$$\varphi_s(z) = \exp\{P_s(z)\}, \quad P_s(z) = \sum_{k=1}^s (x^{c_k} - 1) \frac{z^k}{k}, \quad (13)$$

$$\delta = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{1}{m_i} + \sum_{i_1 < i_2} \frac{1}{m^{i_1 i_2}} - \dots + (-1)^a \frac{1}{M}$$

(recall that  $m^{i_1 \dots i_k}$  and  $M$  denote the least common multiples of the numbers  $m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_k}$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_a$  respectively). It is easy to show that  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Indeed,  $\delta M$  is the number of integers in  $(1, M)$  which cannot be divided by any of the numbers  $m_1, \dots, m_a$ .

We write  $A_n$  according to the Cauchy formula:

$$A_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{G_s^{(1)}(x, z; a)}{z^{n+1}} dz.$$

The function  $G_s^{(1)}(x, z; a)$  can be represented in the following form:

$$G_s^{(1)}(x, z; a) = \sqrt[M]{\prod_{k=1}^M (1 - z \zeta^{-k})^{\beta_k}} \cdot \varphi_s(z), \quad (14)$$

where  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $M$ th root of unity, and  $\beta_k$  are integers with  $\beta_M = -\delta M$ . Let

$$h(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{M-1} (1 - z \zeta^{-k})^{\beta_k};$$

it is clear that  $R(z)$  is a rational function with integer coefficients. In this notation

$$A_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\gamma}^{\gamma} (1-z)^{-\delta} \frac{\Phi_s(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz.$$

Over the contour of integration  $\gamma$  we take a circumference of variable radius  $r_n > 1$  with  $M$  cuts along the radial rays from  $z = \zeta^k$  to  $z = r_n \zeta^k$ . The points  $\zeta^k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, M$ , can be included in the contour of integration, since the singularities of the integrand are determined by the powers of the binomials  $(1 - z\zeta^{-k})^{\beta_k/M}$ , and  $\beta_k/M > -1$  for all  $k$ , which will be shown below. The circuit of the contour is taken counterclockwise. The function being integrated is  $M$ -valued. We choose that branch for which, when  $0 < z < 1$ , both  $(1-z)^{-\delta}$  and  $[R(z)]^{1/M}$  take on positive values. We represent  $A_n$  in the form of a sum of  $M+1$  integrals:

$$A_n = I_0 + I_1 + \dots + I_M, \quad (15)$$

where

$$I_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r_n} \frac{G_s^{(1)}(x, z; a)}{z^{n+1}} dz,$$

and  $I_k$  is the result of integration along both edges of the  $k$ th cut.

First consider  $I_M$ , the integral along the lower and upper edges of the cut on the real line (from 1 to  $r_n$ ). Since  $(1-z)^{-\delta} > 0$  for  $0 < z < 1$  (on the strength of the choice of the branch of the function under the integral), for  $z > 1$  we have

$$(1-z)^{-\delta} = (z-1)^{-\delta} e^{-i\delta \arg(1-z)}.$$

In passing from the point  $1 - \epsilon$  to the point  $1 + \epsilon$  on the upper edge of a cut, the argument of the function under the integral decreases by  $\pi$ , while in passing from this point on the lower edge of the cut, also to the point  $1 + \epsilon$ , the argument increases by  $\pi$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_M &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{r_n}^1 (z-1)^{-\delta} e^{-i\delta\pi} [R(z)]^{1/M} \frac{\Phi_s(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_1^{r_n} (z-1)^{-\delta} e^{i\delta\pi} [R(z)]^{1/M} \frac{\Phi_s(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz \\ &= \frac{\sin(-\delta\pi)}{\pi} \int_{r_n}^1 (y-1)^{-\delta} [R(y)]^{1/M} \frac{\Phi_s(y)}{y^{n+1}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously it can be shown that

$$I_k = \frac{\sin(\delta_k\pi)}{\pi} \int_{r_n \zeta^k}^{\zeta^k} |(1 - z\zeta^{-k})^{\delta_k}| [R_k(z)]^{1/M} \frac{\Phi_s(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz,$$

where

$$\delta_k = \frac{\beta_k}{M}, \quad R_k(z) = \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^M (1 - z\zeta^{-i})^{\beta_i}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, M-1.$$

We proceed with estimation of these integrals.

1. In  $I_M$  we set  $r_n = 1 + \varepsilon_n$ ,  $\varepsilon_n = (2^{\alpha-1} + 1) \ln n/n$ , and make the change of variable  $y = 1 + u/n$ . Then

$$I_M = \frac{\sin \delta \pi}{\pi n^{1-\delta}} \int_0^{n\varepsilon_n} \frac{[R(1 + \frac{u}{n})]^{1/M} \varphi_s(1 + \frac{u}{n})}{u^\delta (1 + \frac{u}{n})^{n+1}} du.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\left[ R\left(1 + \frac{u}{n}\right) \right]^{1/M} = [R(1)]^{1/M} (1 + o(1)).$$

The function  $\varphi_s(1 + u/n)$  can be represented in the following form:

$$\varphi_s\left(1 + \frac{u}{n}\right) = \varphi_s(1) + \varphi'_s(1 + \omega) \frac{u}{n} = \varphi_s(1) [1 + q_n],$$

where

$$q_n = \frac{\varphi'_s(1 + \omega)}{\varphi_s(1)} \frac{u}{n}, \quad 0 < \omega < \frac{u}{n} \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

Since by the hypothesis of the theorem the variables  $c_k/d_s$  vanish uniformly with respect to  $k$  and  $s = o(n)$ , for  $x = e^{u/d}$  we have

$$(1 + \varepsilon_n)^s \sim 1, \quad |x^{c_k} - 1| \sim t \left| \frac{c_k}{d_s} \right| \leq t \lambda_s, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\lambda_s \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |q_n| &\leq \varepsilon_n \left| \frac{\exp\{P_s(1 + \omega)\} \cdot P'_s(1 + \omega)}{\exp\{P'_s(1)\}} \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_n \exp\{|P_s(1 + \omega)| + |P_s(1)|\} \cdot \left| \sum_{k=1}^s (x^{c_k} - 1)(1 + \omega)^{k-1} \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_n \exp\{t \lambda_s (1 + \varepsilon_n)^s \ln s + t \lambda_s \ln s\} \cdot t \lambda_s s (1 + \varepsilon_n)^s \\ &\sim t s \varepsilon_n \lambda_s \exp\{2t \lambda_s \ln s\} = t \varepsilon_n \lambda_s s^{1+2t \lambda_s} = o(n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), \quad \alpha > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} I_M &\sim \frac{\sin \delta \pi}{\pi n^{1-\delta}} [R(1)]^{1/M} \varphi_s(1) \int_0^{n\varepsilon_n} u^{-\delta} e^{-u} du \\ &\sim [R(1)]^{1/M} \frac{\sin \delta \pi}{\pi n^{1-\delta}} \varphi_s(1) \Gamma(1 - \delta) = \frac{[R(1)]^{1/M} \varphi_s(1)}{\Gamma(\delta) n^{1-\delta}}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

2. In each of the  $I_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, M - 1$ , we make the change of variable  $z = \zeta^k(1 + v/n)$ . Then

$$|I_k| \leq \frac{1}{\pi n} \int_0^{n\varepsilon_n} \left(\frac{v}{n}\right)^{\delta_k} \left| \left[ R_k\left(\zeta^k + \zeta^k \frac{v}{n}\right) \right]^{1/M} \right| \frac{\left| \varphi_s\left(\zeta^k + \zeta^k \frac{v}{n}\right) \right|}{\left(1 + \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n+1}} dv.$$

Clearly  $|(R_k(\zeta^k + \zeta^k v/n))^{1/M}|$  is a bounded quantity. As in step 1, it can be shown that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\varphi_s\left(\zeta^k + \zeta^k \frac{v}{n}\right) = \varphi_s(\zeta^k) [1 + o(1)].$$

Thus we obtain

$$|I_k| \leq \frac{T}{n^{1+\delta_k}} |\varphi_s(\zeta^k)| \int_0^{ne_n} v^{\delta_k} e^{-v} dv \sim T \cdot \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta_k) |\varphi_s(\zeta^k)|}{n^{1+\delta_k}},$$

where  $T$  is a constant. Hence

$$|I_k| \leq |I_M| \cdot \frac{|\varphi_s(\zeta^k)|}{|\varphi_s(1)|} \frac{T^*}{n^{\delta+\delta_k}},$$

where  $T^*$  is a constant.

As in the derivation of (15), we have

$$\frac{|\varphi_s(\zeta^k)|}{|\varphi_s(1)|} \leq \exp\{|P_s(\zeta^k)| + |P_s(1)|\} \leq \exp\{2t\lambda_s \ln s\} < n^{2t\lambda_s},$$

and therefore the asymptotics of  $I_k/I_M$  depends on the quantity  $\delta + \delta_k$ . When  $a = 2$  it is evident from (7) that the variables  $\beta_k = \delta_k M$  can take on only the following values:  $\bar{m}_1 + \bar{m}_2 + 1$ ,  $\bar{m}_1 - 1$ ,  $\bar{m}_2 - 1$ ,  $-1$ , whence  $\delta M + \beta_k > 0$  and  $\delta + \delta_k > 0$ . In the case  $a = 3$  it is also easy to establish that  $\delta + \delta_k > 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, M-1$ . For arbitrary  $a$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_a$  the proof presents certain difficulties. However, in the case where  $m_1, \dots, m_a$  are relatively prime, it is easy to see that  $\beta_k$  ( $k \neq M$ ) can take on only the values

$$\pm (m_{i_1}-1)(m_{i_2}-1) \dots (m_{i_r}-1), \quad r < a,$$

while at the same time

$$\delta M = (m_1-1)(m_2-1) \dots (m_a-1),$$

i.e.,  $\delta M + \beta_k > 0$  and  $\delta + \delta_k > 0$ . Thus, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$I_k = o(|I_M|), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, M-1. \quad (17)$$

3. Finally, we estimate  $I_0$ , the integral over the circumference. For  $|z| = 1 + \varepsilon_n$  we have

$$|(1-z)^{-\delta} \overline{V}^M \overline{R}(z)| \leq (2 + \varepsilon_n)^{2a-1} \varepsilon_n^{-2a-1}, \quad |\varphi_s(z)| \leq s^{2t\lambda_s}$$

(as in the derivation of (16)). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |I_0| &\leq (2 + \varepsilon_n)^{2a-1} \varepsilon_n^{-2a-1} (1 + \varepsilon_n)^{-n} s^{2t\lambda_s} \\ &< 3^{2a-1} \left[ \frac{(2^{a-1} + 1) \ln n}{n} \right]^{-2a-1} n^{-2a-1-2t\lambda_s} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-2t\lambda_s} (\ln n)^{2a-1}}\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-\delta'}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta'$  is a positive number. Hence as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$I_0 = o(|I_M|). \quad (18)$$

From (15)–(18) we obtain

$$A_n \sim \frac{[R(1)]^{1/M} \varphi_s(1)}{\Gamma(\delta) n^{1-\delta}},$$

i.e., (12) with  $\rho = \sqrt[M]{R(1)}$ .

Quite analogously it can be proved that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$B_n \sim \frac{[R(1)]^{1/M}}{\Gamma(\delta) n^{1-\delta}}. \tag{19}$$

Now we return to the characteristic function (11):

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^{(1)}(t) &= e^{-it a_s/d_s} \frac{A_n}{B_n} \sim \exp \left\{ -it \frac{a_s}{d_s} + \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{1}{k} (e^{it c_k/d_s} - 1) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -it \frac{a_s}{d_s} + \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{it c_k}{d_s} - \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{t^2 c_k^2}{2d_s^2} + T(s) \right\} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{t^2}{2} + T(s) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T(s) = \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \left( it \frac{c_k}{d_s} \right)^m \frac{1}{m!}.$$

Under the assumptions of the theorem, we have

$$|T(s)| < \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \left| t \frac{c_k}{d_s} \right|^m < \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{1}{k} 2 \left| t \frac{c_k}{d_s} \right|^3 \leq 2t^3 \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{1}{k} \frac{c_k^2}{d_s^2} \lambda_s = 2t^3 \lambda_s,$$

since  $|c_k/d_s| \leq \lambda_s$ , where  $\lambda_s \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$A_n^{(1)}(t) \rightarrow e^{-t^2/2}.$$

The theorem is proved.

We go on to investigate the random variable  $\xi_s^{(2)}$ , given on  $S_n^{(2)}$  (see (1)). Let

$$b_s = \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{c_k}{k}, \quad h_s^2 = \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{c_k^2}{k}.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :*

- 1)  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , with  $s \leq Cn^{1-\alpha}$ ,  $C$  a constant,  $\alpha > 0$ ,
- 2)  $h_s^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- 3)  $c_k/h_s \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly with respect to  $k$ .

*Then the distribution of the random variable  $\theta_s^{(2)} = (\xi_s^{(2)} - b_s)/k_s$  is asymptotically normal with parameters (0, 1).*

**PROOF.** The characteristic function of the variable  $\theta_s^{(2)}$  is

$$A_n^{(2)}(t) = e^{-it b_s/h_s} g_n^{(2)}(e^{it/h_s}).$$

The function  $g_n^{(2)}(x)$  is equal to the ratio of the coefficients of  $z^n$  in the expansion in powers of  $z$  of the functions  $G_s^{(2)}(x, z; a)$  and  $G_s^{(2)}(1, z; a)$  defined in (10).

We note that if the numbers  $m_1, \dots, m_a$  have a greatest common divisor  $m > 1$ , then  $G_s^{(2)}(x, z; a)$  is in fact a function of  $x$  and  $v = z^m$ . Thus the problem reduces to an investigation of the coefficients of  $v^p$ ,  $p = n/m$ , in the expansion in powers of  $v$  of the functions

$$H_s(x, v; a) = \left[ \prod_{l=1}^a \prod_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l} (1 - v^{\overline{m}^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_l}} (-1)^{tM/\overline{m}^{i_1 \dots i_l}}) \right]^{1/M} \times \exp \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{s/m} (x^{l m l} - 1) \frac{v^l}{m l} \right\}$$

and  $H_s(1, v; a)$ , where  $\overline{m}^{i_1 \dots i_l} = \text{l.c.m.}(m_{i_1}/m, \dots, m_{i_l}/m)$ ,  $M = \text{l.c.m.}(m_1, \dots, m_a)$ , and  $\Sigma''$  denotes summation only over numbers which are multiples of at least one of  $m_1/m, \dots, m_a/m$ .

We denote these coefficients by  $C_p$  and  $D_p$  respectively. Exactly as in the proof of (12) and (19), it can be established that for  $x = e^{it/h_s}$  we have

$$C_p \sim \frac{R^* \psi_s(1)}{\Gamma(\delta^*) p^{1-\delta^*}}, \quad D_p \sim \frac{R^*}{\Gamma(\delta^*) p^{1-\delta^*}},$$

where  $R^*$  is a constant,  $0 < \delta^* < 1$  and

$$\psi_s(v) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{s/m} (x^{l m l} - 1) \frac{v^l}{m l} \right\}.$$

Consequently,

$$A_n^{(2)}(t) = e^{-itb_s/h_s} \frac{C_p}{D_p} \sim \exp \left\{ -it \frac{b_s}{h_s} + \sum_{l=1}^{s/m} \frac{1}{m l} (e^{itc_{ml}/h_s} - 1) \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $A_n^{(2)}(t) \rightarrow e^{-t^2/2}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The theorem is proved.

#### §4. Limit distributions of $\xi_n(m, \beta)$

Consider  $S_n(m, \beta)$ , the collection of permutations of degree  $n$  which have cycle lengths equal to  $\beta$  modulo  $m$ . If  $C_{nk}(m, \beta)$  is the number of permutations in  $S_n(m, \beta)$  with  $k$  cycles and

$$C_n(x; m, \beta) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{nk}(m, \beta) x^k,$$

then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(x; m, \beta) \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^{xa(t; m, \beta)}, \tag{20}$$

where

$$a(t; m, \beta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{mj+\beta}}{mj+\beta}.$$

With the help of the  $m$ th root of unity we can write

$$a(t; m, \beta) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m e^{-\frac{2\pi\beta ki}{m}} \ln(1 - te^{\frac{2\pi ki}{m}}); \tag{21}$$

here we have in mind the principal value of the logarithm. The total number of permutations in  $S_n(m, \beta)$  can be expressed as follows:

$$C_n(m, \beta) = C_n(1; m, \beta). \quad (22)$$

On  $S_n(m, \beta)$  we assign a uniform probability distribution and consider the random variable  $\xi_n(m, \beta)$ , equal to the number of cycles in a randomly chosen permutation. The generating function of this variable can be written as follows:

$$P_n(x; m, \beta) = C_n(x; m, \beta) / C_n(m, \beta). \quad (23)$$

We will consider two cases: 1)  $\beta = m$ ; 2)  $\beta = m/2$ ,  $m$  even. The case  $m = 2$  was investigated in [5].

From (20) and (21) we have

$$C_n(x; m, m) = n! \binom{\frac{x+n}{m} - 1}{n/m}, \quad m | n, \quad (24)$$

$$C_n\left(x; m, \frac{m}{2}\right) = n! \sum_{j=0}^{2n/m} \binom{x/m}{2n/m - j} \binom{x/m + j - 1}{j}, \quad \frac{m}{2} | n. \quad (25)$$

Taking (22) and (23) into account, from these formulas we can easily obtain expressions for the generating functions of  $\xi_n(m, m)$  and  $\xi_n(m, m/2)$ . Below an important role will be played by the following

LEMMA. *The following asymptotic representations are valid for the functions  $C_n(x; m, m)$  and  $C_n(x; m, m/2)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :*

$$C_n(x; m, m) = \frac{n!}{\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{1-\frac{x}{m}}} (1 + o(1)), \quad m | n, \quad (26)$$

$$C_n\left(x; m, \frac{m}{2}\right) = \frac{n! 2^{\frac{2x}{m} - 1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{1-\frac{x}{m}}} (1 + o(1)), \quad \frac{m}{2} | n, \quad (27)$$

where  $\Gamma(y)$  is the gamma-function and  $o(1)$  is a variable which vanishes uniformly with respect to  $x$  in  $[1 - \delta, 1 + \delta]$ ,  $\delta > 0$ .

PROOF. Using Stirling's formula for the gamma-function, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we can obtain the following estimates:

$$\binom{y + k - 1}{k} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(y) k^{1-y}} (1 + o(1)), \quad (28)$$

$$\binom{y}{k} = \frac{(-1)^k y (y - 1)}{\Gamma(2 - y) k^{1+y}} (1 + o(1)), \quad (29)$$

which are uniform with respect to  $y$  in  $[1 - \delta, 1 + \delta]$ ,  $\delta > 0$ .

(26) follows directly from (28). Therefore it remains to prove (27). We choose a number  $\nu$ ,  $0 < \nu < \frac{1}{2}$ , and separate the sum in (25) into three parts:

$$\frac{1}{n!} C_n\left(x; m, \frac{m}{2}\right) = S_1 + S_2 + S_3, \quad (30)$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{[(n/m)^v]} \binom{x/m}{(2n/m) - j} \binom{(x/m) + j - 1}{j},$$

and  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  are the corresponding sums from  $[(n/m)^v] + 1$  to  $2n/m - [(n/m)^v] - 1$  and from  $2n/m - [(n/m)^v]$  to  $2n/m$ .

Applying (28), we find that

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \left(\frac{2n}{m}\right)^{1-(x/m)}} \sum_{k=0}^{[(n/m)^v]} \binom{x/m}{k} (1 + o(1)),$$

whence it follows that

$$S_3 = \frac{2^{2x/m-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{1-x/m}} (1 + o(1)) \quad (31)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly with respect to  $x$ .

Using (29), we obtain

$$S_1 = \frac{(-1)^{2n/m} (x/m) ((x/m)-1)}{\Gamma\left(2 - \frac{x}{m}\right) \left(\frac{2n}{m}\right)^{1+x/m}} \sum_{j=0}^{[(n/m)^v]} \binom{-x/m}{j} (1 + o(1)).$$

From this we deduce the estimate

$$S_1 = S_3 \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2x/m}}\right), \quad (32)$$

which is uniform with respect to  $x$ .

In turn, we separate the sum  $S_2$  into two sums  $S_2^{(1)}$  and  $S_2^{(2)}$  with limits of summation from  $[(n/m)^v] + 1$  to  $n/m - 1$  and from  $n/m$  to  $2n/m - [(n/m)^v] - 1$  respectively.

With the help of (28) and (29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S_2^{(1)}| &\leq \frac{\frac{x}{m} \left| \frac{x}{m} - 1 \right|}{\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{x}{m}\right)} \frac{1 + o(1)}{\left(\frac{2n}{m}\right)^{1+(x/m)}} \sum_{j=[(n/m)^v]+1}^{(n/m)-1} \frac{1}{j^{1+(x/m)}}, \\ |S_2^{(2)}| &\leq \frac{\frac{x}{m} \left| \frac{x}{m} - 1 \right|}{\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{x}{m}\right)} \frac{1 + o(1)}{\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{1-(x/m)}} \sum_{k=[(n/m)^v]+1}^{n/m} \frac{1}{k^{1+(x/m)}}. \end{aligned}$$

From these inequalities it follows that  $S_2^{(1)} = S_3 \cdot O((n/m)^{-x/m})$  and  $S_2^{(2)} = S_3 \cdot O((n/m)^{-xv/m})$ , i.e.

$$S_2 = S_3 \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{(n/m)^{xv/m}}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (33)$$

uniformly with respect to  $x$  in the indicated region. The validity of (27) now follows from (30)–(33). The lemma is proved.

Setting  $x = 1$  in (26) and (27) and taking account of (22), we obtain

COROLLARY 1. As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the following asymptotic formulas hold:

$$C_n(m, m) = \frac{n!}{\Gamma(1/m) (n/m)^{1-(1/m)}} (1 + o(1)), \quad m | n,$$

$$C_n(m, m/2) = \frac{n! 2^{(2/m)-1}}{\Gamma(1/m) (n/m)^{1-(1/m)}} (1 + o(1)), \quad \frac{m}{2} | n.$$

From the lemma, Corollary 1 and (23) we obtain

COROLLARY 2. As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for the generating functions of the random variables  $\xi_n(m, m)$  and  $\xi_n(m, m/2)$  the asymptotic representations

$$P_n(x; m, m) = \frac{\Gamma(1/m)}{\Gamma(x/m)} \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{(x-1)/m} (1 + o(1)), \quad m | n, \quad (34)$$

$$P_n(x; m, m/2) = \frac{\Gamma(1/m)}{\Gamma(x/m)} \left(\frac{4n}{m}\right)^{(x-1)/m} (1 + o(1)), \quad \frac{m}{2} | n, \quad (35)$$

hold uniformly for all  $x$ ,  $1 - \delta \leq x \leq 1 + \delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ .

We can now find limit distributions for the random variable  $\xi_n(m, m/2)$ .

THEOREM 3. Suppose  $m = m(n)$  is a function whose values are the even natural numbers, with  $m/2 | n$ .

a) If  $m^{-1} \ln(n/m) \rightarrow \lambda < \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the random variable  $\xi_n(m, m/2)$  in the limit has a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ .

b) If  $m^{-1} \ln(n/m) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the random variable

$$\xi'_n(m, m/2) = \left( \xi_n(m, m/2) - \frac{1}{m} \ln \frac{n}{m} \right) \left( \frac{1}{m} \ln \frac{n}{m} \right)^{-1/2}$$

has an asymptotically normal distribution with parameters  $(0, 1)$ .

Exactly the same assertions also hold for the random variable  $\xi_n(m, m)$  under the condition that  $m = m(n)$  takes on natural values and  $m | n$ .

PROOF. Consider  $M_n(t; m, m/2)$ , the moment-generating function of the random variable  $\xi_n(m, m/2)$ . From (35) it follows that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$M_n(t; m, m/2) = \frac{\Gamma(1/m)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{m} e^t\right)} \left(\frac{4n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}(e^t-1)} (1 + o(1)),$$

uniformly for all  $t$ ,  $-\delta' \leq t \leq \delta'$ ,  $\delta' > 0$ . Hence in case a) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n(t; m, m/2) = e^{\lambda(e^t-1)}$$

for every fixed  $t$  in  $[-\delta', \delta']$ . Since the right side of the last equality contains the moment-generating function of a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ , the validity of part a) of the theorem follows from Curtiss' theorem (see [7]).

From (35) it is easy to obtain an asymptotic representation for the moment-generating function of the variable  $\xi'_n(m, m/2)$ :

$$M'_n(t; m, m/2) = e^{-t\sigma} \frac{\Gamma(1/m)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{m} e^{t/\sigma}\right)} \left(\frac{4n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}(e^{t/\sigma}-1)} (1 + o(1)),$$

uniform with respect to  $t \in [-\delta', \delta']$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; here  $\sigma^2 = m^{-1} \ln(n/m)$ .

From this representation it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M'_n(t; m, m/2) = e^{t^2/2}$$

for every fixed  $t$  in  $[-\delta', \delta']$ .

The validity of part b) of Theorem 3 now follows from the above-mentioned theorem of Curtiss.

The proof of the corresponding assertions of the theorem with regard to the random variable  $\xi_n(m, m)$  is carried out in exactly the same way, using (34). Theorem 3 is proved.

Received 14/APR/78

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. V. L. Gončarov, *On the field of combinatory analysis*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **8** (1944), 3–48; English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **19** (1962).
2. V. N. Sačkov, *On extremal points of the space of symmetric stochastic matrices*, Mat. Sb. **96** (138) (1975), 447–457; English transl. in Math. USSR Sb. **25** (1975).
3. V. E. Tarakanov and V. P. Čistjakov, *On the cycle structure of random permutations*, Mat. Sb. **96** (138) (1975), 594–600; English transl. in Math. USSR Sb. **25** (1975).
4. V. F. Kolčín and V. P. Čistjakov, *On the cyclic structure of random permutations*, Mat. Zametki **18** (1975), 929–938; English transl. in Math. Notes **18** (1975).
5. Ju. V. Bolotnikov, V. N. Sačkov and V. E. Tarakanov, *Asymptotic normality of some variables connected with the cyclic structure of random permutations*, Mat. Sb. **99** (141) (1976), 121–133; English transl. in Math. USSR Sb. **28** (1976).
6. John Riordan, *An introduction to combinatorial analysis*, Wiley, New York; Chapman & Hall, London, 1958.
7. J. H. Curtiss, *A note on the theory of moment generating functions*, Ann. Math. Statist. **13** (1942), 430–433.

Translated by L. ROSENBLATT